SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY

AGRONOMISTS ' ASSOCIATION

PRE-RELEASE VARIETY TRIAL

Catalogue No.: 144	<u>Soil Analysis</u> : No taken
This crop: Plant	
Site: Klipspruit Section, Powers-	
court	
Altitude: 2500'	
Soil series: Inanda	
Design: 4 X 4 Latin Square	
Varieties: See treatments	Age: 25 months (10/62 - 12/64)
Fertilizer: Not recorded	
Water regime: Dryland	Rainfall: 80.53"

Object: To compare N:Co's 293 and 376 with two new varieties.

Treatments: N:Co.293, N:Co.376, CB 36/14, CB 38/22

Results:

Variety	T.C.A.	Suc.%	T.S.A.
N:Co.293	83.4	13.31	11.08
N:Co.376	84.0	13.44	11.28
CB 36/14	6 3. 5	13.85	8.80
СВ 38/22	49.0	13.81	6.77

SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY

AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

PRE-RELEASE VARIETY TRIAL

Catalogue No.: 144 This crop: 1st Ratoon Site: Klipspruit Section, Powerscourt

Altitude: 2500' Soil series: Inanda Design: 4 X 4 Latin Square Varieties: See treatments Fertilizer: Not recorded

Soil Analysis: No samples taken

<u>Age</u>: 13 months (12/64 - 1/66)

Rainfall: 44.20"

Water regime: Dryland

Object: To compare N:Co's 293 and 376 with two new varieties.

Treatments: N:Co. 293, N:Co.376, CB 36/14, CB 38/22

Variety	T.C.A.	Suc.%	T.S.A.
N:Co.293	38.9	9.11	3.55
N:Co.376	41.2	9•37	3.86
6/14ز Св	53.2	7•59	4.03
CB 38/22	31.8	9•59	3.05

SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY

AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

VARIETY TRIAL

Catalogue No	: 144	Soil Analysis	:
This crop	: 2nd Ratoon		•
Site	: Klipspruit,	Age	: 17 months
	Powerscourt,	• •	
•	Illovo.	Rainfall	: 51.81 inches.
Altitude	: + 2,300 feet		
Soil Type	: T.M.S. Mist Belt		
Design	: 4 x 4 Latin Square		
Varieties	: As guoted below		
Fertilizer	: 300 Urea & 300		
4	Potash		
Water Regime	: Dryland		· .

Object: To compare the performance of 4 Varieties listed below. <u>Treatment</u>: NCo. 293, NCo. 376, CB. 36/14, CB. 38/22.

Results:

1 CB.38/22	2 CB.36/14	3 NC0.376	4 NCo.293
TCA 48.0	56.0	94.6	64.3
Suc% 12.49	12.37	11.38	11.11
Pur% 85.16	85.67	82.86	82.68
5 NCo.376	6 CB.36/14	7 NCo.293	8 CB.38/22
TCA 81.3	69.9	71.1	53.0
Suc% 11.17	12.26	10.96	12.33
Pur% 83.68	87.12	82.09	83.13
9 NCo.293	10 NCo.376	11 CB.36/14	12.CB.38/22
TCA 72.7	83.4	61.2	44.2
Suc% 11.08	11.15	12.37	12.40
Pur% 83.49	84.16	87.09	84.54
13 CB.38/22	14 NCo.293	15 NC0.376	16 CB.36/14
TCA 55.2	63.7	90.6	58.8
Suc% 11.72	10.85	11.21	12.22
Pur% 83.43	82.75	85.48	86.07

TABLE OF MEANS.

Variety	Т.С.А.	Suc %	Pur %	T. S. A.	Rating
NCo. 376	87.5	11.23	85.05	9.82	1
NCo. 293	68.0	11.00	82.75	7.48	3
CB.36/14	61.5	12.31	86.49	7.57	2
CB.38/22	50.1	12.24	84.07	6.13	4

xx Rating is based on T. S. A.

Variety CB.36/14, on appearance, was outstanding in this trial due to it having long heavy sticks but on yield per unit area, NCo. 376 was far superior. The reason for the superiority of 376 was due to a greater population of sticks per plot. This is borne out by the individual stick weights recorded below.

Based on the individual stick weights CB.36/14 was the best variety. This would suggest that, if CB.36/14 were planted at a greater rate per acre to encourage higher stick populations, the variety might prove to be superior to 376. Naturally, this hypothesis is based on the assumption that a greater seeding rate of 376 would not improve yield due to the existing population being optimum and that of CB.36/14 not having been optimum at existing seeding rates.

THE MEAN WEIGHT OF 12 RANDOM STICKS PER PLOT.

KEY:

Plot Varie	No <i>.</i> ety		
Mean	Wt.	in	Grams.
Mean	Wt.	in	Lbs.

16	15	14	13
36/14	376	293	38/22
1258.08	1071.80	952.66	978.81
2.77	2.36	2.10	1.16
9	10	11	12
293	376	36/14	38/22
1028.75	813.08	1022.45	979.25
2.27	1.79	2.25	2.16
8	7	6	5
38/22	293	36/14	376
827.08	1019.08	1069.41	1032.33
1.82	2.24	2.36	2.27
1	2	3	4
38/22	36/14	376	293
959.75	1076.00	1113.08	959.54
2.11	2.37	2.45	2.11

TABLE OF MEANS

Variety	Mean Lbs	Mean Grams	Rating
36/14	2.44	1106.49	1
376	2.22	1007.57	2
293	2.18	990.01	3
38/22	1.81	936.22	4

2 -