

Spacing	Stalk length, cm.			Stalk diam., mm.			Harv. stalk/ac. x 10 ⁻³			Max. stalk/ac. x 10 ⁻³		
	F1	F2	Mean	F1	F2	Mean	F1	F2	Mean	F1	F2	Mean
S1	238	241	240	25.3	24.9	25.1	67.0	62.9	65.0	332	364	348
S21	237	241	239	26.0	25.8	25.9	60.2	62.7	61.4	184	188	186
S22	239	228	234	25.6	25.5	25.6	64.3	63.6	63.9	198	209	204
S31	242	236	239	27.0	27.2	27.1	55.3	58.5	56.9	126	126	126
S32	236	226	231	26.2	26.0	26.1	59.5	62.1	60.8	137	140	138
C.V.%	6.0			1.5			5.5			-		
L.S.D.												
.05	17			0.5			3.9			-		
.01	22			0.7			5.2			-		
(Body of table)												

Comments: For other details and discussion see:

Thompson, G.D. and du Toit, J.L. (1965). The Effects of Row spacing on sugarcane crops in Natal. I.S.S.C.T. Proc. 12th Congress, Puerto Rico.

Comments:

1. No significant evidence of any effect of the double versus single setts for any of the varieties analyzed.
2. The spacing x fertilization interaction for yields seems to be attributable to an interaction on population.