## SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

# <u>CODE:</u> VK2/01/Sw/Sim 'R' CAT : 2204 <u>VARIETAL RESPONSE TO K FERTILIZER ON AN 'R' SET SOIL</u>

## 1. PARTICULARS OF PROJECT

This crop	:	2 <sup>nd</sup> Ratoon	Soil Analysis : Date May 2003
Trial crop	:	Terminated	pH OM % Clay % Silt % Sand % 7.25
Site	:	RSSC (Simunye)	ppm
Field	:	604, Panel 3	P K Ca Mg (Ca+Mg)/K 31 176 2912 1038 23
Region	:	Northern Irrigated (Swd)	Age : 12.1 months
Soil Set	:	'R'	Date : 17/10/2003-20/10/2004
Design	:	Randomised blocks with split plots, 4 reps	Irrigation : Fully irrigated (surface drip)
Variety	:	NC0376, N23, N25	
Fertilizer	:	N P K 180 - Treatment	

## 2. OBJECTIVES

- To determine the relative K fertilizer requirements of N23, N25 and NCo376 on an 'R' set soil in an early season cycle.
- To validate interim leaf K threshold correction factors for N23 and N25.
- To develop leaf K threshold values for N23 and N25 from variety x potassium yield response curves.

## 3. TREATMENTS

• Varieties and potassium treatments in this trial were as follows:

<u>Potassium (main plots)</u>	Varieties (sub plots)
Kg K/ha	•
0	NCo376
75	N23
150	N25
225	
300	· · ·

• Potassium (KCL, 50% K) was broadcast on the cape row 4 weeks after harvest.

## 4. FERTILIZERS AND SOIL SAMPLING

- Nitrogen (Urea, 46% N) at the rate of 180 Kg N/ha was applied in two applications: 100 Kg N/ha was applied on the cane row 4 weeks after harvest, followed by a top-dressing of 80 Kg N/ha 16 weeks after harvest.
- Top soil samples for the analysis of P, K, Ca and Mg were taken in October before fertilizer application.

### 5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Soil Analysis

• The soil K levels were above the current SSA summer threshold of 150 ppm for all treatments. According to SSA fertilizer recommendations, no response could therefore be expected. There were no significant differences in the levels of P, K, Ca and Mg amongst treatments (Table 1).

Treatment			ppm			
(Kg N/ha)	P	K	Ca	Mg	Ca+Mg	(Ca+Mg)/K
Control	30	183	3288	1046	4334	24
75 K	31	169	2843	1071	3914	23
150 K	33	189	2744	1018	3762	20
225 K	32	163	2844	1006	3850	24
300 K	28	178	2843	1049	3892	22
Mean	31	176	2912	1038	3950	23
LSD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	-	-
CV%	25.1	12.7	22.9	6.4	-	-

Table 1: P. K. Ca and Mg status (ppm) of the topsoil before fertilization - October 2003

#### Leaf Analysis

- Results from leaf samples in February and March indicated that levels of N, P, K, Ca and Mg were adequate and above the SSA threshold values (Table 2). There were no significant differences in nutrient levels among treatments for all nutrients.
- The third leaf K values for February and March were above the threshold making it less precise to predict yield response.

Treatment		א			Р			ĸ			Ca			Mg	
(kg K/ha)	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Јап.	Feb.	Mar.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.
	(2.7m)	(3.7m)	(4.8m)												
Control	1.89	1.87	1.86	0.23	0.23	0.25	0.82	1.07	1.28	0.30	0.32	0.26	0.28	0.27	0.31
75 K	1.87	1.88	1.88	0.22	0.23	0.24	0.76	1.03	1.27	0.32	0.31	0.24	0.28	0.25	0.3
150 K	1.88	1.88	1.88	0.23	0.23	0.24	0.80	1.08	1.43	0.31	0.32	0.22	0.27	0.24	0.27
225 K	1.90	1.88	1.87	0.22	0.23	0.24	0.81	1.14	1.34	0.30	0.32	0.22	0.28	0.26	0.27
300 K	1.89	1.88	1.87	0.23	0.23	0.24	0.81	1.07	1.36	0.30	0.32	0.22	0.26	0.25	0.27
Mean	1.89	1.88	1.87	0.23	0.23	0.24	0.80	1.08	1.34	0.31	0.32	0.23	0.27	0.25	0.28
LSD(0.05)	NS														
LSD(0.01)	-	-	-	-	-	-		•	-	-	-		-	-	-
CV%	1.5	1.6	1.5	2.8	3.2	3.8	6.8	3.9	5.8	8.7	5.7	23.5	10.2	6.3	10.7

Table 2: Third leaf nutrient analyses (%dm) in January to March

#### **Growth Measurements**

- There were no significant differences in stalk population among varieties and treatments at harvest, suggesting that K treatments had no effect on stalk population.
- There were no significant differences in stalk height among varieties and treatments at harvest. This implies that K treatments did not improve stalk height. Although not significant, K applied at 75Kg K/ha produced the tallest stalks.

	Stalk population ('000											00/ha)													
Variety		Jai	1. (2.8	m)		Var.	L	Ar	or. (6.2	m)		Var.		Ju	n. (8.0	m)		Var.		Au	<u>. (10</u> .	lm)		Var.	
	Cont.	75K	150K	225K	300K	Меап	Cont.	Cont. 75K 150K 225K 300K Mean						75K	150K	225K	300K	Меал	Cont.	75K	150K	225K	300K	Mcan	
NCo376	203	205	204	223	224	212	135	136	134	136	145	137	133	126	124	129	120	126	138	121	125	123	131	128	
N23	173	202	169	179	195	184	130	137	141	138	126	134	121	112	116	130	130	122	129	125	130	125	125	127	
N25	200	213	185	186	209	199	128	111	124	117	132	122	123	117	124	115	124	121	119	108	115	116	128	117	
Mean	192	207	186	196	209	198	131	128	133	130	134	131	126	118	121	125	125	123	129	118	123	121	128	124	
Interaction			N	S				_	N	\$			NS								N	łS			
K Trt(0.05)			N	S					Ň	S					N	S					א	łS			
LSD (0.01)												i				•						•	_		
Var. (0.05)			1	5					1	0					N	IS .					N	۱S			
LSD (0.01)			2	0					N	S						•		-							
CV %			11	.4					11	.7					12	1.4			12.4						
Variety			n. (2.8			Var.					eight (	cm to Var.	TVD)		_ /0.0			Var.		Ā.,	2. (10.	1		Var.	
-	Cont.		n. (2.8 150K		2001	Mean	<u> </u>		от. (6.2		300K							Cart			225K	300K			
NCo376	53			223K	45	48	234	75K	245	_	214	228	279	75K 269	274		_	268	276	274	269	2231	258		
NC0370 N23	55 51	48 52	45 52	-47 55	45 49	-48 52	221	219 231	245	230 216	214	228	264	209 275	272	265 249	253 256	263	276	279	263	200	258 263	269	
N25	51	52	54 54	55 53	60	54	231	231	228	216	219	229	253	267	266	249	268	263	266	269	265	265	203	268	
Mean	52	51	50	52	51	51	229	227	233	224	221	227	265	270	271	258	259	265	267	274	267		264	266	
Interaction	52	51	N		51		7 ]	ا شت			221		205	270	<u></u> N		239	205	207	2/4	200 N		204	200	
K Trt(0.05)			N				NS NS									_									
LSD (0.01)							145						NS						NS						
Var. (0.05)				5			NS						NS						NS						
LSD (0.01)				, ,																					
CV %				1		8.2																			
			11.1 8.2									5.3 4.2													

Table	3:	Growth	measurements	at various ages

= statistically significant (P=0.05)
++ = statistically significant (P=0.01)

# Pests and Diseases

- All varieties were affected by eldana at harvest and there were no statistical differences among varieties (Table 4).
- NCo376 had significantly higher smut levels than the other varieties.

Table 4:	Eldana	damage at	harvest	and smut	levels in	February

	Eldana	% Smut whips
Variety	% Int.	Feb.
	damaged	(3.7m)
NCo376	0.18	2.36
N23	0.10	0.03
N25	0.08	0.47
Mean	0.12	0.95
LSD (P=0.05)	NS	0.39
LSD (P=0.01)	-	0.52
CV %	164.2	62.9

#### **Harvest Results**

- All varieties responded negatively to potassium application in terms of cane yields. This implies that K treatments significantly reduced cane yields, suggesting that as indicated in the soil nutrient levels, no K needed to be applied. While NCo376 and N23 were statistically similar, N25 produced significantly higher cane yields.
- Potassium application did not significantly improve the cane quality (sucrose % cane and erc % cane) of all varieties. While the cane quality of NCo376 and N25 was the same, N23 had significantly the highest cane quality. There was no interaction.
- There were no significant differences in sucrose and erc yields among treatments, implying that potassium application did not improve yields, but instead decreased both sucrose and erc yields (Table 5). N25 produced significantly higher sucrose and erc yields than the other varieties, which were statistically similar.

					nc its						a cara:						ic:ha						- canc						ashor		-
Interrent		िल्हा.	75K	150K	225K	300K	Var.	Cont.	75K	150K	225K	3006	Var.	Cosi.	75K	130%	225K	3008	а,	Comi.	75K	15UX	225K	3005	Var.	Creat,	75K	150%	155	<b>VOK</b>	Vir.
							Mean						Mean						Maan						Mean						Mean
NCo.\$76		109	. 98	101	75	9K	100	25,9	15.8	16.0	16.2	16.0	16.0	17,4	15.6	[6,]	15.5	15.7	16.1	14,5	14,4	14.6	14.9	147	14,6	157	1	14.7	H.	14.4	14.7
N23		106	101	100	92	96	99	17,2	16,6	16.5	17.1	16.8	16.8	18.2	16,5	163	15.8	16.2	16.7	15.9	15.2	15.1	15.8	15,4	15.5	16.8	15.4	15.1	14.6	14,9	15.4
N25		125	116	116	113	120	112	15.9	155	16,0	16.5	16.4	16,0	19.F	\$7.9	11.5	18.7	19,7	18,9	14,4	140	14,6	14 R	15,1	14.6	t R,O	16.3	16,9	17,0	[8,]	17.3
Mean		113	10.4	106	101	105	106	16.3	16.0	16.2	16.5	16.4	16.5	18,5	16.8	17.0	16.7	17.2	172	14.9.	14.5	14.8	15,2	<u> 15,1</u>	14.9	16.9	15.3	15.6	15.3	15.1	15.8
Interaction				1	45					J	<b>NS</b>					1	vs.					۱ 	15					1	VS		
LSD Petassian	(0,05)				7					1	5					ľ	۰s.					٢	6					)	\$S		
	(0.01)				4S																					_			•		
LSD Variety	(0.05)				7						1,3					1	<u>,</u> ú ·					. U.	33					1	n –		
	(0.04)				ą					(	(4					. 1	4					0.	,41					l	3		
LSD subplot in same						_																						-			
whole plot	40.051			. 1	\$					1	25					. 1	4S					1	45	_				)	ø		
LSD subplet is diff.																							1								
where pint	(0.05)	<u> </u>	NS			NS				NS			NS						N5												
CV%			9,3					:	.s.					9	2	,				3	.4					4	1,6				

Table 5:	Cane yield,	sucrose % car	ne and sucrose	yield

### 6. CONCLUSIONS

- Potassium application at all rates in this trial reduced sucrose and erc yields. Cane yields were also reduced by potassium treatments.
- Soil K levels of all treatments were above the SSA threshold value and statistically similar among treatments, making it less likely to expect yield responses. Leaf K levels from February to March were above threshold values for all treatments, making it difficult to predict yield responses from K application.
- This trial has been terminated.

BMS 14/10/2005 ^

# 7. APPENDIX

- -

# Appendix 1: Sample data

				roch	. (g/sta			<u></u>	. N	loisture	1% ~~	ne)		Dry wt. (g/stalk)									
Deservices			_			,	11	0					1.022										
Potassium		Cont.	75 K	130 K	225 K	300 K		Cont.	75 K	1 130 K	223 K	300 K	Var.	Cont	1,256	FOUR	223 K	1 500 K	•				
Treatment			L		<u> </u>		Mean				-		Mean		1	1		1	Mean				
NCo376		813	842	843	815	770	817	69.3	69.8	69.8	69.3	70.3	69.7	249.8		255.1	250.1	229.6	1				
N23		786	793	746	766	797	778	69.5	69.8	69.0	69.8	70.0	69.6	239.7			232.2		236.4				
N25		1074	1090	996	969	972	1020	70.3	70.0	69.5	69.8	69.8	69.9	319.6		302.9		293.6	307.1				
Mean		891	908	862	850	846	871	69.7	69.9	69.4	69,6	70,0	69.7	269.7 273.8 263.1 258.2 254.1 263.8									
Interaction				·	15			1		}	NS NS					<u>۲</u>	'S		·				
LSD Potassium	(0.05)				NS .					1	VS VS					N	IS						
	(0.01			•							-						-						
LSD Variety	(0.05)				52			<u> </u>			vs.			<u>├</u> ──-		16	.53						
Lob (anci)	(0.01)									'	•••						.29						
LCD - L L	(0.01)			·	70									<b>-</b>			.27						
LSD subplot in same																							
whole plot	(0.05)			7	۲S					-	NS .					N	4S						
·····	(0.01)										·			<u> </u>			•						
LSD subplot in diff.														1		•							
whole plot	(0.05) (0.01)			r	۲S -						~S -		. '				85 -						
CV%				9	.2					1	.8			1		9	.7						
					% cane					Sucrose		ne)				Ere (%	6 cane)						
Potassium		Cont.			225 K		Var.	ConL				300 K	Var.	Cont	75 K		225 K	300 K	Var.				
Treatment		- 3705	1				Mean	1					Mean		1	1			Mean				
NCo376		90.4	91.1	90.8	91.6	90.8	90.9	15.9	15.8	16.0	16.2	16.0	16.0	14.5	14.4	14.6	14.9	14,7	14.6				
N23		91.7	91.7	91.1	91.8	91.6	91.6	17.2	16.6	16.5	17.1	16.8	16.8	15.9	15.2	15.1	15.8	15.4	15.5				
N25							90.2		15.5			•	16.0	1	14.0		14.8						
		89.2	89.6	91.0	89.7	91.7		15.9		16.0	16.3	16.4		14.4	<u> </u>	14.6		15,1	14.6				
Mean		90.4	90.8	91.0	91.0	91.4	90.9	16.3	16.0	16.2	16.5	16,4	16.3	······································									
Interaction			_		<u>IS</u>						<u>\S</u>			NS									
LSD Potassium	(0.05)			Þ	IS .		1			N	₹S			NS									
	(0.01)				•												-						
LSD Variety	(0.05)			0.3	85			0.30								0.	33						
	(0.01)			N	'S		1	0.40							0.44								
LSD subplot in same				·				0.17															
whole plot	(0.05)			· Þ	IS		l			N	<b>NS</b>			NS S									
•	(0.01)										-		۰,										
LSD subplot in diff.		-						· ·				_											
whole plot	(0.05)			N							is.					N	IC.						
whole plot				5	3		1			Г	5					1	3						
01.00	(0.01)				·						<u> </u>						·	<u> </u>					
CV%					.5				_		.8					3			•				
·					t. (g/sta					Erc wt.							(% dm						
Potassium		Cont.	75 K	150 K	225 K	300 K		Cont,	75 K	150 K	225 K	300 K	Var.	ConL	75 K	150 K	225 K	300 K	Var.				
Treatment							Mean						Меал						Mean				
NCo376			133.1	134.9	132.2	123.6	130.6		121.7	123.2	121.4	113.0	119.4	51.8	52.3	53.0	52.9	53.9	52.8				
N23		135.5	131.0	123.5	130.9	133.5	130.9	124.8	120.4	113.2	120.6	122.7	120.3	56.5	54.8	53.4	56.7	56.0	55.5				
N25		170.6	169.4	158.9	157.1	160.0	163.2	154.2	153.4	145.2	142.3	147.2	148.5	53.5	51.7	52.4	53.8	54.4	53.2				
Mean		145.2	144.5	139.1	140.1	139.0	141.6	132.2	131.8	127.2	28.1	127.6	129.4	53.9	52.9	52.9	54.5	54.8	53.8				
Interaction	{	I		N			1	- 1		N						N	S						
LSD Potassium	(0.05)		N							IS					N								
	(0.01)																						
LSD Variety	(0.05)			8.8																			
anely								8.31						1.83 NS									
	(0.01)			11.	71			11.21								<u></u> N	3						
LSD subplot in same					_												_						
whole plot	(0.05)			N	S			NS						NS									
-	(0.01)							<u> </u>															
LSD subplot in diff.																							
whole plot	(0.05)	-						NS						NS									
	(0.01)	-																					
CV%				9.	7					10	0					5.	3						
· · · · ·				. ,						.0							-						