fili 2~3

SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY

AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

<u>Code:</u> BT12/79/R1

Cat. No.: 1150

Title: Trash blanket vs burnt tops raked off vs burnt tops left scattered

1. Particulars of the project

<u>This crop</u>	:	1st ratoon	Soil	analysi	s: Dat	e: 5.11	.79	
Site	:	Helmsley Est.Umhlali	pН	0.M.	<u><u> </u></u>	lay %	<u>P.D.I</u> .	
Region	:	Coastal Hinterland	5,3	-		12	-	
<u>Soil system</u>	:	Umzinto				חממ		
Soil form/series	:	Longlands/Waldene	P	K	Ca	Mg	Zn	TA T
Design	:	Latin square	17	52	152	34	-	16
Variety	:	NCo 376	<u>Age</u> :	19,9 m	onths	Dates: 5	/11/79-1/	7/81
Fertilizer/	:	<u>N P K</u>	Rainf	<u>all:</u> 1	477 mm	L.T.M	: 1 831 mm	n
ameliorants		134 27 134	Irrig	ation:	Nil			
Dolomitic lime a	t 2	000 kg/ha broadcast						
as some plots we	re	low in Ca & Mg.						

2. Objective

To evaluate the effects of a trash blanket, raking burnt tops off or leaving the burnt tops scattered over the plots.

3. Treatments

- 1. Trash blanket.
- 2. Burnt and tops raked off the plots.
- 3. Burnt and tops left scattered on the plots.

Note: The previous crop was droughted so burnt tops afforded a ground cover of only about 25% and trash blanket was thin.

1979/80	Nov	Dec	<u>Jan</u>	<u>Feb</u>	Mar	Apr	May	<u>Jun</u>	<u>Ju1</u>	Aug	<u>Sep</u>	<u>Oct</u>	Nov	Dec
Rainfall L.T.M.	39 117	117 118	69 139	23 128	26 118	48 86	16 52	5 28	9 27	13 49	243 88	52 102	117 121	74 121
1981 Rainfall L.T.M.	<u>Jan</u> 226 138	<u>Feb</u> 136 124	<u>Mar</u> 46 112	<u>Apr</u> 22 81	<u>May</u> 177 55	<u>Jun</u> 24 27	<u>T</u> 1 1	<u>otal</u> 477 831						

4. Results

.

4.1 Yield

	<°	
ب ا	ام	ł

Treatments	Cane t/ha	Ers % cane	Sucrose % cane	Ers t/ha	Sucrose t/ha
Trash blanket	74	8,9	10,7	6,7	8,0
Burnt tops raked off the plots	67	8,9	10,6	6,0	7,1
Burnt tops left scattered on the plots	72	9,2	10,9	6,7	7,9
Mean	.71	9,0	10,7	6,5	7,7
C.V. %	7,8	5,9	3,5	12,4	10,4
S.E. of treatment mean <u>+</u>	2,26	0,22	0,15	0,33	0,33
L.S.D. (0,05)	7,11	0,69	0,48	1,04	1,03

4.2 Harvested crop characteristics and yield/100 mm_rainfall

Treatments	Stalk counts x10 ⁻³ /ha	Stalk length (cm)	kg∕ stalk	t/ha/ 100 mm	ters/ha/ 100 mm
Trash blanket	112	175	0,65	5,01	0,45
Burnt tops raked off the plots	116	166	0,57	4,54	0,41
Burnt tops left scattered on the plots	115	172	0,62	4,87	0,45
Mean	115	171	0,62	4,81	0,44

4.3 3rd leaf values at 3 months

		.D.M.%			
Treatments	N	Р	К	Ca	Mg
Trash blanket	2,21	0,22	1,17	0,25	0,16
Burnt tops raked off the plots	2,29	0,22	1,20	0,22	0,15
Burnt tops left scattered on the plots	2,34	0,24	1,21	0,24	0,17

5. Comments

5.1 The crop was severely droughted for the first nine months and was also stressed prior to harvest so cane yields on the shallow soil are understandably low (mean 3,6 tc/ha/month).

The harvested crop comprised:

40% bullshoots

60% old stalks.

The crop had been heavily infested with eldana borer and counts indicated that stalks damaged were:

bullshoots 70% damaged

old stalks 84% damaged.

- 5.2 The trash blanket increased yield (n.s.) by 10% or the equivalent of 4 tc/ha/annum whereas the burnt tops left scattered increased yield by 7% or by about 3 tc/ha/annum.
- 5.3 Stalk populations were relatively low throughout because of the high stalk mortality due to the drought (see graph). Stalk length and mass were increased slightly by the mulch treatments.
- 5.4 The responses to a mulch were due presumably to reduced evaporation from the soil and not to any reduction in run-off.

PKM/VJ 10 August 1981



SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY

AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

Code : BT 12/79/R2 Cat. No.: 1150

TITLE: Trash blanket versus burnt tops raked off versus burnt tops left scattered.

s of the project						
:2nd ratoon	Soil	analy	sis:	Date:	1/7/81	L
:Helmsley Estate Umhlali	<u>рН</u> 6,07	<u>0.M.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Clay %</u> 12%	<u>P.D.I</u> -	
:Coastal Hinterland				ppm		
:Umzinto						
<u>s</u> :Longlands/Waldene	Р	K	Ca	Mg	Zn	A1
:Latin square	20	35	172	64	0,6	
:NCo 376	Age:	14,1	m	Dates:	1/7/81 -	- 3/9/82
:N P K :150 30 150 :East n:Shallow grey loamy sand topsoil <u>+</u> 400 mm deep.	<u>Rainf</u> Irrig	<u>all</u> : ation	1139 :NIL	mm L	.T.M. 111 (Fr	.7 mm rasers)
	s of the project : 2nd ratoon : Helmsley Estate Umhlali : Coastal Hinterland : Umzinto s: Longlands/Waldene : Latin square : NCo 376 : N P K : T50 30 150 : East h: Shallow grey loamy sand topsoil ± 400 mm deep.	s of the project: 2nd ratoonSoil: Helmsley Estate UmhlalipH 6,07: Coastal Hinterland6,07: Coastal Hinterland6,07: Umzinto20s: Longlands/WaldeneP 20: Latin square20: NCo 376Age: 150: N P K : T50 30 150Rainf Irrig: EastIrrig	s of the project: 2nd ratoonSoil analys: Helmsley Estate UmhlalipH0.M.S: Coastal Hinterland6,07: Coastal Hinterland6,07: Umzinto20s: Longlands/WaldeneP: Latin square20: NCo 376Age: 14,1: NPKRainfall:: T5030: EastIrrigation: Shallow grey loamy deep.Imm	s of the project: 2nd ratoonSoil analysis:: Helmsley Estate UmhlalipH0.M.% 6,07: Coastal Hinterland6,07: Coastal Hinterland6,07: UmzintoPKs: Longlands/WaldenePK: Latin square2035: NCo 376Age:14,1 m: No 376Age:14,1 m: EastIrrigation:NIL: Shallow grey loamy deep.Intrigation:NIL	s of the project: 2nd ratoonSoil analysis: Date:: Helmsley EstatepH0.M.%Clay %Umhlali6,07-12%: Coastal Hinterlandppm: UmzintoSi Longlands/WaldenePKCa: Latin squarePKCaMg: Latin square203517264: NCo376Age:14,1 mDates:: NCo30150Irrigation:NIL: EastShallow grey loamy sand topsoil ± 400 mm deep.Irrigation:NIL	s of the project: 2nd ratoonSoil analysis: Date: $1/7/81$: Helmsley Estate Umhlali pH $0.M.\%$ $Clay \%$ $P.D.1$: Coastal Hinterland $6,07$ $ 12\%$ $-$: Coastal Hinterland ppm $6,07$ $ 12\%$ $-$: Umzinto S : Longlands/WaldenePKCaMgZn: Latin square 20 35 172 64 $0,6$: NCo 376 Age: $14,1$ mDates: $1/7/81$: East $Rainfall:$ 1139 mmL.T.M. 111: East (Fr) (Fr) : Shallow grey loamy sand topsoil \pm 400 mm deep. 400 mm

ł.

2. Objectives:

To evaluate the effects on cane yield of a trash blanket, burnt tops left scattered or burnt tops raked off the plots.

3. Treatments

- 1. Trash blanket
- 2. Burnt tops left scattered
- 3. Burnt tops raked off

3.1 Notes on treatments

- Trash blanket fairly thin following a 70 tc/ha crop
- The cane was cut green and the tops were burnt eight days later on the appropriate plots
- The scattered burnt tops covered about 40% of the soil surface.

Rainfall (mm)

Month	J	A	S	0	N	D	3	F	Μ	A	Μ	J	J	A	S	TOTAL
81/82	54	149	118	72	201	54	178	74	112	73	19	13	4	9	9	1139
LTM	26	48	79	96	115	120	137	131	118	83	54	28	26	48	8 (79)	1117

115 alv

4. <u>Yield and crop characteristics at harvest</u>

			-	t I			
Treatments	Cane t/ha	Sucrose % cane	Sucrose t/ha	Cane t/ha/ 100 mm	Stalk counts x10-3/ha	Stalk length (cm)	Stalk mass (kg)
Trash blanket Burnt tops scattered Burnt tops raked off	60 66 64	17,0 16,4 16,3	10,3 10,9 10,4	5,3 5,8 5,6	107 124 129	158 163 160	0,55 0,53 0,49
Mean	63	16,6	10,5)	5,6	120	161	0,53
CV % SE of treatment means LSD (0,05) (0,01)	8,1 2,1 6,5 9,3	6,9 0,5 1,5 2,1	11,5 -0,5 1,6 2,2		5,8 2,8 8,9 12,7	3,2 2,1 6,6 9,4	- - -

5. Comments on results

- 5.1 The rainfall recorded was 102% of the LTM; good spring and summer rains were recorded but the crop was stressed prior to harvest. The mean yield was 5,5 tc/ha/100 mm of rain and 4,5 tc/ha/month.
- 5.2 The crop started in winter and the trash blanket severely reduced stalk population, an effect which persisted through to harvest (P=0,01) and which was the main cause of the yield depression.
- 5.3 The trash blanket depressed cane yield (ns) by 9% or 5 tc/ha/annum. In the previous ratoon crop which was harvested in summer, the trash blanket increased yields by 10%.
- 5.4 The scattered tops marginally inproved yields (ns) because stalk population was not reduced and stalk heights were slightly superior compared with the crop in plots with no mulch.

5.5 The cane in the trial was burnt in the devastating runaway fire in the Umhlali area and the trial has been terminated.

Summary of the results of the two successive ratoon crops (1R and 2R)

Treatments	Trash b	lanket	Burnt scat	tops tered	Burnt tops raked off		
Ratoons	tc/ha	tc/ha	tc/ha	tc/ha	tc/ha	tc/ha	
<u>First ratoon</u> Summer start - low rainfall	74	8,0	72	7,9	67	7,1	
Second ratoon							
Winter start - average rainfall	60	10,3	66	10,9	64	10,4	
Mean	67	9,2	69	9,4	66	8,8	

The mean yield from the two crops in tons cane and tons sucrose expressed as a percentage of the yield from the plots with a trash blanket.

	Trash blanket	Burnt tops scattered	Burnt tops raked off
Tons cane/ha	100	103	99
Tons sucrose/ha	100	102	96

RKMcI/IS 3 August 1983

