<u>Catalogue</u>: Object :

To determine the effect on yield and quality of drying off by reducing irrigation frequency in accordance with varying Et/Ec ratios.

This erop: Plant Age: 14,0 months (26.7.78 to 24.9.79)

Location : RSA Experiment * Station. Impala Block A5-All

Soil Type : PE.1 sandy clay loam derived from gneiss.

Design : 6 x 6 Latin Square.

1189

Variety/spacing : NCo 376 in 1,5m rows.

Fertiliser	(Kg/ha):	N	•	P205	<u>K20</u>
•	•	120	• •	6 0	60

Rainfall : 707 mm Irrigation : Variable treatments.

Treatments :

Nett applications of 50 mm were applied by overhead irrigation with Et/Eo ratio varying from 0,4 at crop emergence to 1,0 at full canopy. The factor of 1,0 was maintained during the full canopy stage until drying-off treatments were commenced 12 weeks bafore harvest.

The six drying-off treatments comprised five predetermined class A pan deficits based on declining Et/Eo ratios, and a sixth treatment in which all irrigation was ceased 10 weeks bafore harvest. The treatments were as follows:

Treatment	E	t/Eo ratio	Pan deficit
Dl		1,25	40
D2 ·	•	1,00	50 -
D 3		0,67	75
D4		0,50	100
D5	1	0,33	150
D 6		No further	irrigation.

A net 51 mm was applied when each of the defined defieits had been reached. Rainfall during the drying off period was converted to an effective amount and adjusted by the relevant crop factor for each treatment.

RESULTS

(a) Irrigation data

The routine irrigation schedule described above was used from planting on 26th July, 1978, until 29th June, 1979, when the drying-off treatments were started.

2./ Relevant ...

Relevant irrigation data were as follows :

	<u>D1</u>	<u>D2</u>	<u>D3</u>	<u>D4</u>	D5	<u>D6</u>
Pre-drying off period						. •
No. of irrigations Amount (nm) Mean applic. (nm) Rainfall (nm) Total (nm)	22 ' 1 071 49 695 1 766	22 1 071 49 695 1 766				
Drying-off period		•			•	
No. of irrigations Amount (mm) Mean applic. (mm) Rainfall (mm) Total (mm)	7 357 51 12 369	5 255 51 12 267	3 153 51 12 165	2 102 51 12 114	1 51 51 12 63	1 51 51 12 63
Grand Total (mm)	2 135	2 033	1 931	1 880	1 829	1 829
Yields		· .			. ,	
TC/ha TERC/ha	154,20 21,57	157,52 21,96	155,48 21,88	153,66 21,85	149,27 21,76	156,22 22,12
TC/ha/100mm TERC/ha/100mm	7,22 1,01	7,75 1,08	8,05 1,13	8,17 1,16	8 ,16 1 ,1 9	8,54 1,21

Irrigation intervals varied widely during the drying-off period. Both D5 and D6 received only 1 irrigation during this period, at 5 weeks and 10 weeks before harvest respectively. Rainfall was fortunately low, and did not interfere with the drying-off regimes.

(b) Yield data

Relevant harvest data were as follows :

Treatment	Cane t/ha	ERC % Cane	TFRC per ha	Stalks/ha x 10 -3	Iodging	Flowers %
D1 : 40 mm deficit D2 : 50 " " D3 : 75 " " D4 : 100 " " D5 : 150 " " D6 : 10-week dry-Off	154,20 157,52 155,48 153,66 149,27 156,22	13,99 13,95 14,08 14,22 14,57 14,17	21,57 21,96 21,88 21,85 21,76 22,12	148,8 149,2 147,6 149,0 146,8 148,0	47,5 47,5 52,5 51,7 32,5 67,5	46,7 30,8 40,8 33,3 39,2 25,8
Significance	Ni.1	Nil	Nil	-	.	<u> </u>
Trial mean S.E. plot <u>+</u> S.E. mean <u>+</u> C.V. %	154,39 6,00 2,45 3,89	14,16 0,47 0,19 3,35	21,86 1,02 0,41 4,64	148,2	49,9 - -	36,1 - -

The different drying-off regimes had no significant effect on cane yields,

3./ ERC %

2.

ERC % cane, and TERC/ha. The D6 treatment which was dried-off for 10 weeks before harvest, gave the highest recorded brix values, and slightly lower fibre and purity than the other treatments.

Treatments were applied at too advanced an age to have any effect on stalk populations, but more dodging and less flowering was recorded in the driest treatment (D6).

Because of the lack of yield responses, water use efficiency showed a linear trend to improve as pan deficits were increased during the drying-off period.

KEC/Jan 180.

SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY

AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

7300/13 DRYING - OFF TRIAL

1189

P 1R

830mm

Catalogue:

Object

To determine the effect on yield and quality of drying off by reducing irrigation frequency in accordance with varying Et/Eo ratios.

This Crop :	First Ratoon Age : 12,0 months (24:9:79 to 23:9:80)
Location :	ZSA Experiment Station, Impala Blook A5 - All
Soil type :	PE.1 sandy clay loam derived from gneiss
Design :	6 x 6 Latin Square
Variety/spacing :	NCo 376 in 1,5m rows
Fertiliser (kg/ha) :	<u>N</u> <u>P205</u> <u>K20</u>

	-	-202	
120	÷	60	60
180	:	100	60

Rainfall

Treatments :

Nett applications of 51mm were applied by overhead irrigation with Et/Eo ratios varying from 0,4 at orop emergence to 1,0 at full canopy. The factor of 1,0 was maintained during the full canopy stage until drying-off treatments were commenced 12 weeks before harvest.

Irrigation : Variable treatments

The six drying-off treatments comprised five pre-determined Class A pan deficits based on declining Et/Eo ratios, and a sixth treatment in which all irrigation was ceased 10 weeks before harvest. The treatments were as follows:

	Treatm	ent	Et/Eo	ratio	Pan	defici	L .
	D1		ំ ្ ា	,25. 📫		40	•
	D2		1	,00		50	·
	· D3		0	,67		75	• 、
	D4		0	,50		00 🖾	
•	D5	S	0	,33	. 1	50	• • •
ʻ	. D6		N	o furti	her irri		11

A nett 51mm was applied when each of the defined deficits had been reached. Rainfall during the drying-off period was converted to an effective amount and adjusted by the relevant crop factor for each treatment.

2./ RESULTS .

(a) <u>Irrigation Data</u>. The routine irrigation schedule described above was used from harvest of the plant crop on 24th September, 1979, until 30th June, 1980, when the drying-off treatments were started.

Relevant irrigati	on data	were as	follows		•	
•	<u>D1</u>	<u>D2</u>	<u>D3</u>	<u>D4</u>	<u>D5</u>	D6
Pre-drying off period			· ′.• ·	· .	• •	. ·
No. of irrigations Amount (mm) Mean applic (mm) Rainfall (mm) Total (mm)	18 918 51 764 1 751	51 764	51		18 918 51 764 1 751	18 918 51 764 1 751
Drying-off period			•	-	• ••	
No. of irrigations Amount (mm) Mean applic. (mm) Rainfall (mm) Total (mm)	8 408 51 66 474	6 306 51 66 372	4 204 51 , 66 270	2 102 51 66 168	1 51 51 66 117	0 0 66 66
Grand Total	2 225	2 123	2 021	ູ 1 91 9	1868	1 817
Yields					· .	
TC/ha TERC/ha	132,9 18,91	140,6 19,92	145,7 20,21		138,1 19,91	149 , 1 21,11
TC/ha/100mm TERC/ha/100mm	5,97 0,85		7,21 1,00	7,20 1,03	7, 39 1,07	8,21 `1,16

Heavy unseasonal rainfall fell from 8th to 10th September, including a storm of 55mm on the 9th, and this may have influenced results to some extent. It was too close to harvest to have had any affect on yields, but would certainly have affected ERC % cane and probably eliminated any quality benefits that may have accrued in the treatments that had been dried-off for long periods.

(b) Yield Data. Relevant harvest data are shown in the attached tables.

The different drying-off regimes had no significant effect on cane yields in the plant crop, but in the first ration crop the wet treatment (D1) caused a significant depression in yield, and there was an apparent yield improvement in the D6 treatment which was dried-off for 10 weeks before hervest.

ERC% cane values were unaffected by treatments in both the plant and first ration crops, so that TERC/ha responses were the same as for cane yields.

Stalk counts and flowering percentages were unaffected by treatments, as would be expected in view of the fact that treatments were only imposed 10 weeks before harvest. Lodging percentages were erratic in the different replications, but there was nevertheless a trend for reduced lodging in the D5 treatment, and slightly increased lodging in D6.

KEC/Oct. '80.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• .′	· · · ·		• • •		•
	CANE	CANE t/ha		CANE	TERC/ha	
TREATMENTS	Р	.: 1R	Р	1 R	P	lr
D1 : form deficit	154,20	132,92	13,99	14,24	21,57	18,91
D2 : 50mm "	157,52	140,59	13,95	14,17	21,96	19,92
D3 : 75mm "	155,48	145,70	14,08	13,87	21,88	20,21
D4 : 100mm "	153,66	138,05	14,22	14,26	21,85	19,68
D5 : 150mm "	149,27	138,14	14,57	14,41	21,76	19,91
D6 : 10-week dry off	156,22	149,05	14,17	14,16	22,12	21,11
L.S.D. P=0,05	N.S.	8,17	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	1,26
P=0,01	N.S.	11,15	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Trial mean	154,39	140,74	14,16	14,18	21,86	19,96
S.E. Plot ¹⁺	6,00	6,79	0,47	0,32	1,02	1,05
S.E. mean <u>+</u>	2,45	2,77	0,19	0,13	0,41	0,43
C.V. %	3,89	4,82	3,35	2,27	4,64	5,25

HARVEST DATA : PLANT AND FIRST RATOON CROPS

	STALKS/1	n x 10-3	LODGI	NG %	FLOWERS %	
TREATMENTS	Ð	lr	Р	lR	P	IR
D1 : 40mm deficit D2 : 50mm " D3 : 75mm " D4 : 100mm " D5 : 150mm " D6 : 10-week dry-off	148,8 149,2 147,6 149,0 146,8 148,0	153,6 156,2 151,9 149,1 151,2 153,9	47,5 47,5 52,5 51,7 32,5 67,5	35,2 46,7 45,8 40,8 26,7 58,3	46,7 30,8 40,8 33,3 39,2 25,8	35,0 46,7 41,7 50,8 47,5 46,7
Means	148,2	152,6	49,9	42,3	36,1	44,1

SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY

AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

DRYING-OFF TRIAL 7300/13

Cat No.: 1189

Title:

Object :To determine the effect on yield and quality of drying-offby reducing the irrigation frequency in accordance with
varying Et/Eo ratios.

This crop: Second retoon Age: 11,7 months (23.9.80 to 14.9.81)

Location : ZSA Experiment Station, Impala Block A5 - 10

Soil Type : PE.1 sandy clay loam derived from gneiss

Design: 6 x 6 Latin square

Variety/spacing : NCo 376 in 1,5m rows

 Pertiliser (kg/ha):
 N
 F205

 F
 120
 60

 1R
 180
 100

 2R
 180
 100

Rainfall : 853 mm Irrigation : Variable

Treatments :

Nett applications of 51 mm were applied by overhead irrigation with Et/Eo ratios varying from 0,4 at crop emergence to 1,0 at full canopy. The Et/Eo ratio of 1,0 was maintained during the full canopy stage until drying-off treatments were imposed 12 weeks before harvest.

K20

60

60

60

The six drying-off treatments comprised five pre-determined Class 'A' pan accumulative evaporation deficits based on declining Et/Eo ratios, and a sixth treatment in which irrigation ceased 12 weeks prior to harvest.

The Treatments were as follows :-

Treatment	<u>Et/Eo ratio</u>	Accumulative evaporation deficit
D1	1,25	40 mm
D2	1,00	50 mm
D3	0,67	75 mm
D4	0,50	100 mm
D5	0,33	150 mm
D6	Irrigation	ceased 12 weeks before hervest.

An irrigation of 51 mm nett was applied when each of the specified deficits was reached. Rainfall during the drying off period was deducted from the accumulative deficit.

2./ RESULTS.

7300/13 (2R)

RESUL/IS

(a) <u>Irrigation</u> data. The routine irrigation schedule described above was used from harvest of the first ration crop on 23 September 1980, until 18th June, 1981 when the drying-off treatments commenced.

Relevant irrigation data were as follows :-

	<u>D1</u>	D2	D3	D4	D5	<u>D6</u>
Pre-drying-off period						
No. of irrigations Amount (mm) Mean application (mm) Rainfall (mm) Total (mm)	16 816 51 808 1 624	16 816 51 808 1624	16 816 51 808 1 624	16 816 51 808 1 624	16 816 51 808 1 624	16 816 51 808 1 624
Drying-off period						
No. of irrigations Amount (mm) Mean application (mm) Reinfell (mm) Total (mm)	6 306 51 45 351	5 255 51 45 300	3 153 51 45 198	2 102 51 45 147	1 51 51 45 96	0 0 45 45
Total precipitation (mm)	1 975	1 924	1 822	1 771	1 720	1 669
Yields						
TC/ha TERC/ha TC/ha/100 mm TERC/ha/100 mm	132,1 17,90 6,69 0,91	137,2 18,19 7,13 0,95	133,5 17,82 7,33 0,98	125,4 17,12 7,08 0,97	130,2 17,81 7,57 1,04	134,0 18,28 8,03 1,10

Moderate rainfall in late August/early September of 28mm and another 16mm just prior to harvest may have influenced results to some extent. It is unlikely that yields were affected by the rainfall as it was close to harvest but ERC% cane values probably were, and quality benefits that may have accrued in the treatments that had been dried-off for long periods may have been eliminated.

Gravimetric sampling was conducted during the drying-off period and this indicated a marked decline in soil moisture % in the most severe drying-off treatments (D6), but the rainfall raised the soil moisture % considerably, at least in the upper 75 cm of the profile.

Observation well data was collected from half the plots in the trial. This showed that the water table level in some plots in early Septembor was as high as 60 cm below the surface although the mean water table level was at 1,25 m. Insufficient data was available to establish any relationship between the water table level and available soil moisture. However the relatively high water table level may be responsible for the negligible response of yield and ERC% cane values to drying-off treatments.

Further evaluation of this aspect will be conducted in the third ration crop.

(b) Field data. Relevant harvest data are shown in the attached tables.

In the second ratio the D4 treatment (drying-off with an Et/Eo ratio of 0,50) resulted in a slightly significant depression in case yield. However,

3./ no

7300/13 (2R)

no consistent pattern emerged of yield response to drying-off treatments and this result was possibly fortuitous and should be treated with caution.

Drying-off regimes had no significant effect on cane yields in the plant crop. In the first ration crop the wettest treatment (D1) caused a significant depression in yield and there was an apparent yield improvement in the D6 treatment which was dried-off for 12 weeks prior to harvest.

ERC% cane values were unaffected by treatments in the second ratoon crop, as also was the situation for the plant and first ratoon crops. TERC/ha yields were not significantly different in the three crops.

Mean data for 3 harvests are presented and indicate that the cane yields from the D2 (normal irrigation), D3 (drying-off with an Et/Eo ratio of 0,67) and D6 (cessation of irrigation 12 weeks before harvest) treatments are marginally higher than the other 3 treatments but the ERC% cane values are lower. Consequently TERC/ha yields are very similar for all treatments.

Reduction in irrigation frequency resulted in an increase in efficiency of water utilisation as measured by TERC/ha/100 mm of applied water. This increased from a value of 0,91 TERC/ha/100 mm for the shortest drying-off treatment to 1.16 TERC/ha/100 mm for the longest drying-off treatment.

Stalk counts and flowering percentages were unaffected by drying-off, expectedly as the treatments were only imposed 12 weeks before harvest. Lodging percentages were erratic in the different replications but nevertheless were lower in the D4 and D5 treatments.

RDE/Oct. '81. rw.

.

2.4

HARVEST DATA : PLANT, FIRST AND SECOND RATOON CROPS

		CANE t/ha					CANE		TERC/ha			
TREATMENTS	P	lr	2R	Means P - 2R	P	lr	2R	Means P - 2R	P	lr	2R	Me ans P - 2R
D1 : Et/Eo 1,25; 40mm deficit D2 : " 1,0 ; 50mm " D3 : " 0,67; 75mm " D4 : " 0,50; 100mm " D5 : " 0,33; 150mm " D6 : 12 week dry-off.	154,20 157,52 155,48 153,66 149,27 156,22	132,92 140,59 145,70 138,05 138,14 149,05	137,22 133,54 125,41 130,24	139,04	13,95 14,08 14,22 14,57	14,17 13,87 14,26 14,41	13,54 13,26 13,35 13,64 13,68 13,65	13,77 14,04	21,96 21,88	19,68 19,91	17,90 18,19 17,82 17,12 17,81 18,28	19,46 20,02 19,97 19,55 19,83 20,50
L.S.D. P=0,05 P=0,01	N.S. N.S.	8,17 11,15	6,41 N.S.		N.S. N.S.	N.S. N.S.	N.S. N.S.		N.S. N.S.	1,26 N.S.	N.S. N.S.	-
Trial mean S.E. plot <u>+</u> S.E. treatment mean <u>+</u> C.V.%	154,39 6,00 2,45 3,89	140,74 6,79 2,77 4,82	132,08 5,32 2,17 4,03	142,40	14,16 0,47 0,19 3,35	14,18 0,32 0,13 2,27	13,52 0,37 0,15 2,76	13,96 _ _ _	21,86 1,02 0,41 4,64	19,96 1,05 0,43 5,25	17,85 0,88 0,36 4,91	19,89 - - -

ĸ

4

.

· · · · ·

3

4

STALK COUNTS, LODGING AND FLOWERING % :

Т

.

PLANT, FIRST AND SECOND RATOON CROPS

	Sta	lks/ha	x 10 ⁻³	Γo	dging	\$	Flowering \$		
Treatments	Р	lR	2R	Р	lr	2R	Р	1R	2R
D3 : " 0,67; 75mm " D4 : " 0,50; 100mm " D5 : " 0,33; 150mm "	149,2 147,6 149,0 146,8	156,2 151,9 149,1	158,6 155,7 155,5 156,4	47,5 47,5 52,5 51,7 32,5 67,5	46,7 45,8 40,8 26,7	30,8 35,8 40,0 13;3 15,8 40,8	30,8 40,8 33,3 39,2	35,0 46,7 41,7 50,8 47,5 46,7	1,7 2,5 5,0 4,2
Mean	148,2	152,6	157,7	49,9	42,3	29,4	36 , 1	44,7	3,1

5.`

SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY

AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

7300/13 DRYING-OFF TRIAL

	TERMINAL REPORT	<u>Cat. No.:</u> 1189
	<u>Object</u> :	To determine the effect of drying-off on yield and quality of sugarcane by reducing the irrigation frequency in accordance with varying Et/Eo ratios.
	<u>Planted</u> :	26th July, 1978.
	Terninated:	15th September, 1982, after the 3rd ration crop.
-	Harvest dates/ and ages:	CropHarvestAgeP24.9.7914,0 months1R23.9.8012,0 months2R14.9.8111,7 months3R15.9.8212,0 months.
	Location:	ZSA Experiment Station, Impala Block A5-10.
	Soil type:	Triangle P.E.1 sandy clay loan.
	Design:	6 x 6 Latin square.
	Fertiliser: (kg/ha)	<u>, N P K</u> P 120 60 60 1R 180 100 60 2R 180 100 60

180

ΚR

Treatments: ⁶

During the pre-drying-off period nett applications of 51mm were applied by overhead irrigation with Et/Eo ratios increasing from 0,4 at crop emergence to 1,0 at full canopy, which was maintained until drying-off treatments were imposed 12 weeks prior to harvest. " (10 weeks for the plant crop).

60

100

The six drying-off treatments comprised five predetermined Class 'A' open pan accumulative evaporation deficits based on declining Et/Eo ratios, and a sixth treatment in which irrigation ceased 12 weeks before harvest. The treatments were as follows:-

<u>Treatment</u>	<u>Et/Eo ratio</u>	Accumulative evaporation deficit
D1	1,25	40mm
D2	1,00	50mm
D3	(0,67	75mm
D4	0,50	100mm
D5	0,33	150mm
D6	Irrigatic	on ceased 12 weeks before harvest

An irrigation of 51mm nett was applied when each of the specified deficits was reached. Rainfall during the drying-off period was deducted from the accumulated deficit.

2/RESULTS....

RESULTS

(a) <u>Irrigation data</u>. Irrigation and rainfall data for the four crops are presented in Table 1.

- 2 -

Rainfall was above average for the first three crops of the trial and this was reflected in the second rateon when piezometer readings indicated a relatively high water table. In the third rateon rainfall was below average which resulted in lowered water table levels.

Rainfall during the drying-off phase may have influenced treatment effects when 66mm and 45mm rainfall occurred on the first and second ratoon crops respectively. There was negligible rainfall interference during dryingoff in the plant and third ratoon crops.

Soil noisture status at or just prior to harvest was determined in the plant, second and third ratoon crops (Table 2). This data indicated a general decline in available soil noisture with increasing severity of drying-off regimes. For the D5 and D6 treatments, where the open pan deficits at harvest were greater than total available noisture, there was no available soil noisture in the upper 90cm of soil in the plant and third ratoon crops. However, in the second ratoon a significant amount of available noisture was present at harvest, reflecting the rainfall that occurred during drying-off and the raised water table resulting from the high rainfall experienced that season.

The mean water table depth at harvest in the second ration was 1,25m and for the third ration 1,44m.

(b) <u>Yield data</u>. The harvest data for the four crops of this trial are presented in Tables 1-5.

(1) <u>Cane yield</u>: Drying-off regimes had no significant effect on cane yield in theplant and third rateon crops. In the first rateon crop the wettest treatment (D1) caused a significant depression in yield and there was an apparent yield improvement with the D6 treatment, dried-off for 12 weeks before harvest. In the second rateon, the D4 treatment (dryingoff with an Et/Eo ratio of 0,5) resulted in a slightly significant depression in cane yield.

There was no abvious trend of yield response to drying-off regimes when these were meaned for the four crops. There was only a variation of 6,7 TC/ha between the mean yield of the the highest and lowest yielding treatments.

(2) <u>ERC % cane</u>: ERC % cone values were not significantly affected by the drying-off regimes in any of the crops. There was, however, a tendency for them to increase with increase in severity of drying-off, particularly in the plant crop and third ratoon when negligible rainfall occurred during the drying-off phase. In the third ratoon the response of ERC % cane values to drying-off was markedly linear. In this particular crop Pol % and Brix % values were significantly increased with longer drying-off periods.

Mean values for the four crops indicated shall increase in ERC % cane with increased severity of drying-off.

3/TERC....

(3) <u>TERC/he yields</u>: These generally followed a similar pattern to those of cane yields but they were slightly modified by the higher ERC % cane values of the severer drying-off treatments. A significant difference was only recorded in the first ration when crystal yields were depressed by the wettest treatment and increased by the driest treatment.

Mean values for the four crops showed that the highest yields were obtained from the longest drying-off period (12 weeks) and the lowest from the shortest drying-off period (40 mm pan deficit). No consistent pattern energed from the intermediate drying-off regimes.

Efficiency of use of applied water. (Table 6).

The efficiency of use of applied water was expressed in terms of TC or TERC/ha/1001m applied water. Both of these parameters increased approximately linearly with increasing severity of drying-off from 0,97 TERC/ha/ 100mm (7,00 TC/ha/100mm) for the D1 treatment to 1,23 TERC/ha/100mm (8,69 TC/ha/100mm) for the D6 treatment. This represented a 27% and 24% increase for the respective parameters. Yields per unit of applied water were highest for all treatments in the driest season (3rd ratoon).

The mean difference in total applied water between the least (D6) and most (D1) frequently irrigated treatments was 331 mm, which resulted in a TERC/ ha yield improvement by the former of 1,15 t/ha.

Other harvest data

Mean stalk counts were slightly reduced with drying-off schedules nore severe than 50m pan deficits, but this had no apparent yield effects (Table 7). Stalk lengths and diameters were not affected by treatments.

Lodging and flowering percentages were not affected by the drying-off regimes (Table 8).

CONCLUSIONS -

There was no consistent response of cane yield to increasing the length of the drying-off period. Particularly satisfying was that there was no evidence of a reduction in yield due to a prolonged (12 week) period without irrigation.

ERC % cane values tended to increase with severity of drying-off regimes, a trend observed in previous experiments.

A considerable improvement in efficiency of water use was possible with increasingly severe drying-off regimes.

Some reduction in high water table levels is also possible by extending drying-off.

It is clear that prolonged periods of drying-off during the winter nonths when daily evaporation rates are low are advantageous, particularly on relatively deep soils. On shallower soils, those of lower available moisture capacity and during periods of high evaporation, drying-off treatments may require modification, but the advantages of drying-off clearly demand that this practice should be routine.

RDE/Jan¹⁸³

PLANT TO THIRD RATOON

TABLE 1 : IRRIGATION AND RAINFALL DATA

		I	RE-DRY ING-	-OFF PHAS	SE		DRYING-OFT	F PHASE	<u>(</u>	TOTA	L APPLICA	TION	
TREATMENT	CROP	No. of Irrig- ations	Irrig- ation applied	Rain- fall IM	Total water m	No. of Irrig- ations	Irrig- ation applied mm	Bain- fall	Total water mn	No. of Irrig- ations	Irrig- ation applied	Rain fall	Total water mm
D1 Dry-off at Et/Eo ratio of 1,25; 40mm pan deficit	P 1R 2R 3R Mean	22 18 16 <u>22</u> 20	1 071 918 816 1 064 967	695 764 808 398 666	1 766 1 682 1 624 1 462 1 634	7 8 6 6 7	357 408 306 <u>306</u> 344	12 66 45 <u>6</u> <u>32</u>	369 474 351 <u>312</u> 376	29 26 22 28 26	1 428 1 326 1 122 <u>1 370</u> 1 311	707 830 853 404 699	2 135 2 156 1 975 1 774 2 010
D2 Dry-off at Et/Eo ratio of 1,0; 50mm pan deficit	P 1R 2R 3R Mean	22 18 - 16 22 20	1 071 918 816 1 064 967	695 764 808 398 • 666	1 766 1 682 1 624 <u>1 462</u> 1 634	5 6 5 5	255 306 255 255 268	12 66 45 6 32	267 372 300 261 300	27 24 21 27 25	1 326 1 224 1 071 1 319 1 235	707 830 853 <u>404</u> 699	2 033 2 054 1 924 1 723 1 934
D3 Dry-off at Et/Eo ratio of 0,67; 75mm pan deficit	P 1R 2R 3R Moan	22 18 16 22 20	1 071 918 816 1 064 967	695 764 808 398 666	1 766 1 682 1 624 <u>1 462</u> 1 634	3 4 3 3, 3	153 204 153 153 166	12 66 45 6 32	165 270 198 159 198	25 22 19 25 23	1 224 1 122 969 <u>1 217</u> 1 133	707 830 853 404 699	1 931 1 952 1 822 1 621 1 832
D4 Dry-off at Et/Eo ratio of 0,50; 100mm pan deficit	P 1R 2R 3R Mean	22 18 16 <u>22</u> 20	1 071 918 816 1 064 967	695 764 808 <u>398</u> 666	1 766 1 682 1 624 <u>1 462</u> 1 634	2 2 2 2 2	102 102 102 102 102	12 66 45 6 32	114 168 147 <u>108</u> 134	24 20 18 24 22	1 173 1-020 918 1 166 1 069	707 830 953 404 699	1 680 1 850 1 771 <u>1 570</u> 1 768
D5 Dry-off at Et/Eo ratic of 0,33; 150m pan defi- cit.	P 1R 2R 3R Mean	22 18 16 22 20	1 071 918 816 1 064 967	695 764 808 <u>398</u> 666	1 766 1 582 1 624 1 462 1 634	1 1 1 1	51 51 51 51 51 51	12 66 45 6 <u>32</u>	63 117 96 <u>57</u> 83	23 19 17 23 21	1 122 969 867 1 115 1 018	707 830 853 404 699	1 829 1 799 1 720 <u>1 519</u> <u>1 717</u>
DC Dry-off by censo- tion of irrigation 12 weeks before harvest.	P 1R 2R 3R Mean	22 18 16 <u>22</u> 20	1 071 918 816 <u>1 064</u> 967	695 764 808 <u>398</u> 666	1 766 1 682 1 624 <u>1 624</u> <u>1 634</u>	1 0 0 0	51 0 - 0 - 13	12 66 45 <u>6</u> 32	63 766 45 6 45	23 18 16 <u>22</u> 20	1 122 918 816 <u>1 054</u> 980	707 830 857 <u>404</u> 699	1 829 1 748 1 673 <u>1 466</u> 1 679

PLANT. SECOND AND THIRD RATOON CROP

TABLE 2 : SOIL MOISTURE STATUS PRIOR TO HARVEST

	<u>.</u>	•			۰ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ
	TREATMENT	CROP	Accumulated Pan Deficit	Available Moisture at Harvest nn/ 90cn 1	Available Moisture at Harvest as % total avail- able moisture 2
ł	D1 Dry-off at Et/Ec	P	35,2	68,1	60%
	ratio of 1,25; 40	2R	40,9	67,1	59%
	rm pan deficit.	3R	61,9	51,9	46%
	D2 Dry-off at Et/Eo	P	58,3	0	0
	ratio of 1,0; 50	2R	33,7	78,0	69%
	um pan deficit	3R	34,1	75,6	67%
	D3 Dry-off at Et/Eo	P	77,2	23,1	20%
	ratic of 0,67;75	2R	50,9	79,2	70%
	Em pan deficit	3R	68,3	51,9	46%
	D4 Dry-off at Et/Eo	P	116,1	0	0
	ratio of 0,5;100	2R	71,7	52,8	47%
	rm pan deficit	3N	76,9	16,2	14%
	D5 Dry-off at Et/Eo	P	149,7	0	0
	ratic of 0,33;150	2R	120,2	45,0	40%
	rn pan deficit -	3R	135,1	0	0
	D6 Dry-off by cessa- tion of irrigation 12 weeks before harvest.	P 2R 3R	303,8 272,8 288,2	0 30,0 1,5	0 27% 1%

1. Estimated from gravimetric sampling assuming (a) a mean bulk density of 1,6gn/cc (b) estimated wilting point derived by pressure membrane determinations of samples from adjacent site of similar soils.

2. Total available moisture in upper 90cm of soil estimated to be 113mm.

HARVEST DATA : PLANT, FIRST, SECOND AND THIRD RATOON CROPS

TABLE 3 : CANE YIELD

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		CANE t/A	10. 	
TREATMENT	P	18	2R	3R	Mean P-3R
D1 Et/Eo 1,25; 40mm deficit	154,20	132,92	132,08	143,65	140,71
D2 Et/Eo 1,0; 50mm deficit	157,52	140,59	137,22	146,94	145,57
D3 Et/Eo;0,67; 75mm deficit	155,48	145,70	133,54	141,68	144,10
D4 Et/Eo 0,50;100mm deficit	153,66	138,05	125,41	141,93	139,76
D5 Et/Eo 0,33;150mm deficit	149,27	138,14	130,24	143,42	140,27
D6 12 week drying-off period	156,22	149,05	133,97	146,77	146,50
L.S.D. $P = 0.05$	N.S.	8,17	6,41	N.S.	-
P = 0.01	N.S.	11,15	N.S.	N.S.	
Trial mean	154,39	140,74	132,08	144,06	142,82
S.E. single plot ±	6,00	6,79	5,32	7,73	
S.E. treatment mean .	2,45	2,77	2,17	3,15	
C.V. %	3,89	4,82	4,03	5,36	

TABLE 4 : ERC % CANE

	······································	ER(CANÉ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
TREATHTENT	P	1R C	2R	311	Mean P-3R
D1 Et/Eo 1,25; 40mm deficit D2 Et/Eo 1,0; 50mm deficit D3 Et/Eo 0,67; 75mm deficit D4 Et/Eo 0,50;100mm deficit D5 Et/Eo 0,33;150mm deficit D6 12 week dry-off L.S.D. $P = 0,05$	13,99 13,95 14,08 14,22 14,57 14,17 N.S.	14,24 14,17 13,87 14,26 14,41 14,16 N.S.	13,54 13,26 13,35 13,64 13,68 13,65 N.S.	13,65 13,68 13,84 13,97 14,08 14,36 N.S.	13,86 13,77 13,79 14,02 14,19 14,09
P = 0,01 Trial mean S.E. songle plct +	N.S. 14,16 0,47	N.S. 14,18 0,32	N.S. 13,52	N.S.	- 13,95
S.E. treatment mean + C.V.%	0,19	0,13	0,37 0,15 2,76	0,42 0,17 3,00	-

-7300/13 DRYING-OFF TRIAL

HARVEST DATA : PLANT, FIRST, SECOND AND THIRD RATOON CROPS

TABLE 5 : TERC YIELD

			TERC/h	2	
TREATMENT	P	1R	2R	3 R	Mean P-3R
D1 Et/Eo 1,25; 40rm deficit	21,57	18,91	17,90	19,61	19,50
D2 Et/Ec 1,0 ; 50rm deficit	21,96	19,92	18,19	20,08	20,04
D3 Et/Eo 0,67; 75rm deficit	21,88	20,21	17,82	19,60	19,88
D4 Et/Eo 0,50;100rm deficit	21,85	19,68	17,12	19,84	19,62
D5 Et/Eo 0,33;150rm deficit	21,76	19,91	17,81	20,19	19,92
D6 12 week dry-off	22,12	21,11	18,28	21,08	20,65
$I_{\bullet}S_{\bullet}D_{\bullet}$ $P = 0,05$	N.S.	1,26	N.S.	N.S.	
P = 0,01	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	
Trial mean	21,86	19,96	17,85	20,06	19,94
S.E. single plot ±	- 1,02	1,05	0,88	1,08	
S.E. treatment mean ±	- 0,41	0,43	0,36	0,44	
C.V. %	4,64	5,25	4,91	5,39	

Ì

PLANT TO THIRD RATOON CROP

TABLE 6 : EFFICIENCY OF USE OF APPLIED WATER

		<u>~</u>		•		
DRYING-OFF TREATMENT	CROP	Total applied water	Cane yield t/ha	TERC/ha yield	TC/ha per 100cm applied water	TERC/ha per 100mm applied water
D1 Dry-off at Et/Eo ratio of 1,25; 40mm pan deficit	P 1R 2R <u>3R</u> Mean	2 135 2 225 1 975 <u>1 774</u> 2 027	154,20 132,92 132,08 143,65 140,71	21,57 18,91 17,90 19,61 19,50	7,22 5,97 6,69 8,10 7,00	1,01 0,85 0,91 1,11 0,97
D2 Dry-off at Et/Ec ratio of 1,0; 50mm pan deficit	P 1R 2R 3R Mean	2 033 2 123 1 924 1 723 1 951	157,52 140,59 137,22 146,94 145,57	21,96 19,92 18,19 20,08 20,04	7,75 6,62 7,13 8,53 7,51	1,08 0,94 0,95 <u>1,17</u> 1,04
D3 Dry-off at Et/Ho ratio of 0,67; 75mm pan deficit	/ P AR 2F <u>3R</u> Meen	1 931 2 021 1 822 <u>1 621</u> 1 849	155,48 145,70 133,54 141,68 144,10	21,88 20,21 17,82 19,60 19,88	8,05 7,21 7,33 8,74 7,83	1,13 1,00 0,98 <u>1,21</u> 1,08
D4 Dry-off at Et/Eo ratio of 0,50; 100mm pan deficit	P 1R 2R, <u>3R</u> Mean	1 880 1 919 1 771 <u>1 570</u> 1 785	153,66 138,05 125,41 <u>141,93</u> 139,76	21,85 19,68 17,12 19,84 19,62	8,17 7,19 7,08, 9,04 7,87	1,16 1,03 0,97 <u>1,26</u> 1,11
D5 Dry-off at Et/Eo ratio of 0,33; 150m pan deficit	P 1R 2R <u>3R</u> Mean	1 829 1 868 1 720 <u>1 519</u> 1 734	149,27 138,14 130,24 143,42 140,27	⁻ 21,76 19,91 17,81 20,19 19,92	8,16 7,40 7,57 <u>9,44</u> 8,14	1,19 1,07 1,04 <u>1,33</u> 1,16
DG Dry-off by cessation of irrigation 12 weeks before harvest	P 1R 2R <u>3R</u> Mean	1 829 1 817 1 669 1 268 1 696	156,22 149,05 133,97 146,77 146,50	22,12 21,11 18,28 21,08 20,65	8,54 8,20 8,03 10,00 8,69	1,21 1,16 1,10 <u>1,44</u> 1,23
Overall Mean		1-840	. 142,82	19,94	7,84	1,10

- U

PLANT, FIRST, SECOND AND THIRD RATOON CROPS

TABLE 7 : STALK COUNTS, STALK LENGTHS AND STALK DIAMETERS

TREATMENT -	STALK COUNTS/ha x 10 ⁻³					STALK LENGTHS m					STALK DIAM TER cm				······
	Р	_ 1R	2R	3 R	Mean P-3R	Ē	1R `	2R	3 TL	Mean P-3R	Р	1R	2R	3R	Mean P-3R
D1:40mm deficit D2:50mm deficit D3:75mm deficit D4:100mm deficit D5:150mm ceficit D6:12 week dry-off	148,8 149,2 147,6 149,0 146,8 148,0	153,6 156,2 151,9 149,1 151,2 153,9	163,4 158,6 155,7 155,5 156,4 156,5	174,4 173,2 171,9 171,0 171,9 166,9	160,1 159,3 156,8 156,2 156,6 156,3	2,77 2,88 2,73 2,81 2,82 2,87	2,38 2,63 2,55 2,56 2,59 2,56	2,61 2,70 2,66 2,60 2,66 2,64	2,64 2,68 2,67 2,73 2,70 2,66	2,60 2,72 2,65 2,68 2,68 2,68	2,2 2,2 2,2 2,2 2,2 2,2 2,2 2,1	1,9 1,8 1,8 1,8 1,8 1,8 1,8	1,9 1,9 1,8 1,8 1,8 1,8	2,0 2,1 2,0 2,0 1,9 2,0	2,0 2,0 2,0 2,0 1,9 2,0
Mean	148,2	152,6	157,7	171,5	157,6	2,81	2,55	2,65	2,68	2,67	2,2	1,8	1,9	2,0	2,0

TABLE 8 : LODGING AND FLOWFRING PERCENTAGES

		LC	DGING	%	· · ·	········	FLOWE	RING	%	1
	P	1 <u>R</u>	2R	3 R	Mean P-3R	Ŗ	1R	2R	3R	Mean P-3R
D1 Et/E 1,25; 40mm deficit D2 Et/Eo 1,0; 50mm deficit D3 Et/Eo 0,67; 75mm deficit D4 Et/Eo 0,50;100mm deficit D5 Et/Eo 0,33;150mm deficit D6 12 week dry-off	48 53 52 33 68	35 47 46 41 27 58	31 36 40 13 16 41	20 22 20 15 20 8	34 38 40 30 24 44	47 31 41 33 39 26	75 47 42 51 48 47	3 2 3 5 4 3	1 2 0 1 1	22 21 22 23 23 19
Mean	50.	42	30	18	35	36	45	3	` 1	22