SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY.

AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION.

Code: A(DROUGHT)6/80/P

Cat. No : 1265

TITLE: Management of droughted Cane

...

1. Particulars of the project	•
This crop : Plant	Soil analysis: Date: 15/8/80
Site : Egolomi Est. Tongaat	pH 0.M.% Clay% P.D.I
Region : North Coast Coastal	5,8 - 23 -
Soil system : Umzinto Coast Lowlands	ppm
Soil form/series : Glenrosa	PK Ca Mg Zn Al
Design : Randomised block With	68 372 811 220 4,0 2
Variety : NCo 310 Split Plot	Age:T1.10,6 months Dates:15/08/80-
Fertilizer kg/ha : <u>N P K</u> Ameliorants i.f.: 51 165 -	2/0//81 - Age T2.26.6 months <u>Dates</u> :15/4/79 2/07/81
t.d.: 118 - 118 Filtercake in furrow at unknown rate	Rainfall. T1 1 139 mm L.T.M. 1 015 mm Rainfall: T2 1 897 mm L.T.M. 2 130 mm

2. Objectives

- 1. To determine whether severely droughted cane with too little stick to harvest should be cut back or left, and
- 2. To assess the need to reapply fertilizer to the cane once the drought breaks.
- 3. Treatments

T1 Cane cut back T2 Cane left standing F0 No Fertilizer appl

FO No Fertilizer applied F1 Fertilizer applied

NOTES ON FERTILIZER. 180Kg N/ha as Urea were applied IN two equal dressings at the end of September and October. (198 Kg/ha Urea per application)

<u>Condition of the crop-</u> Very little green leaf present, meristem discoloured and stalk pithy.

4. Results

4.1 <u>Yield</u>

Treatments				Ca t/	ane /ha	Ers % cane	Fib	re % ne _	Suc % cane	5 Er t/	s ha	Suc t/ha
T1 F0 Cane cut back - no fertilizer					93 .	9,7			11,3	3 9	,1	10,5
ī1 F1 Cane cu back - with fertilizer					92	10,6			12,1	9	,8	11,2
T2 F0 Cane left standing - no fertilizer				71	8,6			10,2	2 6	,1	7,3	
T2 F1 Cane left standing - wi	th fe	ertili:	zer		74	9,2		·	10,8	3 6	,7	8,0
Mean				1	3Ź	9,5			-11,1	7	,9	9,2
Whole plats Cane cut back					92	10,2	11	,2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9	,4	10,8
Cane left stand	ing				72	.8,9	13	,2 .	•	6	,4	7,6
C.V.%					7,0	•	} .		5,4	↓ }		
L.S.D. (0,05)	·			1:	3,0	1,5			2,5	5 2	,4	2,4
∫ No fertilizer	· .			1	82	9,1	1		10,8	3 7	,6	8,9
Sub plots 2 With fertilizer			÷.	1.1	B3	9,9			11,5	5 8	,3	9,6
C.V. %				12	2,4	•					-	
4.2 Harvested crop chara	cteri	stics									-	
Treatments				S1 c0 X10	talk unts 1-3/h	a	Stalk length kg/stalk (cm)			·		
T1 F0 Cane cut back - no fertilizer				133			2	02	0,70			
T1 F1 Cane cut back - with fe	rtili	zer		128			214		0,72			
T2 F0 Cane left standing - no	fert	ilize	r ·	90			198		0,79			
T2 F1 Cane left standing - with fertilizer				93		205		0,80				
Mean				1	11		2	05		0,	75	
• 4.3 <u>3rd leaf values (sam</u>	pled	on 8.	01.1	981,	6.02	2.1981	, 4.0	3.198	1 and	7.04	.1981)
Treatments	Treatments N % d.m.			P %			d.m.		K % d.m.			
Age (months)	5	6	7	8	5	6	7	. 8.	·5	· 6	7	8
T1 F0 Cane cut back - fert	2,1	2,0	2,2	1,9	0,22	0,22	0,25	0,23	1,49	1,36	1,37	1,68
T1 F1 Cane cut back + fert	2,1	2,0	2,1	1,9	0,21	0,21	10,22	0,21	1,43	1,40	1,43	1,59
Age (months)	21	22	23	24	21	22	23	24	21	22	23	24
T2 F0 Cane left standing -fert	1,9	2,0	2,2	2,0	0,18	3 0,21	0,24	0,22	1,06	1,29	1,30	1,58
T2 F1 Cane left standing +fert	2,0	1,9	2,1	1,9	0,19	0,19	0,23	0,21	1,04	1,26	1,46	1,61
	• •	<u>`</u>	 	⊨ -	La		<u> </u>	·	نے۔۔۔۔۔ ا	- <u></u>	4 	!

4.4 Material cut back (and left on plots)

Material from four random plots were weighed, the proportion of tops, stalk and trash calculated from samples, dry matter determined and then analysed for nutrient content.

•	Total mass (fresh	n) = 43 t/ha		•		· :	
	Proportion of fre	sh material .		-	Tops	Stalk	Trash
		o k	· ·		18	-72	10
•	Mass of fresh mat	erial/ha (kg)			7 740	31 390	4 730
•	Dry matter %	41	27	89			
•	Mass of d.m./ha (kg)			3 173	8 475	4 209
	Nutrient content	% d.m.	N	P	ĸ	Ca	Mg
		Tops	0,93	0,16	2,53	0,20	0,21
		Stalks	0,83	0,09	1,74	0,10	0,12
		Trash	0,29	0,03	0,88	0,24	0,19
	Nutrients/ha (kg)		N ×	P	Ķ		
		Tops	29,5	5,1	196		•
		Stalks	70,3	7,6	147		
		Trash	12,2	1,3	37		
	·	, ,	112	14,0	380		• .

4.5 Eldana

Infestation was severe and worst in the older stalks in the plots where cane was left standing. This was first observed in February five months prior to harvest but no counts of damaged stalks were done.

4.6 Survival of stalks

In the plots where cane was left standing only 25% of the harvested stalks were those that survived the drought, the balance comprised stalks which had developed from buds at ground level or eblow. The old stalks were those badly damaged by eldana.

. Comments

5.1 In this situation it was beneficial to slash back the severely droughted cane (P=0,05). The presence of eldana, particularly in the old stalks is thought to have contributed to the result.

5.2 The rate of stalk elongation and stalk population was far superior in the crop that was slashed back. This inability to recover from serious mois-ture stress may be characteristic of variety NCo 310.

- 5.3 The application of split nitrogen dressings each of 90 kg/ha did not materially influence yieldor cane quality. The trend was in favour of top-dressing but the response did not approach a level of significance. Third leaf data showed adequacy of all nutrients at all times
- 5.4 Cane quality was superior in the younger cane primarily because the fibre % cane was markedly higher in the old stalks which survived the drought.





350-

300

250 200 (mm) 150 100

50

0

 $\mathbf{J}:$

J

A S 1979

Ň

D

0

Rainfall distribution and long term mean.

Actual rainfall (mm) L.T.M.

JF

Α

М

M J 1980

J

Α



σ