SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY

AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

Code: FL Sup. 1/83/Sw.Mhc

Cat. No.: 1326

TITLE: Flower Control on variety N11

1. Particulars of Trial

This crop : 3rd ratoon	Spray Method: Spray-King overhead boom using two TK1,5 nozzles
Site : Mhlume block 302	Pressure: 150 Kpa
Region : Northern irrigated (Swaziland)	<u>Volume</u> : 42 & water/ha Weather at spraying: Still & sunny
<u>Soil system</u> : Komatipoort	$7.30 \text{ am} \pm 30^{\circ} \text{ C}$
Soil set : 'Z' (Zwide)	<u>Condition of cane: +</u> 1,3 m in height
Design : Randomised blocks	at spraying : to TVD; 6 green leaves
(4 reps) <u>Plot Size</u> : 17 m x 4 rows 1,5 m spacing	Sampling Techniques: Six stalks taken at random from each row (even spacing between sampling)
Date & Age at: 2/3/82 5 months spraying	
Date & Age at: 7/10/82 12,1 months harvest	
Sampling : 6, 14, & 31 weeks after spraying	
Irrigation : Flood 31 day cycle	
Rainfall : 779 mm	

2. Objectives

To determine the effect of two rates of ethrel sprayed at the time of flower initiation on flower energence and sucrose yield. \sim

3. Treatments

3.1 Control

3.2 0,75 £ ethrel + 1 £ Reverseal 9/ha

3.3 1,50 & ethrel + 1 & Reverseal 9/ha

Results 4.

4.1 Crop grow measurements at 1, 6, 15 and 27 weeks after spraying.

Dates and weeks	5S1	Stalk height (m)				Stalk popu.x10 ⁻³ /ha		
after spray	11/3	15/4	21/6	16/9	15/4	16/9		
Treatments	1	6	15	28	6	28		
Control Ethrel @ 0,75 l/ha Ethrel @ 1,50 l/ha	1,44 1,42 1,39	1,96 1,81 1,83	2,07 1,94 1,96	2,24 2,01 2,02	116 107 117	115 104 120		
Mean	1,42	1,87	1,99	2,09	113	113		

Sample Results 4.2

4.2.1 Juice purity % 4.2.2 Ers % cane

Dates and weeks after spray	13/4	7/6	4/10	Dates and weeks after spray	13/4	.7/6	4/
Treatments	6	14	31	Treatments	6	14	31
Control Ethrel @ 0,75 l/ha Ethrel @ 1,50 l/ha	65,9 68,7 70,2	81,0 86 85,2	90,6 90,5 89,8	Control Ethrel @ 0,75 ℓ/ha Ethrel @ 1,50 ℓ/ha	5,4 6,2 6,6	10,5 11,8 11,5	15 15 15
Mean	68 <u>,</u> 2	84,9	90,3	Mean	6,1	11,3	15
C.V. % LSD (P = 0,05)	5,3 6,3	1,8 2,6	1,1 1,8	C.V. % LSD (P = 0,05)	14,7 1,5	3,0 0.6	3 0
				(P = 0,01	2,3	0,9	1

4.2.3 Stalk mass (g/stalk)

Dates and weeks after spraying	13/4	7/6	4/10
Treatments	6	<u>14</u>	31
Control	715	883	971
Ethrel @ 0,75 <i>l</i> /ha	785	871	951
Ethrel @ 1,50 <i>l</i> /ha	725	873	950
Mean	742	876	957
C.V. %	8,3	9,1	6,7
L.S.D. (P = 0,05	106	137	117

4.3

Results at harvest (12,1 months - sprayed at 5 months)

Treatments	Cane t/ha	Ers % cane	Ers t/ha
Control	111	15,4	17,1
Ethrel @ 0,75	94	15,4	14,5
Ethrel @ 1,50	99	15,9	15,8
Mean	101	15,6	15,8
C.V %	5,1	3,0	6,3
L.S.D. $(P = 0,05)$	9,3	0,9	1,8
(P = 0,01)	14,7	1,3	2,8

5. Comments

5.1 Flowering

Very few flowers appeared in the area of the trial. Some flowers were recorded in the control plots only but even there the highest flowering % was less than one.

5.2 Stalk heights

Heights recorded at six weeks after spraying showed that both ethrel treatments had suppressed growth. Later recordings taken at 15 and 28 weeks after spraying showed the stunting effect to have persisted.

5.3 Ripening

Both levels of ethrel produced a significant (P = 0,05) ripening effect up to 14 weeks after spraying (possibly longer) but no differences persisted through to the time of harvest.

5.4 Cane yield

Both levels of applied ethrel produced significant decreases in cane yield. Surprisingly the lower ethrel rate reduced yield more than did the higher rate (P = 0,01). The sucrose yield showed a similar yet less marked trend.

NBL/IS 19 January 1983

. . .

. . •

. . . . **v**

..