# AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

<u>Code</u>: HW236/83

Cat. No.: 1334

# <u>Title</u>: Pre-emergence weed control trial

# 1. Particulars of the project

<u>This crop</u>	:	Plant cane			Soil analysis: Date: 21.02.1983								
<u>Site</u>	:	Umhlal	i.						Sa	nd %			
Region	:	N Coas	t Co	astal	рН	0.M.%	C1ay %	Silt %	Coarse	Med.	<u>Fine</u>		
<u>Soil system</u>	:	Umzint	:o C ]	Lowlands	5,2	0,9	4	2	1	33	60		
Soil form/series	:	Fernwo	od/F	ernwood									
<u>Design</u>	:	Randon	nised	block	ppm								
<u>Variety</u>	:	N13			Р	K	Ca	Mg	Zn	A1			
Fertilizer/		N	<u>P</u>	<u>k</u>	17	61	110	29	-	3			
<u>Ameliorants</u>	:				Irri	gation:	_						
In furrow	:	15	49´	175				10 Tam		03			
Top-dressing	:	96	19	<u>96 -</u>			iying.	19 Jan	uary 19	00			
Total	:	111	68	271	Plant	<u>ea</u> : 17	Januar	y 1983					

### 2. Objectives

•

To test new herbicides and mixtures for their pre-emergence weed control efficacy.

3. Treatments

See results

#### 4. Experimental

The whole area was disced repeatedly to prepare a tilth and to remove old weed growth. The area was planted with Temik nematicide (20 kg/ha) and fertilizer being applied in the furrow.

Two days after planting the treatments were applied to the soil surface by means of a gas-operated knapsack sprayer fitted with an APM green floodjet nozzle. Output was 297  $\ell/ha$ .

Conditions at spraying were:

Soil	:	Moisture (top 5 cm) : 3,83 %
		Tilth : Fine
		Surface : Uneven
Weeds	:	A few old stools of Panicum maximum, Eleusine indica
		and Digitaria sanguinalis were present.
Time and date	:	<b>19</b> January 1983 - 0650 am - 0840 am
Temperature (°C)	:	8 am : 25,2
		2 pm : 28,8
Sunshine hours	:	11,9
Relative humidity%	:	8 am : 77
		2 pm : 63
Rainfall (mm)	:	On the day of spray : O
		One week before spray: 44
		Days to first rain: 12
		Amount of first rain: 13
		Rain within two weeks: 13
Plot size	:	$4 \text{ rows x } 1,2 \text{ m x } 4 \text{ m} = 19,2 \text{ m}^2$
Control strip	:	1,5 m between plots (unsprayed)
Weeds which germina	ted	l in the control strip were:

Dominant

Cyperus esculentus Dígitaria sanguinalis Panicum maximum Eleusine indica Commelina benghalensis Cleome monophylla Euphorbia peplus Panicum laevifolium

Visual ratings of weed control were made at regular intervals
<u>Results</u>: Weed control ratings taken 7 and 9 weeks after spraying are presented in Table 1

Table 1 Weed control ratings taken 7 and 9 weeks after spraying. Ratings are based on A) a 1-9 scale where 1 = complete control and 9 = no control (logarithmic scale) and B) a percentage scale where ratings are of ground cover as a percent of that in unsprayed control strips. Ratings of cane damage include percent chlorosis or necrosis and stunting on a 1-5 scale where 5 = no symptoms and 1 = poor

		Deter in	Weed ratings / weeds / weeks after spray													Cane	damage			
Treatments (% ai)		kg or l prod/ha	C. escu.			P. max.			D. sang.			E. ind.			C. mon.			C.comm.	Leaf	Stunting
			Å B			A B		А* В		A B		А В		В	(%)	(1-5)				
			7	7	9	7	7	9	7	7	9	7	7	9	7	7	9	ġ	7	7
1	Lasso 38,4+atrazine 50	5+2	5,8	- 47	85	5	40	75·	4,3	24	63	-	7	·66	6,3	79	85	90	2,8	4,8
2	Diuron 80 + Sencor 70	2+2	2,8	18	26	5,3	51	65	2,7	0	11	-	-	25	3,8	13	42	50	4,5	4,3
3	Dual: + ametryne 50	2,75+3	3	12	46	3	20	33	3,7	26	10	-	-	20	4,5	31	67	-	4	4,3
4	Lasso + ametryne	5+3	5,3	34	73	-	42	67	3,7	-	8	-	-	40	6,3	113	68	-	5	4,8
5	Lasso + ametryne	5+4	5,8	43	72	4,5	35	45	3,5	16	32	-	25	18	5,3	49	55	0	3	5
6	Dual + Gardomil 50	1,75+6	4,5	39	61	-	10	40	4	28	27	-	7	19	6,3	50	73	25	5,3	4,3
7	Butisan 50	1,5	4,3	37	78	-	21	-	5,7	74	75	-	-	5Q	7,0	42	97	83.	4,8	4,3
8	Butisan	2,5	2,7	18	13	-	-	33	3	12	12	-	22	0	5,3	66	67	_	4,5	4
9	Butisan + ametryne	1,5+3	4	32	43	-	-	50	2,8	30	6	-	-	-	4,8	43	60	-	4,8	4,5
10	Butisan + atrazine	1,5+3	4,8	41	58	4,5	32	85	4	-	9	_	58	35	6,3	75	91	87	7,3	3,5
11	Fortrol/ametryne 50	5	7,8	95	90	-	25	100	5,7	55	107	_	-	40	7,3	103	146	77	4	4,5
12	Bladex Plus 50	9	8,5	85	106	5,8	54	100	5,3	61	87	-	-	77	7,3	101	80	-	3,3	4,3

\* Ratings here relate to both D. sanguinalis and E. indica combined.

#### 5. Comments on restults

#### General

Conditions prior to spraying were good (44 mm in the week prior to spraying) and the soil tilth fine. Subsequent moisture was just adequate for herbicides and temperatures were sufficient for good growth. Weeds developed slowly but a fair pressure of grasses was eventually produced.

#### Treatments

- 1. The standard Lasso + atrazine provided approximately six weeks acceptable control of annual grasses but Cyperus esculentus and Commelina benghalensis as well as Panicum maximum were not well controlled.
- 2. Diuron + Sencor provided very good control of Cyperus esculentus, annual grasses and broadleaf weeds but was weak on Panicum maximum.
- 3. Fortrol/ametryne and Bladex Plus were both very weak in their control of C. esculentus, all grasses and broadleaf weeds.
- 4. Dual + ametryne was better than Lasso + atrazine but weaker than diuron + Sencor for most weeds, except Panicum maximum which was controlled best by this treatment. Rates of Dual and Lasso were 1,98 and 1,92 l/ha of active ingredient respectively and a higher rate of Lasso would normally be recommended for such a weed spectrum (ie Panicum maximum).
- 5. The test chemical Butisan was effective on its own at the high rate against C. esculentus, annual grasses and Panicum maximum but was weak on Commelina benghalensis. The lower rate was unacceptable on its own although in mixtures with ametryne or atrazine it was better than the standard Lasso + atrazine for C. esculentus and annual grass control.
- 6. Dual + Gardomil showed no advantage over the registered treatment of Dual + ametryne and was effective for grass and Cyperus esculentus control.

PET/VJ 17 May 1983



# Addendum to Agronomists' Report

### Cat. No.: 1334

Yield date from HW 236

	Rates in	Weed		Yield	1	Crop growth		
Treatments	'kg or ℓ prod/ha	control <sup>1</sup>	Weed contro]	Pol % cane	Sucrose t/ha	Stalk length (m)	Stalk popu ('000/ha)	
Lasso+atrazine	5+2	5,5	99,7	8,79	8,8	1,94	130	
Diuron+Sencor	2+2	3	92,2	9.00	8,3	1,90	134	
Dual+ametryne	2,75+3	3,7	99,5	9.12	9,2	1,86	136	
Lasso+ametryne	5+3	5	104,4	9,61	10,0	1,89	130	
Lasso+ametryne	5+4	4,9	97,4	8,80	8,6	1,98	137	
Dual+Gardomil	1,75+6	4,9	106,0	9,01	9,6	1,94	142	
Butisan S	1,5	5,7	97,7	8,82	8,6	1,91	128	
Butisan S	2,5	3,7	106,2	8,50	9,2	1,88	126	
Butisan S+Ametryne	1,5+3	3,9	100,5	8,70	8,7	1,96	127	
Butisan S+atrazine	1,5+3	5,0	87,5	8,64	7,6	1,82	137	
Fortrol/ametryne	5	6,9	96,6	9,29	9,1	1,84	131	
Bladex Plus	9	7,0	93,2	9,41	8,9	1,83	138 <sup>-</sup>	
CV %			14,2	10,0	19,2		12,3	
LSD (0,05)			20,03	1,285	2,456		23,56	
LSD (0,01)			26,90	1,726	3,295		31,65	

<sup>1</sup> = Ratings taken 7 weeks after spraying - mean of 3 weed species <u>C</u>. esc., <u>D</u>. sanguinalis, <u>Cleome monophylla</u>. Based on EWRC 1-9 scale where 1 = complete control and 9 = no control.

### Comments

Variability was fairly high and no statistically significant differences in yield were recorded.

Yields may have been confounded by variable weed competition although this was not obvious at an early stage of crop growth.

#### Conclusion

Due to the variability and weed competition effects no indication of relative phytotoxic effects of treatments is given by yield results.

PETT/VJ 21 May 1984