SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY

AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

Cat. No.: 1364

6400/25(a) MAXIMUM YIELD TRIAL

Object:

To obtain the maximum yield of cane from 12 month old rateon NCo 376 harvested at 2 times of year, viz. early May and late November, through a balanced supply of luxury levels of all nutrients and a non-limiting moisture regime.

Age: 12,1 months (4.5.81-7.5.82) First ratoon. This Crop:

2SA Experiment Station - Block F.1 Location:

PE.1 sandy clay loam derived from gneiss. Soil Type:

No statistical lay-out, 3 replications. Design:

NCo 376 in 1,5 m rows. Variety/Spacing:

Treatments:

27	D-0-	·π-0
N	P205	K20
180	100	60

Control: (0): Standard fertiliser practice (kg/ha)

Treated: (1): Luxury levels (kg/ha) applied in

addition	to	control:	•
N	•	P205	K20

120	50	120

20 kg N/ha and 20 kg K₂0/ha applied at 4 weekly intervals from 20 weeks to 40 weeks inclusive. 50 kg P205/ha applied at 20 weeks.

Rainfall:	469,9 mm		. •
Irrigation:	Overhead at 40 mm (nett) deficit:	1 436,5 mm.

RESULTS:

Relevant harvest data from the first crop cycle (1R) and presented in the attached table.

Cane Yields: Luxury levels of all fertilisers boosted cane yields by 7.61% over the control.

Sucrose quality: Quality measured in terms of sucrose was badly affected by higher fertiliser levels. ERC % cane was depressed by 13,14% while Pol % cane was depressed by 11,27% with respect to control.

Fermentable quality: Fermentable quality parameters were not as adversely affected by luxury fertiliser levels. ERF % cane was depressed by 8,57% and TFAS % cane by 8,34% relative to control. Reducing sugars were considerably higher in treated plots (144,0% over control).

ERC Yields: Increased cane yields were not sufficient to counteract the adverse effects of higher fertiliser levels and treated plots showed a 6,5% decline in yield of recoverable crystal.

ERF Yields: Luxury fertiliser levels depressed the fermentable yield by only 1,60% relative to control, which is considerably less than was

6400/25(a)

- 2 -

experienced by crystal yield. This difference was due to increased RS % cane in treated plots, but as RS constitute such a small proportion of the total sugars this increase was not sufficient to raise treated fermentable yield above control.

CONCLUSIONS:

Results followed previously reported patterns in that high nitrogen levels depressed quality parameters appreciably, and also fermentable quality was less affected than sucrose quality.

JJR/July 182

6400/25(a)

MAXIMUM YIELD TRIAL

RESULTS:

Jul

82

JJR

· · · · ·		Control	Treated	% of Control
Yield	Cane Yield	140,37	151,05	107,61
Data	ERC Yield	16,32	15,26	93,50
t/ha	ERF Yield	18,16 -	17,87	98,40
Quality	Pol	12,96	11,50	88,73
Analysis	TFAS	13,67	12,53	91,66
Data	ERC	11,64	10,11	86,86
%	ERF	12,95	11,84	91,43
Cane	RS	0,75	1,08	144,00
Stalk populatio	n/ha x 10 ³	156,8	162,6	103,70
Stalk lengths m	i l	2,32	.2,62	112,93

SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY AGRONOMISTS. ASSOCIATION

AXII

6400/25 MAXIMUM YIELD TRIAL

ſ

-			
<u>TERMINAL REPORT</u> Cat.: <u>Object</u> :	1364 To determine whether the application of hi increase the yield of ethanol production.	gh fertiliser levels	s so as to
<u>Planted</u> :	The trial site was or 1978 as a chemical ri terminated in 1980. May and November 1981 imposed for 6400/25.	pener trial (7310/8 Selected areas were) which was cut back in
Terninated:	The early-season tria late-season trial in		
Harvest dates	and ages:	Harvest	Age
	Early season trial: Late season trial: > " " " "	7. 5.82 18.11.82 21.11.83	12,1 months 11,6 months 12,1 months
Location:	ZSA Experiment Station	n, Impala Block F1 t	50 F3.
<u>Soil type</u> : <u>Design</u> :	PE.1 sandy clay loan (Non-statistical, 3 rep	· - :	
<u>Variety/spacin</u>		• •	1
Irrigation and		<u>Irrig.(nn</u>)	Rain(mm)
	Early trial 1981/2 Late trial 1981/2 Late trial 1982/3	1 437 1 246 1 451	470 392 359 •
<u>Treatments</u> :	Λ comparison was made and the application of		
· · · · ·	<u>Control</u> (Standard pract two equal dressings at 4 weeks; 60 kg/	s at 4'and 8 weeks;	applied in 100 kg/ha P ₂ 0 ₅
1	Luxury levels: The fol addition to the con 120 kg/ha N and 120 tions of 20 kg/ha e 40 weeks. 50 kg/ha P ₂ 0 ₅ appli	ntrol:) kg/ha K ₂ 0 applied each at 4-week inter	in six applica-

2/RESULTS.....

RESULTS

Relevant data for the early and late-season trials in 1982, and the additional late-season harvest in 1983, are presented in the attached table.

1. <u>Early season responses</u> (1982). The luxury fertiliser regime produced a small cane yield response, but the advantage of this was offset by low percentages of sucrose and of fermentables so that ERC and ERF yields were slightly lower than those produced with standard fertiliser practice.

There was a marked increase in reducing sugars (RS % cane) with high fertiliser rates, with the result that ERF (estimated recoverable fermentables) yields were less severely depressed than ERC yields. The increased cane yield produced by the high fertiliser regime was associated with increased stalk populations and significantly longer stalks.

2. <u>Late-season responses</u> (1982). There were no major yield or quality differences between the two treatments except for increased reducing sugars in the high fertiliser plots.

3. <u>Late-season responses</u> (1983). Yields were severely affected by the drought in spite of uninterrupted irrigation schedules, largely because of uneven growth caused by poor sprinkler distribution in a season with little supplementary rainfall. Under such conditions it was not expected that high fertiliser levels would give positive yield and quality responses, but it was surprising that they caused consistently lower values (with the exception of RS % cane).

CONCLUSIONS

The results of these exploratory trials indicated that economic ERC and ERF yield responses cannot be expected from the application of high fertiliser rates to either early or late-season cane.

In 1983 the early-season trial was modified to study the added effect of chemical ripeners, and results have been reported separately (6400/26).

KEC/Dec'83

6400/25 MAXIMUM YIELD TRIAL

YIELD AND QUALITY DATA

•

Trial and Treatment	YIELD DATA		QUALITY DATA (% CANE)				STALK DATA			
	CANE t/ha	ERC t/ha	ERF t/ha	Pol' %	ERC %	TF %	ERF %	RS %	Stalks/ha x 10 ⁻³	<u>length (n)</u>
Early trial 1982	• •		· · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· ·	
Standard fertiliser Luxury fertiliser Luxury % standard	140,37 151,05 107,61	16,32 15,26 93,50	18,16 17,87 98,40	12,96 11,50 88,73	11,64 10,11 86,86	13,67 12,53 91,66	12,95 11,84 - 91,43	0,75 1,08 144,00	156,8 162,6 103,7	2,32 2,62 112,93
Late trial 1982					•			1.1		
Standard fertiliser Luxury fertiliser Luxury % standard	120,18 123,61 102,85	14,74 14,93 101,43	16,11 16,81 104,35	13,82 13,82 100,00	12,28 12,12 98,70	14,27 14,46 101,33	13,40 13,63 101,72	0,47 0,68 144,68	199,4 195,1 97,8	2,25 2,18 96,89
Late trial 1983		· ·								1
Standard fertiliser Luxury fertiliser Luxury % standard	85,86 79,06 92,08	10,96 9,78 89,23	12,00 10,96 91,33	14,30 14,03 98,11	12,75 12,34 96,78	14,86 14,73 99,13	13,96 13,85 99,21	0,59 0,74 125,42	190,1 176,5 92,9	2,26 2,08 92,04

З