SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY

AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

Cat. No.: 1374

TITLE: To test a new chemical PP009 from ICI for cane killing

5	ite	•	CES
5		•	010

Soil : Clansthal Series

CP₃ knapsack sprayer TK5 floodjet Application **Applicator** : : Nozzle : Nozzle held directly over the cane rows-Method : $3 \text{ rows } x \ 8 \text{ m } x \ 1,4 \text{ m} = 33,6 \text{ m}^2$ Plot size : Replications 2 2 On the day of spray: Conditions : 4,7 Rainfall (mm) 5 Sunshine hours . Temperature °C 8 am : 17,9 2 pm : 19,7 Rel. humidity % 8 am : 85 2 pm : 37 Date of spray 24.07.1981 :

Treatments

- 1. PP009 3 *l*/ha
- 2. PP009 4 ℓ/ha
- 3. PP009 6 ℓ/ha
- 4. PP009 4 l/ha + surfactant Agrowett at 0,2 % v/v.
 - T4 only one cane line sprayed.

Results

Treatments	EWRS Ratir 1 = no effect T + 25 days	ngs 1 - 9 9 = dead T + 33 days	New shoots 1000/ha T + 73 days	% Regrowth of orig. shoot No. T + 73 days
PP009 3 <i>l</i> /ha	5	5,8	15,476	15,9
PP009 4	5,5	6	5,655	8,7
PP009 6	5,5	6,3	0,89	1,4

Comments

- 1. No difference was apparent between treatments with or without surfactant.
- 2. Sprayed cane shoots remained standing and had some green colour on the leaves even after 73 days. All growing points were however dead,
- 3. Although ratings showed relatively little difference between rates, regrowth counts showed a large advantage to higher rates.

Conclusion

- 1. Very good potential for cane killing was shown by this initial trial conducted in winter.
- 2. Unfortunately no comparison was made with Roundup.
- 3. The optimum rate of PP009 for killing cane could be higher than 6 ℓ/ha but this appeared adequate.

PETT/VJ 19 July 1983