SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

Code		HW	252
Cat.	No.:	142	24

Title: Phytotoxicity of cane killing chemicals

1. Particulars of the project

This crop	: 6t	:h rat	:00n/	plant	Soil	analysi	<u>s</u> : Da	te: 24	4.2.83	•
Site	: CF	S			рН	0.M.%	<u>Cla</u>	<u>y %</u>	Silt %	Sand %
Region	: N.	Coast	: Coa	stal	8,6	0.07	6		2	92
Soil system	: Be	erea				:	рр	m		
Soil form/ser	ries: Hu	itton/	'C1an	sthal	Р	К	Ca	Mg	Na	A1
<u>Design</u>	: Ra	indomi	sed	blocks	> 80	53 >	1800	56	13	
Variety	: NC	Co 376	5		Age:	16,4	mnths	Dat	es: 1.2.8	83 - 12.6.84
Fertilizer	:	N	<u>P</u>	ĸ	Rair	fall:	1646 m	m	L.T.M.:	1304 mm
In furrow	(kg/ha)	47		47	Irri	gation:	Ni1			
Top Dressi	ng _	47	_	147						
То	tal	94	-	194						
Temik	: 20) kg/h	na in	furrow		•	•			

2. <u>Objectives</u>

To assess the effects of chemicals used to eradicate an old crop on the growth of the new crop.

3. Treatments

1.	Roundup	10ℓ/ha	planting 2 weeks after spraying	Manting 2 weeks a	
2.	Roundup	102/ha	planting 5 weeks after spraying	lanting 5 weeks a	
3.	Fusilade	5l/ha	planting 2 weeks after spraying	lanting 2 weeks a	
4.	Fusilade	5ℓ/ha	planting 5 weeks after spraying	lanting 5 weeks a	

4. Experimental

The experiment was superimposed on an old burning and trashing experiment which had been recently harvested and the cane allowed to regrow Roundup and Fusilade were applied directly over the cane rows using an APM Green floodjet at a water volume of 267 ℓ /ha. Spray swaths reached across the interrows which in some plots had a light to heavy coverage of burnt cane tops and *Cyperus notundus*. The cane growth stage at the time of spraying was: + 50 cm leaf height.

Two weeks after spraying the cane, Roundup was applied by means of a brush applicator to *C. rotundus* which was not controlled by Fusilade. Immediately afterwards furrows were drawn and cane was planted into those plots where the interval after planting was two weeks. Lasso and atrazine was applied to control all grasses and broadleaf weeds.

Three weeks later Roundup was again used in all Fusilade plots (those planted 2 weeks after spraying and those to be planted 5 weeks after spraying) to control *C rotundus*. Only three cane shoots had emerged in all the plots which were planted two weeks after treatment with Fusilade. One plot which was originally treated with Roundup and in which all *C. rotundus* had been well controlled, was also treated with Roundup for *C. rotundus* control as in the Fusilade plots, in case there was any adverse effect from this treatment on the newly planted cane. Where the predetermined planting interval was 5 weeks after spraying the plots were planted soon after the treating of *C rotundus*.

Crop measurements and ratings on C *rotundus* infestation and cane regrowth were taken at intervals after planting.

5. Results

1. C rotundus control and cane regrowth

Table 1.	Mean C rotundus infestations and cane regrowth after
	treatments, expressed as a percent ground cover and
	kill*respectively

Turchante	C. rotundus				Cane regrowth		
Treatments	1.2.83*	21.4.83	23.5.83	30.6.83	23.5.83	30.6.83	
Roundup planting interval 2 weeks	95	5,5	6,5	5,5	2,8	3	
Roundup planting interval 5 weeks	84	1,8	3,5	3,9	3,5	4,5	
Fusilade planting interval 2 weeks	5	11,3	4,5	4,5	8.,3	24	
Fusilade planting interval 5 weeks	9	3,3	2,3	3	10,3	24	

5. Comments

- Very poor C rotundus control was provided by Fusilade thus necessitating Roundup treatment and repeated hand weeding to prevent any competition from C rotundus.
- The ultimate cane kill from Fusilade was also far worse than that from Roundup in this experiment as indicated by regrowth ratings on 30.6.83.

2 Crop measurements

 Table 2.
 Mean crop measurements taken at 2,3,5,7,10 and 13 months of age

			Crop measurements										
	Treatments		Sta1	k le	ngth	(cm)		Sta	lk pop	ulati	on (1	000/h	a)
Age (mon	ths)	2	3	5	7	10	13	2	3	5	7	10	13
Roundup	2 weeks	13	20	31	54	101	171	70	106	145	176	139	149
Roundup	5 weeks	12	17	26	51	99	166	47	66	144	176	146	156
Fusilade	2 weeks	9	15	24	46	88	150	54	77	!48	161	119	143
Fusilade	5 weeks	12	18	26	50	101	167	51	66	151	171	141	151

Comments

- In plots treated with Fusilade and planted 2 weeks after spraying, germination was retarded and stalk elongation was also reduced. Stalk length differences persisted as did the reduction in stalk populations.
- In plots planted 5 weeks after spraying no differences were apparent in either stalk length or populations at any stage of the crop growth period.

3 Yield characteristics

				Yield	Crop measurements		
Treatments		Cane t/ha	Sucrose % cane	Sucrose t/ha	Stalk length (m)	Stalk poplu (1000/ha)	
Roundup	2 week	interval	134,8	14,49	19,5	2,56	144
Roundup	5 week	interval	133,5	14,63	19,5	2,51	141
Fusilade	2 week	interval	96,9	14,55	(14,1)	2,16	128
Fusilade	5 week	interval	129,8	14,40	18,6	2,51	138
CV%			11,1	3,2	9,7	7,5	6,2
LSD (0,05)		23,67	0,8027	3,018	0,3148	14,89
LSD (0,01)		35,98	1,220	4,587	0,4784	22,63

Table 3 Mean yields and crop characteristics at harvest.

Comments

- A statistically significant yield reduction (P 0,01) was caused by Fusilade in plots planted two weeks after spraying. This was associated with both lower stalk length and populations.
- No effect on yield or crop growth was apparent in plots planted five weeks after spray application.

6. Discussion

Since C rotundus was not well controlled by Fusilade and needed to be treated with Roundup after and just before planting cane in these plots, it was suspected that competitive effects of C rotundus or alternatively damage from Roundup applications could have been responsible for poor cane growth. A second factor which may also have tended to depress cane growth in Fusilade plots was the competitive effects of sugarcane regrowth where cane was not adequately killed.

These reasons for the yield reductions in two week planted plots are very unlikely since no differences occurred in plots planted five weeks after spraying, where:

- poor C rotundus control
- applications of Roundup to C rotundus and
- poor cane kill with consequent regrowth in Fusilade plots also occurred.

Also, no evidence of Roundup damage was observed and only three shoots in all Fusilade plots had emerged at the time of spraying.

7. Conclusions

- Fusilade applied using the standard commercial practice of a floodjet nozzle held directly over the cane row but with coverage on the interrow can cause severe and important yield reductions to cane planted two weeks after application in a light sandy soil (clay content 6%)
- No damage was apparent on cane (variety NCo 376) planted five weeks after application of Fusilade and hence a minimum waiting period of five weeks is likely to be acceptable.

PETT/IS 14 August 1984