

SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY
AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

Code : R97/R/84
Cat. No.: 1431

TITLE: N14 x Ripeners - early season (Pongola)

1. Particulars of the crop:

| | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|------|------|-----|----|---|--|
| <u>This crop</u> | : 1st ratoon | <u>Spray method</u> : CP ₃ knapsack with overhead boom fitted with two TK 1,0 floodjets | | | | | | |
| <u>Site</u> | : Pongola Field S. Blk 606 & 607 | <u>Pressure</u> : 200 kPa | | | | | | |
| <u>Region</u> | : N. irrigated | <u>Volume</u> : 79 ℓ | | | | | | |
| <u>Soil system</u> | : Komatipoort | <u>Weather at spraying</u> : Calm, warm and cloudless. | | | | | | |
| <u>Soil form/series</u> | : Hutton/Makatini | <u>Condition of cane at spraying</u> : | | | | | | |
| <u>Design</u> | : Randomised blocks (5 reps) | 14 to 15 green leaves 17 to 20 internodes Very well grown | | | | | | |
| <u>Plot size</u> | : 2 rows x 13 m x 1,4 m | <u>Sampling technique</u> : | | | | | | |
| <u>Variety</u> | : N14 | 4 stalks taken from 4 predetermined points in the net rows. Starting point advanced by 1 m at each sampling occasion. | | | | | | |
| <u>Date & age at spraying</u> | : 19/5/84 ^C 10 months | | | | | | | |
| <u>Date & age at harvest</u> | : 24/7/84 ^C 12 months | | | | | | | |
| <u>Sampling dates</u> | : 19/5/84 - 0 weeks 6/6/84 - 2,5 weeks 24/7/84 - 9 weeks | | | | | | | |
| <u>Irrigation (mm)</u> | : <table style="display: inline-table; vertical-align: middle;"><tr><td>May</td><td>June</td><td>July</td></tr><tr><td>122</td><td>61</td><td>0</td></tr></table> | May | June | July | 122 | 61 | 0 | |
| May | June | July | | | | | | |
| 122 | 61 | 0 | | | | | | |
| <u>Rainfall (mm)</u> | : <table style="display: inline-table; vertical-align: middle;"><tr><td>6</td><td>44</td><td>61</td></tr></table> | 6 | 44 | 61 | | | | |
| 6 | 44 | 61 | | | | | | |

2. Objectives:

- To determine what effect glyphosate has on the cane quality of N14 during the early part of the milling season.
- To continue assessing the potential of PP005 and HOE 2501 H as chemical ripeners.

3. Treatments:

| <u>Trial A</u> | <u>Trial B</u> |
|--------------------------|------------------------|
| 1. Control - not sprayed | 1. Control - unsprayed |
| 2. Roundup 0,8 ℓ -ha | 2. Roundup 0,8 ℓ -ha |
| 3. Roundup 1,6 ℓ -ha | 3. Roundup 1,6 ℓ -ha |
| 4. PP005 0,35 ℓ -ha | |
| 5. PP005 0,7 ℓ -ha | |
| 6. HOE 2501 3 ℓ -ha | |
| 7. HOE 2501 6 ℓ -ha | |

4. Results

Sampling results
Trial A

| Treatments | Ers % cane changes from 0 wks | | | stalk mass (g/stalk) changes from 0 wks | | | Ers g/stalk changes from 0 wks | | | Purity % | | |
|---------------|----------------------------------|------------|-----------|--|------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| | Dates and weeks after spraying | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 19/5 0 | 6/6 2,5 | 24/7 9 | 19/5 0 | 6/6 2,5 | 24/7 9 | 19/5 0 | 6/6 2,5 | 24/7 9 | 19/5 0 | 6/6 2,5 | 24/7 9 |
| Control | 8,1 | +0,6 | +2,4 | 1500 | + 91 | 0 | 122 | +16 | +36 | 77 | 74 | 80 |
| Roundup 0,8 ℓ | 8,0 | +0,7 | +2,8 | 1599 | + 32 | - 99 | 128 | +13 | +34 | 77 | 74 | 82 |
| Roundup 1,6 ℓ | 8,0 | +0,1 | +2,5 | 1577 | + 30 | +107 | 126 | + 5 | +51 | 76 | 73 | 80 |
| PP 0,35 ℓ | 8,0 | +0,4 | +2,8 | 1499 | + 76 | + 76 | 119 | +14 | +51 | 77 | 74 | 80 |
| PP 0,7 ℓ | 8,4 | +0,3 | +2,8 | 1585 | + 76 | - 38 | 133 | +12 | +40 | 78 | 74 | 81 |
| HOE 3 ℓ | 7,7 | +0,7 | +2,8 | 1565 | + 39 | + 79 | 120 | +15 | +53 | 76 | 73 | 79 |
| HOE 6 ℓ | 8,3 | +0,4 | +2,2 | 1512 | +127 | -102 | 125 | +17 | +24 | 78 | 74 | 79 |
| Mean | 8,1 | +0,5 | +2,6 | 1542 | 1612 | 1545 | 124 | 138 | 165 | 77 | 74 | 80 |
| CV % | 10,8 | 9,4 | 8,3 | 8,8 | 8,3 | 12,4 | 14,3 | 12,9 | 16,7 | 3,4 | 3,4 | 4,1 |
| LSD (P=0,05) | 1,1 | 1,1 | 1,1 | 177 | 174 | 248 | 23,1 | 23,1 | 35,7 | 3,4 | 3,3 | 4,2 |

Trial B

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Control | 8,4 | -0,2 | +2,4 | 1285 | +140 | + 9 | 108 | + 8 | +33 | 79 | 76 | 82 |
| Roundup 0,8 ℓ | 8,0 | +0,6 | +2,7 | 1516 | + 36 | -180 | 120 | +13 | +22 | 77 | 77 | 81 |
| Roundup 1,6 ℓ | 7,1 | +0,7 | +3,3 | 1412 | + 29 | -170 | 102 | +13 | +28 | 76 | 74 | 80 |
| Mean | 7,8 | 8,2 | 10,6 | 1405 | 1473 | 1290 | 110 | +11 | +28 | 77 | 76 | 81 |
| CV % | 14,8 | 12,9 | 9,7 | 9,3 | 15,7 | 10,4 | 21,7 | 17,9 | 17,1 | 3,4 | 3,7 | 3,0 |
| LSD (P=0,05) | 1,7 | 1,6 | 1,6 | 190 | 337 | 208 | 35 | 13,7 | 36,5 | 3,8 | 4,1 | 3,7 |

Harvest results

| Treatments | Tons cane ^{-ha} | Ers % cane | Tons ers ^{-ha} | Tons suc ^{-ha} | Stalk height (cm) | Stalk populn. (1000 ^{-ha}) |
|----------------|--------------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Trial A | | | | | | |
| Control | 148 | 10,5 | 15,5 | 18,6 | 326 | 86 |
| Roundup 0,8 ℓ | 158 | 10,8 | 17,0 | 20,1 | 318 | 90 |
| Roundup 1,6 ℓ | 143 | 10,5 | 15,0 | 17,9 | 329 | 90 |
| PP 350 ml | 160 | 10,8 | 17,3 | 20,6 | 314 | 85 |
| PP 700 ml | 149 | 11,2 | 16,6 | 19,6 | 326 | 86 |
| HOE 3 ℓ | 146 | 10,5 | 15,4 | 18,4 | 320 | 89 |
| HOE 6 ℓ | 147 | 10,5 | 15,5 | 18,6 | 314 | 90 |
| Mean | 150 | 10,7 | 16,0 | 19,0 | 322 | 88 |
| CV % | 16,6 | 8,3 | 19,9 | 19,2 | 5,2 | 14,3 |
| LSD (P=0,05) | 32 | 1,1 | 4,1 | 4,8 | 22 | 16 |
| Trial B | | | | | | |
| Control | 151 | 10,8 | 16,4 | 19,3 | - | - |
| Roundup 0,8 ℓ | 172 | 10,7 | 18,2 | 21,6 | - | - |
| Roundup 1,6 ℓ | 150 | 10,4 | 15,7 | 18,9 | - | - |
| Mean | 158 | 10,6 | 16,8 | 19,9 | - | - |
| CV % | 10,3 | 9,7 | 17,1 | 14,6 | - | - |
| LSD (P=0,05) | 25 | 1,6 | 4,3 | 4,5 | - | - |

5. Comments

- There is no statistical evidence that any of the treatments improved cane quality.

Glyphosate

- The increase of 1,5 t suc^{-ha} (ns) from Roundup applied at 0,8 ℓ^{-ha} may have been partly due to the small improvement (0,3 suc units) in cane quality and the higher cane yields in Roundup treated plots.
- The 2 x standard rate of Roundup had no effect on cane quality and cane yields and consequently sucrose yields were similar to the untreated cane.

PP005

The response to 350 ml^{-ha} was similar to that from the standard rate of glyphosate. Sucrose yields were 2 tons^{-ha} greater than in untreated cane. This may have been due to the higher cane yields (12 tc^{-ha})

and the slight improvement in cane quality as in the glyphosate treated cane.

Cane treated with the higher rate of PP005 ($700 \text{ mL}^{-\text{ha}}$) had similar cane yields to untreated cane and the small increase in cane quality improved sucrose yields by $1 \text{ ton}^{-\text{ha}}$.

HOE 2501

Except for the marked leaf scorch and 'burning' of the stalk no ripening effects were evident from applying this product to N14.

6. General

- Growth was very variable and consequently CV% were high.
- The mean daily air temperatures dropped markedly during June and there is some evidence that natural ripening was accelerated. This may have caused chemical ripening to be less effective.
- Rain and strong winds caused cane to lodge in the whole trial two days before harvesting

RAD/IS
14 September 1984