# SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY

# AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

# 7300/15 : DRYING - OFF X NITROGEN TRIAL

,					
CAT. NO.	1437	• •			· .
TERMINAL REPORT	·				
<u>Object</u> :	To detern irrigation the response tiliser of	nine the effect on at predetern onse of sugarca on Chisumbanje	t of dryin nined per une to le basalt s	ng-off by co iods before vels of nit oils.	essation of harvest on rogen fer-
Planted:	31.8.86 ( until 11.	formerly 4200/ 10.82).	10 : Irr	igation x N	itrogen trial
Terminated:	7.10.86 a	after the 7th r	ratoon.	-	•
Harvest dates and ages:	<u>Crop</u> 4R 5R 6R 7R	Harvest 10.10.83 8.10.82 8.10.85 7.10.86	<u>A</u> 3 5	<u>ge (months)</u> 12,0 11,9 12,0 12,0	· · ·
Location:	Chisumbar	nje Experiment	Station.		
Soil type:	Black bas	alt derived fi	com, <b>verti</b>	eol clay ±	120cm deep.
Design:	Randomise	ed blocks with	n split-p	lots, 5 rep	lications.
Variety/Spacing:	NCo376 in	n 1,5m rows.			
Fertiliser:		N	P205	K20	
(Kg/IB)	4R Ve 5R	arious	100	60 ·	
; ; ;	6R 7R	11	60 60	60 60	
Rainfall/Irrigation:		Rainfall(mm)		Irrigation(	nm)
<u></u>	4R 5R 6R 7R	400 445 775 563		Variable " "	
<u>Treatments</u> :	a) Whole ment ted cl was a fall Assu were	e-plot treatmen dates more def lass <sup>1</sup> A <sup>2</sup> pan de stopped on thes during this pe ning a harvest as follows in	nts : Fou termined eficit at se dates. eriod was date of the 7th	r drying-of by calculat harvest if Possibili assumed to 7.10.86, th ration:	f commence- ing the pred irrigation ty of rain- be nil, e treatments

•

. 2/....

Treatment	. <b>-</b>	Predicted accumulated evaporation deficit (mm)	Commencement Days before harvest	of drving-off Date of last irrigation
D1 D2 D3 D4	•	150 250 350 450	20 35 54 77	17.9.86 2.9.86 14.8.86 22.7.86

b) Split-plot treatments: consisted of four nitrogen levels:

	4th, 5th and 7th ratoons	<u>6th ratoon</u>
N1	60 kg/ha N	90 kg/ha N
N2	120 kg/ha N	180 kg/ha N
N3	180 kg/ha N	270 kg/ha N
N4	240 kg/ha N	360 kg/ha N

The nitrogen was applied as annonium nitrate in two equal applications at 4 and 8 weeks after harvest. The sixth ratoon crop received an extra 50% of nitrogen in a third application at 12 weeks.

Conduct:

a) An in-row furrow system of irrigation was used based on a Class 'A' open pan deficit of 50mm at full canopy.
b) Drying-off treatments in the 6th and 7th ratoons were not affected by water shortages as they were in the 4th and 5th ratoons.

#### RESULTS

a) <u>Irrigation data</u>: Irrigation was stopped as scheduled for all drying-off dates in the 7th ratoon, and actual accumulated pan deficits at harvest were: <u>Accumulated pan deficit from date</u>

•	irrigation cear	ed to harvest
Treatment	Predicted	Actual
D1	150mm	-133mm
D2	250mm	236mm
D3	350mm	350mm
D4	450mm	451mm

Rainfall interference in the 7th ratoon was negligible with a total of 7mm falling in the drying-off period, as apposed to 53mm in the 6th ratoon, The 7th ratoon drying-off treatments were the best of the four orop cycles as shown above by the good agreement between the actual and predicted accumulated pan deficits at harvest (see also Table 6). The accumulated deficits at harvest for the different drying-off regimes, over four crop cycles, were less than those predicted by a mean value of 29mm. Relevant irrigation date for the 7th ratoon were as follows overleaf:

3/....

	<u>_D1</u>	_ <u>D2</u>	<u>D3</u>	<u></u> D4
Pre-drying-off period				
No. of irrigations	19	19	19	19
Amount (mm)	997	988	971	967
Mean application(mm)	53	52	51	51
Drying-off period				
No. of irrigations	7	<b>5</b> ·	3	. 1
Ammount (mm)	352	<b>25</b> 8 -	136	42
Mean application(mm)	50	52	45	42
Water applied				
Total irrigation (mm)	1 349	1 246	1 107	1 009
Total rainfall (mm)	563	563	563	563
Total water applied(nm)	1 912	1 809	1 670	1 572
Yields		,	, ·	
Cane yield t/ha	108,87	120,47	117,87	118,15
ERC yield t/ha	14,36	16,59	16,26	16,96
Efficiency of water use	•			•
Tonnes cane/ha/100mm	5,69	6,66	7,06	7,52
ERC t/ha/100mm	0,75	0,92	0,97	1,08

There was a linear improvement in water-use efficiency for both cane and ERC yields with length of drying-off period as in previous rateons (see Table 6). Efficiency of water-use dropped from the 4th to 6th rateons due to a progressive increase in total water applied with each rateon. The small improvement in efficiency in the 7th rateon over the 6th rateon was due to an increase in both cane and ERC yields.from the 6th to 7th rateons. Treatment D4 (450mm accumulated deficit) gave a mean increase of 1,17 t/ha ERC over treatment D1 (150mm predicted accumulated deficit), with a mean saving of 277mm in irrigation applied. This water saving was equivalent to between 5 and 6 irrigations

- 5) <u>Yield data</u>: Relevant yield data for all four crop cycles are shown in Tables 1-3.
  - 1) <u>Drving-off treatments</u>. There was a consistent, significant improvement in ERC% cane with length of drying-off period. In the 6th and 7th rateons this improvement resulted in a significant increase in sugar yield, because there was no decline in cane yield with dryingoff, as occured in the 4th and 5th rateons. Treatment D4 gave the best sugar quality and yield in all rateons except the 4th, when D5 had the best sugar yield.
  - 11) <u>Nitrogen Treatments</u>. Both ERC and cane yields showed a quadratic response to nitrogen in the 4th-6th ratoons, whereas in the 7th rateon cane yield declined linearly and the ERC yield showed no response. ERC% cane showed a quadratic response to nitrogen in the 5th and 6th ratoons, with a linear decline in the 4th ratoon and a linear increase in the 7th ratoon.

The N1 nitrogen treatment showed an atypical increase in cane yield from the 5th to 7th ratoons, such that it had the highest yield of all nitrogen treatments in the 7th ratoon. Likewise, treatments N3 and N4 had lower cane yields than expected in the 6th and 7th ratoons. In an attempt to explain these abnormalities, foliar N levels were examined and are presented overleaf:

4/.....

Nitrogen		Foliar	<u>N% at ±</u>	22 weeka	
treatments	<u>4R</u>	<u>5R</u>	<u>6R</u>	TR	<u>Mean</u>
N1	1.60	1,42	2,02	1,94	1,75
N2	1,65	1,55	2,08	1,95	1,81
N3	1,71	1,67	2,10	1,95	1,86
N4	1,76	1,74	2,09	2,00	1,90
Mean	1,68	1,60	2,07	2,00	1,83

Mean foliar N% increased sharply from 1,60 in the 5th ratoon to 2,07 in the 6th ratoon, and only declined slightly to 2,00 in the 7th ratoon. In the 6th and 7th ratoons there was little difference between treat ments, whereas in the 5th ratoon there was a marked linear increase in foliar N% with nitrogen level as might be expected.

111) Drying-off x nitrogen interactions. Significant interactions were recorded for cane and ERC yields in the 4th and 5th ratoons (see Table 5). At 60 kg/ha N, cane and ERC yields in both seasons declined with increase in length of drying-off period. In the 6th ratoon only ERC% cane showed a significant interaction. At all levels of nitrogen there was a general increase in ERC% cane with drying-off, the largest increases being at the 180 and 360 kg/ha N levels.

There were no significant interactions in the 7th rateon crop.

- e) <u>Stalk characteristics: (See Table 4)</u>. Drying-off treatments showed little or no variation in stalk characteristics except that treatment D1 differed from D2-4 in the following respects:
  - i) It had the highest stalk population in the first three crop cycles, and the lowest population in the 7th ratoon.
  - ii) It lodged more, with lodging being most severe in the 7th ratoon.

Stalk lengths increased with increasing nitrogen level up to 180 kg/ha N, but stalk diameters and lodging were not affected. There was a trend for stalk populations to decrease as nitrogen levels increased, with N1 showing a much higher population than other nitrogen treatments in the 7th ratoon.

#### DISCUSSION

The sharp increase in foliar N% levels for all nitrogen treatments, especially treatments N1 and N2 from the 5th to 6th ratoons, suggests that the trial may have received more than the extra 50% nitrogen noted before. Other evidence to support this theory was that:

- i) Foliar N% levels did not drop much from 6th to 7th ratoons, suggesting that the extra nitrogen had a residual effect on the 7th ratoon.
- ii) Growth in the 7th ratoon was noticed to be very vigourous before any nitrogen was applied, which also suggests there was residual nitrogen from the 6th ratoon.
- 111) The progressive increase in cane yield from the 5th to 7th rateons in the N1 treatment, which is unlikely to have been caused by a mere extra 30 kg/ha N.

5/....

iv) The marginal foliar N% level used by ZSA for samples taken in March is 1,80, therefore all nitrogen treatments were well above marginal levels in the 6th and 7th rateons.

These high nitrogen levels in the last two crop cycles interfered with the anticipated quadratic yield response, such that by the 7th ratoon the ERC yield response to nitrogen was non-existent.

The significant ERC yield response to drying-off in the last two crop cycles would also appear to be linked to these high nitrogen levels because: i) Cane yield did not decline with drying-off in the 6th and 7th rateons,

- as there was an adequate supply of nitrogen in all treatments. ii) Conversely, the general decline in case yield with drying-off in the 4th
- and 5th rateons was due largely to the decline in the low nitrogen (60 kg/ha N) treatment (see Table 5).

Treatment D4 (450mm predicted deficit) gave the best ERC yield except in the 4th ration, when the trial experienced water shortages prior to drying-off. The total available moisture (TAM) on this site was estimated to be 150mm, therefore an accumulated deficit of 450mm (D4).would be equivalent to 3 x TAM. This result agrees with results from a previous trial (7300/14), where the treatment with a predicted deficit of 3 x TAM gave sugar yields as good as those for the control.

#### CONCLUSION

Results from this trial show that cane on Chisumbanje bassalts could be driedoff to an accumulated deficit of 450mm (or a value equivalent to 3 x total available moisture on shallower soils), without adverse affect on yield provided: a) the cane had not been water-stressed prior to drying-off, and

b) the supply of nitrogen had been adequate throughout the growth of the arop. The benefits of this drying-off regime over drying-off to an accumulated deficit of 150mm were:

a) A considerable saving in water (equivalent to between 5 and 6 irrigations).

b) An improved quality which gave an improved ERC yield, provided there was no decline in case yield.

There was an interaction between nitrogen and drying-off in that when nitrogen status was low, cane and ERC yields declined with length of drying-off period. The anticipated quadratic yield response to nitrogen was disturbed by extra nitrogen applied in the 6th ratoon, giving atypical responses in the last two crop cycles.

The trial was terminated after the 7th ratoon, inspite of clear-cut results, because it was proving difficult to manage from a distance.

DEL/Nov'86

# 7300/15 DRYING - OFF x NITROGEN TRIAL

TABLE 1 : CANE YIELD

	•	CANE	YIELD	t/ha	
TREATMENTS	4R	5R	6R	7R	Mean
<u>Main_plots:</u> D1 D2 D3 D4	107,58 105,34 103,14 96,55	113,07 110,05 109,81 108,58	110,93 109,79 112,74 110,54	108,87 120,77 117,87 118,15	110,11 111,49 110,89 108,46
Significance L.S.D. P.= 0,05 P = 0,01	* 6,58 9,22	N.S. - -	N.S. 	N.S. - -	
S.E. Main plot ± S.E. Drying-off mean ± C.V.%	9,54 2,13 9,25	13,06 2,92 11,83	9,61 2,15 8,66	13,84 3,09 11,89	40 12
<u>Sub-pkota:</u> N1 N2 N3 N4	77,62 105,38 115,45 107,68	76,02 111,61 126,70 127,19	98,13 116,28 113,86 115,49	120, 34 115, 62 117, 11 111, 59	93,03 112,47 118,28 115,49
Linear effect Quadratic effect Cubic effect L.S.D. P = 0,05 P = 0,01	**** *** 5,91 7,89	**** **** 6,55 8,75	*** ** 7,43 9,92	*** 3,74 4,99	
S.E. sub-plot ± S.E. Nitrogen mean ± C.V.%	9,27 2,07 8,99	10,28 2,31 9,31	11,65 2,61 10,50	5,88 1,31 5,05	
Interactions	DN 1*	DN 1# DN 1#	N.8.	N.8.	•
Trial mean	103,16	110, 38	110,94	116,41	110,22

## 7300/15 DRYING - OFF x NITROGEN TRIAL

TABLE 2 : ERC % CANE

TREATMENTS		ER	C % CAN	E	
	4 <b>R</b>	5R	6R	' <b>7</b> R	Mean
<u>Main plots</u> D1 D2 D3 D4	13,43 13,81 14,24 14,39	11,74 12,15 12,54 12,84	11, 38 11, 89 12, 53 13, 19	13,20 13,87 13,80 14,22	12,44 12,93 13,28 13,55
Significance LSD $P = 0,05$ P = 0,01	**** 0,22 0,31	*** 0,27 0,38	*** 0,43 0,60	** 0,49 0,69	11
S.E. Main plot ± S.E. Drying-off mean ± C.V.%	0,33 0,07 2,02	0,40 0,09 3,23	0,62 0,14 5,04	0,71 0,16 5,18	• • •
<u>Sub-plots</u> N1 N2 N3 N4	14,23 14,17 13,05 13,61	12,45 12,06 12,34 12,42	11,91 12,35 12,39 12,33	13,54 13,73 13,87 13,96	13,03 13,08 12,91 13,08
Linear effect Quadratic effect Cubic effect ISD P = 0,05 P = 0,01	*** N.S. 0,18 0,24	N.S. * 0,26 0,35	*** ** 0,23 0,30	** - 0,32 0,43	
S.E. sub-plot ± S.E. Nitrogen mean ± C.V.%	0,07 0,06 2,02	0,41 0,09 3,30	0,36 0,08 2,91	0,51 0,11 3,70	0 • • •
Interactions	N.S.	N.S.	DN'*	N.S.	-
Trial mean	13,97	12,32	12,25	13.77	13,08

1

## 7300/15 DRYING - OFF x NJTROGEN TRIAL

TABLE 3 : ERC YIELD t/ha

		ERC 1	(IELD	t/ha	
INPRIMAVIO	4R	5R	6R	7R	Mean
<u>Main plots</u> D1 D2 D3 D4	14,42 14,51 14,65 13,89	13,26 13,35 13:74 13,93	12,63 13,05 14,11 14,59	14,31 16,59 16,26 16,96	13,67 14,38 14,69 14,84
Significance ISD P = 0.05 P = 0.01	N.S. -	N.S. -	*** 0,76 1,07	** 1,36 1,91	
S.E. Main plot * S.E. Drying-off mean ± C.V.%	1,37 0,31 9,54	1,69 0,38 12,47	1,11 0,25 8,15	1,97 0,44 12, <b>5</b> 0	92 69 49
<u>Sub-plota</u> N1 N2 N3 N4	11 <b>,02</b> 14,92 16,00 15,54	9,40 13,45 15,65 15,79	11,65 14,38 14,12 14,24	16,29 16,02 16,26 15,59	12,09 14,70 15,51 15,29
Linear effect Quadratic effect Cubic effect ISD P = 0,05 P = 0,01	*** *** 0,80 1,07	*** *** 0,76 1,02	*** * 0,88 1,17	N.8. - -	
S.E. sub-plot ± S.E. Nitrogen mean # C.V.%	1,26 0,28 8,76	1,20 0,27 8,83	1,38 0,31 10,11	0,96 0,21 5,99	-
Interactions	IN * * *	DN • • *	N.S.	N.S.	
Trial mean	14,37	13,57	13,60	16,04	14,40

- 8 -

#### 2200/15 DRYING - OFF \* NETROGEN TRIAL

## TABLE 4 : STALK DATA

55

## 4th - 7th Eatoons

STALK COUNTS/ha x 10-3			3	STALE LENGTES (m)						
TREATMENTS	4R	5R	6R	7R	Mean	4R	'5 <b>R</b>	6r	7R	Mean
<u>Main plots</u> D1 D2 D3 D4	196,5 189,3 191,1 192,0	185,7 178,8 181,2 177,3	194,1 184,4 184,3 185,5	159 <b>,9</b> 167,8 167,4 169,4	184,1 180,1 181,0 181,1	1,89 1,86 1,82 1,74	2,00 1,94 1,92 1,90	2,00 2,01 2,05 1,99	2,27 2,28 2,29 2,25	2,04 2,02 2,02 1,97
<u>Sub-plots</u> N1 N2 N3 N4	190,5 198,5: 191,5 188,0	185,0 184,7 176,4 176,9	197,0 189,7 180,4 180,1	183,7 163,9 162,2 154,6	189,1 184,2 177,6 174,9	1,52 1,83 1,97 1,99	1,53 1,91 2,15 2,16	1,84 2,05 2,12 2,07	2,22 2,28 2,31 2,29	1,78 2,02 2,14 2,13
Trial Mean	192,2	180,7	187,1	166,1	181,5	1,83	1,94	2,02	2,27	2,02

		STALK	DIAMETE	R (cm)			LO	DCINC	%	
TREATMENTS	4R	<u>5</u> R	6R	72	Mean	- <b>1</b> 12	52	<b>6</b> 2	7R	Mean
<u>Main plots</u> D1 D2 D3 D4 -	2,0 2,0 2,0 2,1	2,0 2,1 2,1 2,1	2,0 2,0 2,0 2,1	2,0 2,0 2,0 2,0	2,0 2,0 2,0 2,1	5,3 1,5 1,5 1,3	17,3 5,5 3,8 7,8	12,5 2,5 0,0 4,0	50,5 30,0 26,0 .8,0	21,4 9,9 7,8 5,3
Sub-plots N1 N2 N3 N4	1,9 2,0 2,1 2,0	2,0 2,1 2,1 2,1 2,1	2,0 2,1 2,1 2,1	1,9 2,1 2,0 2,1	2,0 2,1 2,1 2,1 2,1	0,0 0,0 2,0 7,0	7,0 5,8 9,8 11,8	2,5 2,5 2,5 10,0	39,5 30,0 13,5 31,5	12,3 9,6 7,0 15,1
Trial Mean	-2,0	2,1	2,0	2,0	2,0	2,4	8,6	5,0	28,6	11,2

F M

## 7310/15 : DRYING - OFF x NITROGEN TRIAL

TABLE 5 : INTERACTION TABLES

a) Cans Yield t/ha (Fourth Ratoon)

TSP 1	YTNC _ (	ት ትርጉ መድረ የ	MENRUS		MEAN			
				60	120	180	240	
D1 D2 D3 D4	150mm 250mm 350mm 450mm	predicted " " "	deficit " " "	94,09 79,81 73,26 63,31	108,62 107,74 104,69 100,45	111,11 120,46 117,05 113,21	116,51 113,37 117,58 109,26	107,58 105,34 103,14 96,55
Mea	n			77,62	105,38	115,45	109,68	103,16

Significant interaction : DN'\* L.S.D. P = 0,05 = 11,82P = 0,01 = 15,79

b) Cane yield t/ha (Fifth Ratoon)

DRYING - OFF TREATMENTS NITROGEN kg/ha						
	60	120	180	240		
Di 150mm predicted deficit D2 250mm " " D3 350mm " " D4 450mm " "	90,72 70,32 75,04 68,02	114,60 110,89 107,77 113,17	120,76 134,29 124,33 127,41	-126,27 124,69 132,09 125,74	113,07 110,05 109,81 108,58	
Mean	76,02	111,61	126,70	127,19	110, 38	

Significant interactions : DN'\* DN''\* L.S.D. P = 0,05 = 13,10 P = 0,01 = 17,50

c) ERC % Cane (Sixth Ratoon)

П	BYTNC - (				MEAN			
	= (	JEF LICH	AITIENI (J	60	120	180	240	
D1 D2 D3 D4	150mm p 250mm 350mm 450mm	redicted n n	deficit " " "	11, 31 11, 78 12, 07, 12, 49	11,31 12,08 12,59 13,43	11,50 11,86 12,98 13,22	11,39 11,82 12,46 13,63	11, 38 11, 89 12, 53 13, 19
Mea	n .			11,91	12,36	12,39	12,33	12,25

Significant interactions : DN'\* DN'''\* L.S.D. P = 0,05 = 0,45P = 0,01 = 0,61

## 7300/15 : DEVING - OFF x NITROGEN TRIAL

TABLE 5 cont. : INTERACTION TABLES

1

## d) ERC Yield t/ha (Fourth Ratoon)

				MEAN					
DRYING - OFF TREATMENTS		ENTS	60	120	180	240			
1	D1 D2 D3 D4	150aan p 250aan 350aan 450aan	redicted ""	defioit " "	12,94 11,28 10,66 9,21	14,72 15,15 15,27 14,50	14,66 16,40 16,48 16,45	15,35 15,21 16,20 15,38	14,42 14,51 14,65 13,89
]	Mea	n			11,02	14,91	16,00	15,54	14,37

Significant interactions :  $DN'^{**}$   $DN'^{**}$ L.S.D. P = 0,05 = 1,61P = 0,01 = 2,14

e) ERC yield t/ha (Fifth Rateon)

DRYING - OFF TREATMENTS				MEAN				
				60	120	180	240	
D1 D2 D3 D4	150mm 250mm 350mm 450mm	predicted " "	đeficit n n u	10,49 8,61 9,62 8,88	13,15 13,15 13,28 14,22	14,27 16,51 15,62 16,20	15,14 15,14 16,45 16,43	13,26 13,35 13,74 13,93
Noa	n			9,40	13,45	15,65	15.79	13,57

Significant interactions : DN'\* DN''\* L.S.D. P = 0.05 = 1.53P = 0.01 = 2.04

- 11 -

7300/15 : DRYING - OFF X NITROGEN TRIAL

TABLE 6 : EFFICIENCY OF WATER USE - 4th - 7th Ratoons

	DRYING - OFF TREATMENTS	CROP	Accumulated deficit at harvest (mm)	Total Applied water (mm)	Cane Yield t/ha	ERC Yield t/ha	Cane Yield t/ha/100mm Applied water	ERC Yield t/hs/100mm Applied Water
D1	150mm predicted accumulated pan deficit	4R 5R 6R 7R	106 142 145 133	1 492 1 655 1 816 1 912	107,58 113,07 110,93 108,87	14,42 13,26 12,63 14,36	7,21 6,83 6,11 5,69	0,97 0,80 0,70 0,75
[	••	Mean	132	1 719	110,11	13,67	6,46	0,81
D2	250mm predicted accumulated pan deficit	4R 5B 6R 7R	211 248 174 236	1 394 1 547 1 773 1 809	105, 34 110,05 109,79 120,47	14,51 13,35 13,05 16,59	7,55 7,11 6,19 6,65	1,04 0,86 0,74 0,92
		Mean	217	1 631	111,41	14,38	6,88	0,89
D3.	350mm predicted accumulated pan deficit	4R 5R 6R 7R	335 300 275 350	1 310 1 474 1 640 1 670	103,14 109,81 112,74 117,87	14,65 13,74 14,11 16,26	7,87 7,45 6,87 7,06	1,12 0,93 0,86 0,97
		Mean	315 🤇	1 524	110,89	14,69	7,31	0,97
D4	450mm predicted accumulated pan deficit	4R 5R 6R 7R	426 410 388 451	1 267 1 395 1 535 1 572	96,55 108,58 110,24 118,15	13,89 13,93 14,59 16,96	7,62 7,78 7,18 7,52	1,10 1,00 0,95 1,08
+1		Mean	419	1 442	108,38	14,84	7,53	1,03
ME/ dry mer	NS (of all 4 ring-off treat- nts)	4R 5R 6R 7B	270 275 246 293	1 398 1 518 1 691 1 741	103, 16 110, 38 110, 94 116, 41	14,37 13,57 13,60 16,04	7,38 7,27 6,56 6,69	1,03 0,89 0,80 0,92

~

• • •

.

١

## SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

7	300 <u>/15</u> 1	DRYING-OFF AN	D NITRIGEN	TRIAL						
Cat. 1437	··· ·· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
<u>Object</u> :	To deter of irrig and the fertilis	To determine the effect of drying-off by cessation of irrigation at pre-determined periods before harvest, and the response of sugarcane to levels of nitrogen fertiliser on Chisumbanje basalt-derived soils.								
This crop:	Fourth 1 (former) 11.10.0	Fourth rateon <u>Age</u> : 12,0 months (11.10.02 to 10.10.83) (formerly 4200/10 : Irrigation and Nitrogen Trial until 11.10.02).								
Location:	Chisunbo	anjo Experimen	nt Station	•	,					
Soil type:	Black b	salt-derived	heavy ver	tisol c]	lay ± 12	Ocn deep.				
Design:	Randouir	ad blocks wi	th split-p	lots, 5	replica	tions.				
Variety/spaci	ng: NCo 37	76 in 1,5m rot	WB.	•		•				
Fertiliser:		<b>N</b> :	P205		K20	··· , '				
(rg/m)	۲	Various	100		60					
Rainfall:	400 <del>m</del>	Irrig	<u>ution</u> : Va	riable		۰.				
Treatments:	a) Whole dates we		ent <b>s:four</b>	drying-c	ff com	encement				
	irrigati of raini be nil. of 10.10	ed class 'A' 1 Lon was ceased fall during th The treatmen ).03:	pan defici i on these he drying- hts were,	ta expect dates. off peri assuning	ted at The pr od was ; a harv	harvest if obability assumed to est date				
	irrigati of raini be nil. of 10.10 Treat-	ed class 'A' j ion was ceased fall during th The treatmen ).83: Predicted ad	pan defici i on these ie drying- its were, cumulated	ts expect dates. off peri assuning <u>Comme</u>	ted at The pr od was a harv	harvest if obability assumed to est date of drying-off				
	irrigati of raini be nil. of 10.10 Treat- nent	ed class 'A' ] ion was ceased fall during the The treatment ).83: Predicted ad evaporation (nn)	pan defici i on these he drying- hts were, cumulated deficit	ts expected dates. off peri assuning <u>Corne</u> Days <u>har</u>	ted at The pr od was a harv encement before vest	harvest if obability assumed to est date of drving-off Date of last irrigation				
	D1	ed class 'A' ] ion was ceased fall during th The treatmen ).83: Predicted ad evaporation (nn) 100 (15	pan defici i on these ne drying- nts were, cumulated deficit	ts expected dates. off peri assuning <u>Conne</u> Days <u>har</u> 17	ted at The pr od was a harv encement before vest (20)	harvest if obability assumed to est date of drying-off Date of last irrigation 27/9 (20/9)				
	D1 D2	ed class 'A' ] ion was ceased fall during the The treatmen ).03: Predicted ad evaporation (nn) 100 (15 200 (25)	pan defici d on these ne drying- nts were, cumulated deficit 	ta expect dates. off peri assuning <u>Corne</u> Days <u>har</u> 13 27	ted at The pr od was a harv encement before vest (20) (35)	harvest if obability assumed to est date of drying-off Date of last irrigation 27/9 (20/9) 13/9 (5/9)				
	D1 D3	ed class 'A' ] ion was ceased fall during the The treatmen ).03: Predicted ad evaporation (nn) 100 (15 200 (25 300 (35)	pan defici i on these he drying- its were, cumulated deficit 50)* 50)	ts expected dates. off peri assuning <u>Conne</u> Days har 17 27 45	ted at The pr od was a harv encement before vest (20) (35) (53)	harvest if obability assumed to est date of drving-off Date of last irrigation 27/9 (20/9) 13/9 (5/9) 26/8 (18/8)				

\* Figures in brackets represent original proposed treatments.

b) Split-plot treatments consisted of four nitrogen levels:

N1	60	ke/ha	N
N2	120	ke/he	N
N3	100	kg/ha	N
N4	240	kg/na	N

The nitrogen was applied as amonium nitrate, 8 weeks after rationing.

2/Conduct.....

Conduct

a) Original treatments (see paragraph (a) above) were changed because Chisumbanje Experiment Station had no water to irrigate from 7th June (when an irrigation was due) until 5th August ( 9 days after dryingoff was due to commence). A total of 39mm of rain fell druing this period, and 242mm of evaporation accumulated. The whole trial received a mean application of 71mm on 5th August, after which drying-off commenced.

b) An in-row furrow system of irrigation was used, based on a class 'A' open pan deficit of 50mm at full canopy. After 5th August, irrigation was based on a class 'A' pan deficit of 100mm due to scarcity of water. Irrigations coincided withdates of last irrigation given in paragraph (c) under Treatments above.

#### RESULTS

a) <u>Irrigation lata</u>: Actual accumulated pan deficits at harvest were:

- 2 -

Treatment		Accumulated pan de irrigation ceased	ficit from date to harvest (m)
,		Predioted	Actual
D1		100	106
D2	۰.	200	211
D3		- <b>30</b> 0	335
D4		400	426

11mm of rain fell during the drying-off period, but it did not interfere with drying-off treatments. Evaporation over the same period was higher than expected and all four drying-off treatments had a slightly higher accumulated deficit than predicted. Relevant irrigation data were as follows:

Pre-drying-off period		,			
No. of irrigations	21	21	21	21	
Amount (mi)	032	002	780	792	
Mean application (m)	40.	30	. 38	58	;
Drying-off poriod	• •				
No. of irrigations	. 4	3	2	1	
Anount (m)	260	192	122	. 75	
Mean application (m)	65 .	64	61	75	
Water applied	-		•		
Total irrigation (m)	1 092	994	<sup>1</sup> 910 -	. 867	
Total rainfall (m)	400	400	400	400	
Total water applied (nn)	1 492	1 394	1 310	1 267	•
Yields					
TC/ha	- 107,58	105.34	103.14	96.55	
TERC/ha	14,42	14,51	14,65	13,89	
Efficiency of water use			•	·	
TC/ha/100m	7,21	7,56	7,87	7,62	
TERC/ha/100m	0,97	1,04	1,12	1,10	
				-	

The total water applied was at least 200nm less than what night have been expected due to problems with irrigation (see Conduct).

3/b) Yield data ....

b) <u>Yield data</u>: Relevant harvest data are shown in Table 1

i) <u>Drying-off treatments</u>. ERC % cane increased whereas cane yield decreased with length of drying-off period. Consequently, TERC/ha showed no response to drying-off. D3 (300m predicted deficit) was most efficient in terms of TC/ha and TERC/ha per 100mm of water applied.

ii) <u>Nitrogen treatments</u>. Cane yields showed a significant quadratic response to nitrogen, with 100 kg/ha N giving a maximum yield of 115,45 t/ha. ERC % cane declined linearly with an increase in nitrogen level. However, this decline was small compared with the cane yield response, therefore TERC/ha also showed a quadratic response to nitrogen. A maximum of 16,0 TERC/ha was achieved with 180 kg/ha N.

iii) <u>Drying-off x nitrogen interactions</u>. Significant interactions were recorded for case and ERC yields (see Table 2). The effect of the drying-off regimes was nost marked at 60 kg/ha N, whore yields decreased with an increase in the length of the drying-off period. At 120 kg/ha N the difference was less marked and at 180 kg/ha N, D1 (100mm predicted deficit) had the lewest yields.

D2, D3 and D4 (200, 300, and 400nn predicted deficit respectively) showed a decrease in ERC yield from 100 to 240 kg/ha N level, whereas D1 (100nn predicted deficit) increased over this range. However, none of these differences were significant.

iv) <u>Stalk characteristics</u>. There was little or no variation in stalk characteristics with drying-off regime. Stalk length increased with nitrogen level, as did lodging, but stalk numbers and diameters were not affected.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The lack of a significant ERC yield response to drying-off regimes was probably due to the two-month period without irrigation. By the 5th August, when it was irrigated again, the cane showed visible signs of stress. Thus the trial had effectively been dried-off for two months before treatments were imposed. The trial will be continued.

DL/Dec<sup>1</sup>83 arg



DRYING-OFF X NITROGEN TRIAL

## FOURTH RATCON

. .

ŧ.

TABLE 1

HARVEST DATA

	<u> </u>				1	•	
TREATIANTS	CANE YIELD t/ha	ERC % CANE	TERC/ha	STALK COUNTS/ha x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	STALK LENGTHS L	STALK DIAMETER CD	LODGING
Main plots							
D1 100m predicted accumulated pan deficit D2 200m " " " " D3 300m " " " " D4 400m " " " "	107,58 105,34 103,14 96,55	13,43 13,01 14,24 14,39	14,42 14,51 14,65 13,89	196,5 109,3 191,1 192,0	1,89 1,86 1,82 1,74	2,0 2,0 2,0 2,1	5,3 1,5 1,5 1,3
Significance L.S.D. P=0,05 P=0,01	* 6,50 9,22	*** C,22 0,31	N.S. -	· -	-		-
S.E. single plot S.E. treatment mean C.V.%	9,54 2,13 9,25	0,33 0,07 2,02	1,37 0,31 9.54	-		- · · ·	
<u>Sub-plots</u> N1 60 kg/ha N N2 120 kg/ha N N3 100 kg/ha N N4 240 kg/ha N	77,62 105,38 115,45 107,68	14, 23 14, 17 13, 05 13, 61	11,02 14,91 16,00 15,54	190,5 193,5 191,5 188,0	1,52 1,83 1,97 1,99	1,9 2,0 2,1 2,0	0,0 0,0 2,0 7,0
Linear effect Quadratic effect L.S.D. P=0,05 P=0,01	*** *** 5,91 7,89	*** N.S. C, 18 C, 24	*** *** 0,80 1,07	, - - - -	1111	/	
S.E. single plot S.E. treatment mean C.V.%	9,27 2,07 8,99	0,07 0,06 2,02	1,26 0,20 3,76	-	-		
Interactions	DN •*	N.S.	DN 1** DN "*				
Trial mean	103.16	13.97	14,37	192.2	1,83	2,0	2.4

## DRYING-OFF X NITROGEN TRIAL

5

## FOURTH RATOON

## TABLE 2: INTERACTION TABLE

a) <u>Cane yield t/ha</u>

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	LI				
DRYING-OFF TREATMENT	60	120	180	240	MIRAN
D1 100mm predicted pan deficit D2 200mm """" D3 300mm """" D4 400mm """"	94,09 79,81 73,26 63,31	108,62 107,74 104,69 100,45	111,11 120,46 117,05 113,21	116,51 113,37 117,58 109,26	107,58 105,34 103,14 96,55
MEAN	77,62	105,38	№ 115,45	109,68	103, 16

Significant interaction DN\*\*

L.S.D. P=0,05 = 11,82 L.S.D. P=0,01 = 15,79

# b)<u>TERC/ha</u>

	LE	MEAN			
	60	120	180	240	
D1 100mm predicted pan deficit D2 200mm """" D3 300mm """ D4 400mm """	12,94 11,28 10,66 9,21	14,72 15,15 15,27 14,50	14,66 16,40 16,48 16,45	15,35 15,21 16,20 15,38	14,42 14,51 14,65 13,89
MEAN	11,02	14,91	16,00	15,54	14,37

Significant interactions: DN\*\*\*

L.S.D P=0,05 = 1,61L.S.D. P=0,01 = 2,14

## SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

#### 7300/15 DRYING-OFF AND NITROGEN TRIAL

Cat. No.: <u>Object</u> ·1437

ł

To determine the effect of drying-off by censation of irrigation at pro-determined periods before harvest, and the response of sugarcane to levels of nitrogen fertiliser on Chisumbanje basalt-derived soils.

This orop: Fifth rateon Age: 12,0 months(10.10.83 tox08.10.84) (formerly 4200/10: Irrigation and Nitrogen Trial until 11.10.82).

Location: Chisumbanje Experiment Station.

Soil type: Black basalt-derived heavy vertical clay ± 120cm deep.

Design: Randomised blocks with split-plots, 5 replications

Variety/spacing: NCo 376 in 1,5m rows.

Fertiliser:		N	P205	K <sub>2</sub> 0
(kg/ha)			<u> </u>	
	4th ratoon	Various	100	60
	5th ratoon	11	100	<b>60</b>

#### Rainfall: 445mm

Troatments:

a) <u>Whole-plot treatments</u>: 4 drying-off commencement dates were determined by calculating the predicted accumulated class 'A' pan deficits expected at harvest if irrigation was stopped on these dates. Probability of rainfall during this period was assumed to be nil. The treatments were; assuming a harvest date of 8.10.84.

Irrigation: Variable

Treat-	Predicted accumulated	Connencement of drying-of				
ment	evaporation deficit	Days before <u>harvest</u>	Date of last irrigation			
, D1	150	20	18.9.84			
D2	250	35	3.9.84			
D3	350	54	15.8.84			
D4	450	77	23.7.84			

b) Split-plot treatments consisted of four nitrogen levels:

N1	60 kg/ha	N
N2	120 kg/ha	N
N3	180 kg/ha	N
N4	240  kg/hc	N

The nitrogen was applied as annonium naturate in two equal applications at 4 weeks and 8 weeks after harvest.

2/Conduct....

Conduct:

a) An in-row furrow system of irrigation was used based on a class 'A' open pan deficit of 50mm at full earopy.

b) There was no water to irrigate with in the Sabi River from 27th August to 26th September. Therefore treatment D2 received its last irrigation on 27th August (earlier than prescribed), and treatment D1 on 26th September (later than prescribed.

#### RESULTS

a) Irrigation data. Actual accumulated pan deficits at harvest were:

<u>lreatment</u> Commencement of <u>drving-off</u>		Accumulated pan deficit from date irrigation				
Prescribed		Actual	ceased to ha	rvest.		
		ACTURE	Prodicted	Actual		
D1	18.9.84	26.9.84	150	142		
D2	3.9.84	27.8.84	250	248		
D3 -	15.8.84	15.8.84	350	300		
<b>D</b> 4	23.7.84	23.7.84	450	410		

A total of 61mm of rain fell during the drying-off period, thus lowering the actual accumulated deficit at harvest in all treatments.

Relevant irrigation data were as follows:

Pre-drying-off period	· <u>D1</u>	<u>D2</u>	<u>D3</u>	<u>D4</u>
No. of irrigations	20	20	20	20
Amount (mm)	960	<b>9</b> 44	925	922
Mean application (mp)	<b>4</b> 8	47	46	46
Drying-off period				
No. of irrigations	5	4	3	1
Annount (mn)	249	158	104	<b>2</b> 8 (
Mean application	50	40	35	28
Water applied		;	•,	· ,
Total irrigation (mm)	1 210	1 102	1 029	950
Total rainfall (m)	445	445	445	445
Total water applied (nn)	1 655	1 547	1 474	1 395
Yields				
Cone yield t/ha	143.07	110.05	109.81	108.58
ERC yield t/ha	13,26	13,35	13,74	13,93
Efficiency of water use		. · · ,		
Tonnes cane/ha/100mm	6,83	7,11	7.45	7.78
ERC t/ha/100mm	0,80	0,86	. 0,93	1,00

All treatments received 152mm more water on average in the fifth rateon than they did in the fourth rateon. Efficiency of water use was lower in fifth rateon than in fourth rateon

3/Yield data....

b) <u>Tield data</u>. Relevant yield and stalk data are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

i) <u>Drying-off treatments</u>. In the fourth and fifth rateons, ERO % cane increased with increase in length of the drying-off period. Cane and ERC yields were not affected by drying-off treatments, except in the fourth rateon, when cane yield declined with lengthening drying-off periods. Differences in ERC yield: between treatments were not significant in either of the two crop cycles.

ii) <u>Nitrogen treatments</u>. Cane and ERC yield showed a significant quadratic response to nitrogen in both seasons. In the fourth rateon, ERC % cane responded linearly to nitrogen, whereas in the fifth rateon it showed a quadratic response.

iii) <u>Drving-off x nitrogen interactions</u>. Significant interactions were recorded for cane and ERC yields (see Table 3). At 60 kg/ha N, cane and ERC yields in both seasons declined with increase in length of the drying-off period.

iv) <u>Stalk characteristics</u>. There was little or no variation in stalk characteristics with drying-off regimes, apart from treatment D1 which lodged more than the other treatments in the fifth rateon. Stalk lengths increased with the increasing nitrogen level, but stalk numbers, diameters and lodging were not affected.

#### DISCUSSION

Drying-off treatments were adversely affected by water shortages in both seasons. In the fourth ration, the trial was not irrigated for two months immediately prior to drying-off. This extra stress was probably why D4 had a lower sugar yield than D3 in the fourth ration and not in the fifth ration. In the fifth ration, only treatments D1 and D2 were affected by water shortage, as other treatments had already received their last irrigations. Treatment D2 received its last irrigation early, and would have had an accumulated deficit greater than the predicted value, had it not rained. Treatment D1 was not irrigated for one month before its last irrigation, thus accumulating a high deficit. As this deficit was not totally depleted by the last irrigation, part of it was added to the evaporation accumulated between the last irrigation and harvest. Thus the accumulated deficit for D1 was very close to the predicted value.

#### CONCLUSIONS

There was no drop in sugar yield with the increase in the length of the drying-off periods tested in this trial. In the fifth rateon there was in fact a slight, but not significant, yield benefit by drying-off using a 450mm predicted deficit. The trial will be continued.

DEL/Nov'84 arg

## 2300/15 DRYING-OFF X NITROGEN TRIAL

#### FOURTH AND FIFTH RATOON

TABLE 1 HARVEST DATA

TREATMENTS	CA	NE YIELD t/ba	).	E	RC % CAN	2	E	RC YIEL t/ha	D
	4R	<b>5</b> R	Mean	4R	572	Mean	438	5R	Mean
Main plots							· · ·		
D1 150mn predicted accumulated pan deficit D2 250mm " " " " D3 350mm " " " " D4 450mm " " " "	107,58 105,34 103,14 96,55	113,07 110,05 109,81 108,58	110,33 107,70 106,48 102,57	13,43 13,81 14,24 14,39	11,74 12,15 12,54 12,84	12,59 12,98 13,39 13,62	14,42 14,51 14,65 13,89	13,26 13,35 13,74 13,93	13,84 13,93 14,20 13,91
Significance L.S.D. P=0,05 P=0,01	* 6,58 9,22	N.S. -	-	*** 0,22 0,31	*** 0,27 3,30		N.S. - -	N.S. - -	-  -
S.E. single plot S.E. treatment mean C.V.%	9,54 2,13 9,25	13,06 2,92 11,83		0,33 0,07 2,02	0,40 0,09 3,23	1 1	1,37 0,31 9,54	1,69 0,38 12,47	
<u>Sub-plots</u> N1 60 kg/ha N N2 120 kg/ha N N3 180 kg/ha N N4 240 kg/ha N	77,62 105,33 115,45 107,68	76,02 111,61 126,70 127,19	76,82 109,50 121,08 117,44	14,23 14,17 13,05 13,61	12,45 12,06 12,34 12,42	13,34 13,12 12,70 13,02	11,02 14,91 16,00 15,54	9,40 13,45 15,65 15,79	10,21 14,18 15,33 15,67
Linear effect Quadratic effect L.S.D. P=0,05 P=0,01	*** *** 5,91 7,89	*** *** 6,55 8,75	/_ - -	*** N.S. 0,18 0,24	N.S. * 0,26 0,35	1 1 1	*** *** 0,30 1,07	*** *** 0,76 1,02	1 1
S.E. single plot S.E. treatment mean C.V.%	9,27 2,07 8,99	10,28 2,31 9,31	-	0,07 0,06 2,02	0,41 0,09 3,30	-	1,26 0,28 8,76	1,20 0,27 8,83	
Interactions	DN * * -	DN ** DN **	-	N.S. -	N.S. -	-	DN ' **	DN ** DN **	
Trial nean	103,16	110,38	106,77	13,97	12,32	13,15	14,37	13,57	13,97

# 7300/15DRYING-OFF X NITROGEN TRIALTABLE 2:STALK DATA

.

. -

FOURTH AND FIFTH RATOONS

TREATMENTES	STA	TAIK COUNTS/hn STALK LENGTHS		STALK DIAMETER CI			LODGING %					
	<b>4</b> R	<b>.</b> 5R	Mean	4R	5R	Mean	4R	.5A	Mean	4 <b>B</b>	· 5R	Mean
Main plots D1 150nn predicted pan deficit D2 250nn " " " D3 350nn " " " D4 450nn " " "	196,5 189,3 191,1 192,0	185,7 178,8 181,2 177,3	191,1 184,1 186,2 184,7	1,89 1,86 1,82 1,74	2,00 1,94 1,92 1,90	1,95 1,90 1,87 1,82	2,0 2,0 2,0 2,1	2,0 2,1 2,1 2,1	2,0 2,1 2,1 2,1 2,1	5,3 1,5 1,5 1,3	17,3 5,5 3,8 7,8	11,3 3,5 2,7 4,6
<u>Sub-plots</u> N1 60 kg/hc N N2 120 kg/hc N N3 180 kg/ha N N4 240 kg/ha N	190,5 198,5 191,5 188,0	185,0 184,7 176,4 176,9	187,8 191,6 184,0 182,5	1,52 1,83 1,97 1,99	1,53 1,91 2,15 2,16	1,53 1,87 2,06 2,08	1,9 2,0 2,1 2,0	2,0 2,1 2,1 2,1 2,1	2,0 2,1 2,1 2,1	0,0 0,0 2,0 7,0	7,0 5,8 9,8 11,8	3,5 2,9 5,9 9,4
TRIAL MEAN	<b>192,2</b>	~180,7	186,5	1,83	1,94	1,89	2,0	2,1	2,1	2,4	8,6	5,5

ຸ ບ

् । `1

## 7300/15 DRYING-OFF X NITROGEN TRIAL

FOURTH AND FIFTH RATOONS

TABLE 3: INTERACTION TABLES

a) <u>Cane vield t/ha</u>

	FOURTH RATOON				FIFTH RATOON					
DRYING-OFF TREATMENT	LEVELS OF NITROGEN kg/ha				MEAN	LEVE	ls of NT	IROGEN kg	/ha	MEAN
	60	120	180	240		60	120 "	180	240	
D1 150mn predicted pan deficit D2 250mn " " " D3 350mn " " " D4 450mn " " "	94;09 79,81 73,26 63,31	108,62 107,74 104,69 100,45	111, 11 120, 46 117, 05 113, 21	116,51 113,37 117,58 109,26	107,58 105,34 103,14 96,55	90,72 70,32 75,04 68,02	114,60 110,89 107,77 113,17	120,76 134,29 -124,33 127,41	126,21 124,69 132,09 125,74	113,07 110,05 109,81 108,58
MEAN	77.62	105,38	115.45	109.68	103,16	76,02	111.61	126,70	127.19	110.38
Significant interactions	DN'* L.S.D.	P=0,05 = P=0.01 =	11,82 15,79	. ~.	1	DN'* DN"* _L.S.D.	P=0,05 = P=0.01 =	13,10 17,50		

b) ERC Yield t/ha

		FO	JRTH RATC	ON _	1	1	I	IFTH RATO	ON	
DRYING-OFF TREATMENT	LEVELS (	OF NITROGY	N kg/ha		MEAN	· LE	VELS OF N	ITROGEN k	g/ha	MIEAN
	60 .	120	- 180	240		60	120	. 180	240	
D1 150mm predicted pan deficit D2 250mm " " " D3 350mm " " " D4 450mm " " "	12,94 11,28 10,66 9,21	14,72 15,15 15,27 14,50	14,66 16,40 16,48 16,45	15,35 15,21 16,20 15,33	14,42 14,51 14,65 13,89	10,49 8,61 9,62 8,88	13,15 13,15 13,28 14,22	14,27 16,51 15,62 16,20	15,14 15,14 16,45 16,43	13, 26 13, 35 13, 74 13, 93
MEAN	11.02	14,91	16.00	15,54	14.37	9.40	13.45	15.65	15,79	13,57
Significant interactions	_DN'** DN''* L.S.D.	<b>P=0,05 =</b> <b>P=0,01 =</b>	1,61 2,14	- -	•	DN'* DN"* L.S.D.	P=0,05 = P=0,01 =	1,53 2,04		Ŧ

## 7300/15 : DRYING-OFF X NITROGEN TRIAL

1437.

OBJECT:

Cat:

 $\mathbb{N}_1$ 

To determine the effect of drying-off by cessation of irrigation at pre-determined periods before harvest and the response of sugarcane to levels of nitrogen fertiliser on Chisumbanje basalt soils.

THIS CROP: Sixth rateon AGE: 12,0 months (8.10.84 - 8.10.85) (formerly 4200/10 : Irrigation and Nitrogen trial until 11.10.82).

LOCATION: Chisumbanje Experiment Station.

SOIL TYPE: Black basalt derived from heavy vertisol clay 2 120 cm deep.

Randomised blocks with split-plots, 5 replications.

VARIETY/ SPACING:

> ERTILISER: kg/ha)

DESIGN:

NCo 376 in 1,5 m rows.

	N	P205	K_0
4R	Various	100	60
5R	11	100	60
6R	<b>81</b>	60	60

RAINFALL/ IRRIGATION:

Rainfall (mm)	<u>Irrigation</u> (mm)
400	Variable
445	19
775	- 11 ·
	<u>Rainfall</u> (mm) 400 445 775

TREATMENTS:

a) <u>Whole-plot treatments</u>; Four drying-off commencement dates were determined by calculating the predicted accumulated class 'A' pan deficit at harvest if irrigation was stopped on these dates. Possibility of rainfall during this period was assumed to be nil. Assuming a harvest date of 8.10.85, the treatments were:

<u>Treatment</u>	Predicted accumulated evaporation deficit	Commence drying	ement of <u>-off</u>
<b>,</b>	( <u>mn</u> )	Days before harvest	Date of last irrigation
DI	150	20	18.9.85
D2	250	35	3.9.85
D3	350	54	15,9,85
· <b>D</b> 4	450	77	23.7.85

### 2/b)....

b) Split-plot treatments consisted of four nitrogen levels:

	4th - 5th Ratoon	6th Ratoon
¥1	60 kg/ha N	90 kg/ha N
N2	120 kg/ha N	180 kg/ha N
N3	180 kg/ha N	270 kg/ha N
N4	240 kg/ha N	360 kg/ha N

The Nitrogen was applied as armonium nitrate in two equal applications at 4 weeks and 8 weeks after harvest. The sixth rateon crop received an extra 50% of nitregen in a third application at 12 weeks by mistake.

- a) An in-row furrow system of irrigation was used based on Class 'A' open pan deficit of 50 mm at full canopy.
- b) Drying-off treatments in the sixth rateon crop were not affected by water shortages as they were in the previous two rateons.

RESULTS

a) <u>Irrigation data</u>: Actual accumulated pan deficits at the sixth ration harvest were:-

<u>Treatment</u>	Commences drying- Prescribed	ent of off Actual	Accumulated pan deficit from date irrigation ceased to harvest			
· ·			Predicted(mm)	Actual(mm)		
D1 D2 D3 D4	18.9.05 3.9.85 15.8.85 23.7.85	17.9.85 3.9.85 15.8.85 23.7.85	150 250 350 450	145 174 275 388		

A total of 53 mm of rain fell during the drying-off period, thus lowering the actual accumulated deficit at harvest in all treatments. The drying-off commencement date for treatment D1 was one day before the prescribed date because between the 14th and 17th of September the trial received 41,0 mm of rainfall. Therefore it was considered unnecessary to apply an irrigation on 18th September, 1985.

Relevent irrigation data for the sixth ratoon crop were as follows overleaf:-

CONDUCT:

3/ Pre-drying.....

	D1	<u>D2</u>	_ <u>D3</u> _	<u>D4</u>
<u>Pre-drying-off period</u> No. of irrigations Amount (mm) Mean application (mm)	17 786 46	17 788 46	17 746 44	17 735 43
Drying-off period No. of irrigations Amount (mm) Mean application (mm)	6 255 43	5 210, 42	3 119 40	1 25 25
<u>Water applied</u> Total irrigation (nm) Total rainfall (nm) Total water applied (nm)	1041 775 1816	998 775 1773	865 775 1640	760 775 1535
<u>Yields</u> Cane yield t/ha ERC yield t/ha	110,93 12,63	109,79 13,05	112,74 14,11	110,24 14 <b>,59</b>
Efficiency of water use Tonnes cane/ha/100 rm ERC t/ha/100 mm	6,11 0,70	6,19 0,74	6,87 0,86	7,18 0,95

There was an improvement in efficiency of water use with length of drying-off period. This improvement was more marked for ERC yield than it was for cane yield due to the ERC yield response.

A comparison of water use efficiency for 4th, 5th and sixth rateons is shown in Table 4. The total amount of water applied (meaned over 4 drying-off treatments) was 1398 mm, 1518 mm and 1591 mm for the 4th, 5th and 6th rateons respectively. The changes in yield from one rateon to the next were small, therefore efficiency of water use dropped consistently from 4th to 6th rateons.

- b) <u>Harvest data</u>. Relevent yield and stalk data are shown in Tables 1 and 2.
   (1) <u>Drying-off treatments</u>. For the first time since the trial started, the 6th ration crop showed a significant ERC yield response to length of drying-off period. In all rations there was a good ERC% cane response to drying-off. However, there was no ERC yield response in the 4th and 5th rations due to a decline in cane yield with length of drying-off period.
  - (11) <u>Nitrogen treatments</u>. Cane and ERC yields showed a significant quadratic response to nitrogen in all three seasons. In the 4th ratoon ERC% cane responded linearly to nitrogen whereas in the fifth and sixth ratoons it showed a quadratic response.

The 6th rateon crops response to nitrogen was atypical. Treatment N1 had a higher cane yield and a lower ERC% cane value than expected. Treatments N3 and N4 showed a marked drop in cane and ERC yields

4/from....

- 3 -

from the 5th to 6th rateons.

- (111) <u>Drying-off x nitrogen interactions</u>. Significant interactions vere recorded for cane and ERC yields in the 4th and 5th rateons (see Table 3). At 60 kg/ha N cane and ERC yields in both seasons declined with increase in length of drying-off period.
- In the 6th rateon, only ERC% cane showed a significant interaction. At all levels of nitrogen there was a general increase in ERC% cane with drying-off. This increase was largest at the 180 and 360 kg/ha N levels.
- (iv) <u>Stalk characteristics</u>. There was little or no variation in stalk characteristics with drying-off regimes. Treatment D1 had the highest stalk count and lodged more than other drying-off treatments.

Stalk lengths increased with increasing nitrogen level up to 180 kg/ha N, but stalk numbers, diameters and lodging were not affected.

#### DISCUSSION

The 6th rateon drying-off and nitrogen responses were atypical. There are two possible reasons for this, viz. the trial received more water and more nitrogen than in previous rateons. The nitrogen response was definately influenced by the extra top dressing, which resulted in a 50% increase in total nitrogen applied to all treatments.

The drying-off response, however, was probably influenced by both extra water and extra nitrogen. Despite the fact that drying-off treatments were administered accordingly to schedule in the 6th ratoon, there was rainfall interference during the drying-off period. Thus, tractments D2, D3, and D4 were not as stressed as in previous ratoons, and this, coupled with the extra nitrogen applied, may have accounted for the lack of yield decline with drying-off.

Thus the improvement in quality in the 6th rateon resulted in an improved sugar yield for the first time.

#### CONCLUSION

Drying-off has consistently improved ERC% cane in all seasons. However, there was only an ERC yield benefit in the 6th ratoon when drying-off did not cause a decline in cane yield. The trial will be continued for at least one more season to try and verify or disprove the 6th ratoon response.

<u>DEL/Nov'85</u> 10

## 2300/15 : DRYING - OFF X NITROOMY TRIAL

TABLE 1 : YIELD DATA

TREATMENTS	(	CANE YIE	LD t/hs	L		ERC %	CANE		ERC YIELD t/ha			
	4R	5R	6R	Mean	4R -	5R	6R	Mean	4R	5R	6r	Mean
<u>Main plots</u> D1 D2 D3 D4	107,58 105,34 103,14 96,55	113,07 110,05 109,81 108,58	110,93 109,79 112,74 110,24	110,53 108,39 108,56 105,12	13,43 13,81 14,24 14,39	11,74 12,15 12,54 12,84	11,38 11,89 12,53 13,19	12,18 12,62 13,10 13,47	14,42 14,51 14,65 13,89	13,26 13,35 13,74 13,93	12,63 13,05 14,11 14,59	13,44 13,63 14,17 14,14
Significance L.S.D. $p = 0,05$ p = 0,01	* 6,58 9,22	N.S.	N.S. - -	-	*** 0,22 0,31	*** 0,27 3,30	*** 0,43 0,60	-	N.S. - -	N.S. -	*** 0,76 1,07	
S.E. main plot : S.E. Drying-off rean ± C.V. %	9,54 2,13 9,25	13,06 2,92 11,83	9,61 2,15 8,66		0,33 0,07 2,02	0,40 0,09 3,23	0,62 0,14 5,04		1,37 0,31 9,54	1,69 0,38 12,47	1,11 0,25 8,15	- - -
<u>Sub-plots</u> N1 N2 N3 N4	77,62 105,38 115,45 107,68	76,02 111,61 126,70 127,19	98,13 116,28 113,86 115,49	83,92 111,09 118,67 116,78	14,23 14,17 13,05 13,61	12,45 12,06 12,34 12,42	11,91 12,35 12,39 12,33	12,86 12,86 12,59 12,79	11,02 14,91 16,00 15,54	9,40 13,45 15,65 15,79	11,65 14,38 14,12 14,24	10,69 14,25 15,26 15,19
Linear effect Quadratic effect Cubic effect L.S.D. p = 0,05 p = 0,01	*** *** 5,91 7,89	*** *** 6,55 8,75	*** ** 7,43 9,92	-	*** N.S. 0,18 0,24	N.S. * 0,26 0,35	*** ** 0,23 0,30	-	*** *** 0,80 1,07	*** *** 0,76 1,02	*** *** 0,88 1,17	
S.E. sub-plot ± S.E. Nitrogen mean ± C.V. %	9,27 2,07 8,99	10,28 2,31 9,31	11,65 2,61 10,50		0,07 0,06 2,02	0,41 0,09 3,30	0,36 0,08 2,91	-	1,26 0,28 8,76	1,20 0,27 8,83	1,38 0,31 10,11	
Interactions	DN '*	DN'* DN'*	N.S.		N.S.	N.S.	DN ' * DN ''' *		DN'**	DN'* DN''*	N.S.	
Trial mean	103,16	110,38	110,94	108,16	13,97	12,32	12,25	12,85	10.37	13,57	13,60	13,85

Ч,

1300/15		DRYING	- OFF	X	NITROGEN	TRIAL
---------	--	--------	-------	---	----------	-------

# TABLE : STALK DATA FOURTH, FIFTH, AND SIXTH RATOONS

	STA	lk coun	IS/ha x	10-3		STAIK	LENGTHS	<u>n</u>
TREATHEN 13	4R	4R 5R 6R		Mean 4R		<b>5</b> 8	6 <b>R</b>	Mean
Main-plota D1 D2 D3 D4	196,5 189,3 191,1 192,0	185,7 178,8 181,2 177,3	194,1 184,4 184,3 185,5	192,1 184,2 185,5 184,9	1,89 1,86 1,82 1,74	2,00 1,94 1,92 1,90	2,00 2,01 2,05 1,99	1,96 1,94 1,93 1,88
<u>Sub-plots</u> N1 N2 N3 N4	190,5 198,5 191,5 188,0	185,0 184,7 176,4 176,9	197,0 189,7 180,4 180,1	190,8 191,0 182,8 181,7	1,52 1,83 1,97 1,99	1,53 1,91 2,15 2,16	1,84 2,05 2,12 2,07	1,63 1,93 2,08 2,07
TRIAL MEAN	192,2	180,7	187,1	186,7	1,83	1,94	2,02	1.93

TREATMENTS	ST	LK DIA	METER c	m		LOD	GING %	
	4R	5R	6R	Mean	4 <b>R</b>	5R	6 <b>r</b>	Mean
<u>Main-plots</u> D1 D2 D3 D4	2,0 2,0 2,0 2,1	2,0 2,1 2,1 2,1 2,1	2,0 2,0 2,0 2,1	2,0 2,0 2,0 2,1	5,3 1,5 1,5 1,3	17,3 5,5 3,8 7,8	12,5 2,5 0,0 4,0	11,7 3,2 1,8 4,4
Sub-plots N1 N2 N3 N4	1,9 2,0 2,1 2,0	2,0 2,1 2,1 2,1 2,1	2,0 2,1 2,1 2,1 2,1	2,0 2,1 2,1 2,1 2,1	0,0 0,0 2,0 7,0	7,0 5,8 9,8 11,8	2,5 2,5 2,5 10,0	3,2 2,8 4,8 9,6
TRIAL MEAN	2,0	2,1	2,0	2,0	2,4	8,6	5,0	5.3

- 6 -

7310/15 1 DRYING - OFF X NITROGEN TRIAL

#### TABLE 3 : INTERACTION TABLES

## a) Cane Yield t/ha (Fourth Ratoon)

יסיז	THA - ARE MELAMERING		MEAN			
Lia.	Ing - off Treatments	60	120	180	240	
D1 D2 D3. D4	150 mm predicted deficit 250 mm " " 350 mm " " 450 mm " "	94,09 79,81 73,26 63,31	108,62 107,74 104,69 100,45	111,11 120,46 117,05 113,21	116,51 113,37 117,58 109,26	107,58 105,34 103,14 96,55
MEA	N	77,62	105,38	115,45	109,68	103,16

Significant interaction : DN'\* L.S.D. p = 0,05 = 11,82 p = 0,01 = 15,79

b) Cane yield t/ha (Fifth Ratoon)

				·		MEAN			
1K	Y ING	- (	)FF TREAT	TENTS	60	120	180	240	
D1	150	TUM.	predicted	deficit	90,72	114,60	120,76	126,27	113,07
D2	250	1000	11	n	70,32	110,89	134,29	124,69	110,05
D3	350	<u>1111</u>	Ħ	11	75,04	107,77	124,33	132,09	109,81
<b>D</b> 4	450		<b>11</b>	n	68,02	113,17	127,41	125,74	108,58
MEA	N				76,02	111,61	126,70	127,19	110,38

Significant interactions : DN' \* DN"\* L.S.D. p = 0,05 = 13,10 p = 0,01 = 17,50

. c) ERC % Cane (Sixth Ratoon)

1987	TNICL	- 01		(ENTS		MEAN			
		- 0.			60	120	180	240	-
D1 D2 D3 D4	150 250 350 450	mm j mm mn mn	predicted "" "	deficit " " "	11,31 11,78 12,07 12,49	11,31 12,08 12,59 13,43	11,50 11,86 12,98 13,22	11,39 11,82 12,46 13,63	11,38 11,89 12,53 13,19
ME/	N				11,91	12,36	12,39	12,33	12,25

Significant interactions : DN'\* DN'''\* L.S.D. p = 0,05 = 0,45 p = 0,01 = 0,61

#### DRYING - OFF X NITROGEN TRIAL 7300/15 :

TABLE 3 cont 1 INTERACTION TABLES

#### d) – ERC Yield t/ha ( Fourth Ratoon)

					NITROGEN kg/ha				
DRY	ING - OF	T TREATM	ENTS	60	120	180	240		
D1 D2 D3 D4	150 mm 250 mm 350 mm 450 mm	predicted n n	deficit u	12,94 11,28 10,66 9,21	14,72 15,15 15,27 14,50	14,66 16,40 16,48 16,45	15,35 15,21 16,20 15,38	14,42 14,51 14,65 13,89	
MEA	N			11,02	14,91	16,00	15,54	14,37	

DN \*\*\* Significant interactions : DN\*\*\* L.S.D. p = 0,05 = 1,61p = 0,01 = 2,14

.

e) ERC yield t/ha (Fifth Ratoon)

		NITROGEN kg/ha				
	60	120	180	240		
D1 150 mm predicted deficit D2 250 mm " " D3 350 mm " " D4 450 mm " "	10,49 8,61 9,62 8,88	13,15 13,15 13,28 14,22	14,27 16,51 15,62 16,20	15,14 15,14 16,45 16,43	13, 26 13, 35 13, 74 13, 93	
MEAN	9,40	13,45	15,65	15,79	13,57	

Significant interactions L.S.D. p = 0.05 = 1.53p = 0.01 = 2.041 DN\*\*

DN''\*

8

## 7300/15 : DETING - OFF X NITROGEN TRIAL

TABLE 4 : Efficiency of water use

POURTH, FIFTH, AND SIXTH RATOON

IRYING — OFF TREATMENTS	CROP	Accumulated deficit at harvest (mm)	Total Applied Water (mm)	Cane Yield t/ha	ERC Yield t/ha	Cane Yield t/ha/100 mm Applied water	ERC Yield t/ha/100 mm applied water
D1 150 mn predicted accumulated pan deficit	4R 5R 6R	106 142 145	1 492 1 655 1 816	107,58 113,07 110,93	14,42 13,26 12,63	7,21 6,83 6,11	0,97 0,80 0,70
	Mean	131	1 654	110,53	13,43	6,72	0,82
D2 250 mm predicted accumulated pan deficit	43 57 67 67	211 248 174	1 394 1 547 1 773	105,34 110,05 109,79	14,51 13,35 13,05	7,56 7,11 6,19	1,04 0,86 0,74
	Mean	211	1 571	108,39	13,64	6,95	0,88
D3 350 mm predicted accumulated pan deficit	的旨告	335 300 275	1 310 1 474 1 640	103,14 109,81 112,74	14,65 13,74 14,11`	7,87 7,45 6,87	1,12 0,93 0,86
	Mean	303	1 475	108,56	14,17	7,40	0,97
D4 450 mm predicted accumulated pan deficit	43 73 63	426 410 388	1 267 1 395 1 535	96,55 108,58 110,24	13,89 13,93 14,59	7,62 7,78 7,18	1,10 1,00 0,95
	Mean	408	1 475	105,12	14,14	7,53	1,02
MEANS (of all 4 Drying-off treatments)	8 8 8	270 275 246	1 398 1 518 1 691	103,16 110,38 110,94	14,37 13,57 13,60	7,38 7,27 6,56	1,03 0,89 0,80

, v -1