SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY

AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

<u>Code</u>: HW 296/85/P <u>Cat. No</u>: 1524

.

<u>Title</u>: Pre-emergence phytotoxicity in trays

1. Particulars of the project

Aiv

This crop: Plant cane	Soil analysis: Date: 29.1.86
<u>Site</u> : Mt. Edgecombe <u>Region</u> : N. Coast Coastal <u>Soil system</u> : Umzinto/Coast lowlands <u>Soil form/series</u> : Hutton/Shorrocks and Clansthal <u>Design</u> : Random blocks <u>Variety</u> : NCo376 <u>Fertilizer</u> : <u>N P K</u> Light soil (a) 127 25 127 Heavy soil (b) 254 50 254	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Temik in light soil: 20 kg/ha <u>Application details</u> Applicator: Gas-operated knap- sack sprayer Nozzle : 8004-E Pressure: 1,7 Bars Output: 746 <i>l</i> /ha Date of spraying: 18.2.86 Time of spraying: 12:15-14:00	Weather conditions at spraying General: Hot Rainfall (m.m.) : 0 No. of days to 1st rain: 4 No. of m.m. at 1st rain: 2,4 Dew: Nil Wind: Slight Soil surface: Dry Relative humidity(%) 8 am: 74 2 pm: 73 Temperature (°C) 8 am: 25,9 2 pm: 27,4

2. <u>Objectives</u>: Standard phytotoxicity programme.

3. Treatments

3.1 Rates

Treatments	Rate in kg or l product ha ⁻¹
1. Control (unsprayed)	-
2. Lasso	6
3. Fusilade	0,25
4. Harness EC	3
5. Pree (light)*	2
(beavy)	3
6. Dual	2,75
7. Lasso	12
8. Fusilade	0,75
9. Harness EC	6
10. Pree (light)	4
(heavy)	6
11. Dual	5,5

* Light soil and heavy soil

3.2 Chemical Formulation of Products used

Product	Active ingredient	Active ingredient content	Type of formulation
Lasso	Alachlor	384 g/l	ec
Fusilade	Fluazifop-butyl	125 g/l	ec
Harness EC	Acetochlor	960 g/l	ec
Pree	Metazachlor	400 g/l	sc
Dual	Metolachlor	720 g/ℓ	ec
Dual	Metolachlor	720 g/l	ес

4. Experimental

Single-eyed setts of cane were chopped and dipped in Benlate fungicide, and then planted in trays (10 per tray) at a depth of 25 mm.

Pre-emergence treatments were applied on the same day.

.

•

5. <u>Results</u>:

Table 1.

.

i

1. Crop measurements in clay soils taken 11, 55 and 70 days after treatment

Rat Treatments kg produ		Crop measurements								
	Rate in kg orℓ	T + 11		T + 55			T + 70			
	product ha ⁻¹	Shoot length (cm)	Cou Shoots	unts Tillers	Shoot length (cm)	Cou Shoots	nts Tillers	Shoot length (cm)	Cou Shoots	unts Tillers
 Control (unsprayed) 	-	6	7	-	15	7	12	18	7	13
2. Lasso	6	7	8	_	16	8	13	19	8	13
3. Fusilade	0,25	6	7	-	15	8	10	17	8	11
4. Harness EC	3	6	8	-	14	8	8	17	8	9
5. Pree	3	6	7	-	14	7	8	16	7	6
6. Dual	2,75	6	8	-	13	9	9	17	9	11
A lasso	12	7	8	-	15	9	10	17	9	11
8. Fusilade	0,75	7	8	-	16	8	12	18	8	13
9. Harness EC	6	. 6	8	-	15	9	12	18	9	13
10. Pree	6	7	8	-	14	9	11	17	9	13
11. Dual	5,5	6	7	-	14	7	8	18	7	10

Comments on Table 1

1. No treatments appeared to cause a decrease in shoot length.

2. Surprisingly, Pree, at the low rate, appeared to cause a reduction in tillering, while this effect was not apparent at the high rate.

.

•

Table 2. Crop measurements in sandy soils taken 11, 55 and 70 days after treatments

,

<u></u>		Crop measurements									
Rat Treatments kg produ	Rate in kg or l	T + 11			T + 55			T + 70			
	product ha-1	Shoot length (cm)	Cou Shoots	ints Tillers	Shoot length (cm)	Cou Shoots	unts Tillers	Shoot length (cm)	Cou Shoots	nts Tillers	
1. Control (unsprayed)	-	6	9	-	13	9	10	16	9	11	
2. Lasso	6	6	8	-	14	8	10	17	8	11	
3. Fusilade	0,25	6	8	-	13	8	13	16	8	14	
4. Harness EC	3	6	9	-	13	9	10	16	9	10	
5. Pree	2	6	9	-	. 13	9	12	16	9	14	
5. 541	2,75	6	8	-	13	8	13	16	8	13	
7. Lasso	12	6	8	-	13	8	13	16	8	14	
B. Fusilade	0,75	6	9	-	13	9	10	17	8	12	
9. Harness EC	6	5	8		13	9	12	15	9	13	
0. Pree	3	6	9	-	12	10	9	15	9	11	
1. Dual	5,5	6	9	-	13	9	12	16	9	14	
		-									

Comments on Table 2

.

1. No treatments appeared to cause a reduction in shoot length or tiller number.

	Pato in ka and	CLAY	Y	SAND		
Treatments	product ha-1	Dry mass (g)	% control	Dry mass (g)	% control	
1. Control (unsprayed)	-	41	100.	31	100	
2. Lasso	6	43	105	33	106	
3. Fusilade	0,25	41	100	37	119	
4. Harness EC	3	39	95	37	119	
5. Pree	2 (light) 3 (heavy)	34	83	35	113	
6. Dual	2,75	37	90	33	106	
7. Lasso	12	42	102	31	100	
8. Fusilade	0,75	43	105	35	113	
9. Harness EC	6	42	102	30	97	
10. Pree	4 (light) 6 (heavy)	38	93	32	103	
11. Dual	5,5	37	90	32	103	
C.V.%		16,1	-	16,1	-	
S.E. of treatment mean		2,6	-	2,2	-	
L.S.D. (0,05)	 	7,4	-	. 6,1	-	

Table 3. Dry mass of above ground parts expressed in grams and as a percent of that in unsprayed control pots

Comments

- 1. The depressed yield obtained from the low Pree rate is not apparent at the high Pree rate. There is a slight indication of depressive effects of Pree and Dual in heavy soils only.
- 2. There is however, no clear statistical evidence of any herbicide effect.

Conclusions:

In spite of the lack of significant statistical evidence, the tendency of Pree (metazachlor) to depress growth in clay soil appears real since this effect has been observed in previous trials (HW 235 and 284).

GW/SN 4 September 1986

and the second second