# SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

Code : HW 322/86 Cat. No.: 1559

Title: Garlon on Physalis viscosa (Sticky Gooseberry) in ratoon cane

1. Particula	ars of t	he project	1						
This crop : Ratoon cane		Clay %							
Site	: La Me Stat	ercy Field ion				ppi	n		
Region	: N. Co	oast Coasta	1	Ρ	К	Ca	Mg	Zn	Al
Soil system	: Umzin	nto/CLow1	ands			<u>Oct</u>	ober	November	December
Soil form	: Kroo	nstad		Raint LTM	fall :	7 12	7,7 7,8	137,7 110,0	158,7 95,2
Design	; -								
Variety	: NCo3	76							
Fertilizer/ Ameliorants	5:			······································					
Conditions of spraying				Application details					
Date		: 17.10.8	6	Time	of spra	ay :	10.00	- 10.30	
Rain on day of	fspray	: 0		Appli	cator	:	Gas k	napsack sp	prayer
No. days to 1st rain : 7			Nozz1	е	:	APM G	reen flood	ijet	
No. mm at 1st	rain	: 0,8		Outpu	t	:	343 l	ha <sup>-1</sup>	
Temperature ('	°C) 8am 2pm	: 20,8 : 22,6		Press	ure	:	2 Bar	S	
Humidity (%)	8am 2pm	: 80 : 81							
Soil surface		: Wet							

2. Objectives

•

To test various rates of Garlon on sticky gooseberry in ratoon cane

#### 3. Treatments

			Rate	(kg or <i>l</i> prod	ha <sup>-1</sup> )
1.	Garlon	(48) (0/R)		1,0	
2.	Garlon	(Directed	interrow)	1,5	`
3.	Garlon	(Directed	interrow)	2,0	
4.	Garlon	(Directed	interrow)	2,5	

#### Note on treatments

Treatment 1 was sprayed over the cane row. Good coverage of sticky gooseberry was obtained in the interrow from the flood-jet nozzle used. Treatments 2, 3 and 4 were directed sprays. Cane foliage was contacted (+60% coverage) depending on cane height.

At the time of spray, cane height was uneven and varied between the 3 to 6 leaf stage.

The area sprayed varied with each treatment according to the extent of Physalis viscosa invasion, as shown below. The efficiency at spraying is also shown below in table 1.

Table 1

Treatment		Rate (Ł ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Area sprayed (m²)	Efficiency (%)		
1.	Garlon (0/R)	1,0	42	98		
2.	Garlon (Dir)	1,5	33	90		
3.	Garlon (Dir)	2,0	15	80		
4.	Garlon (Dir)	2,5	67	94		

0/R =Over the row

Dir = Directed interrow

#### Table II

Visual ratings of control of Physalis viscosa 18 and 29 days after Garlon treatments were applied.

Tre	atment	Rate	Percent kill			
			18	29		
1. Ga 2. Ga 3. Ga 4. Ga	rlon (O/R) rlon (Dir) rlon (Dir) rlon (Dir)	1,0 1,5 2,0 2,5	20 35 30 50	90 100 100 100 100		

2.

#### Table III

Visual ratings of stunting and leaf scorching of cane and other weed species 18 and 29 days after the treatment was applied.

						ļ	% Scorch					
Treatment	Rate (ℓ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Stur 18	Ca ting 29	ine Scoro 18	hing 29	Pani maxi 18	icum imum 29	Sporo pyrami 18	bolus dalis 29	Cyp escul 18	erus lentus 29	
<ol> <li>Garlon (O/R)</li> <li>Garlon (Dir)</li> <li>Garlon (Dir)</li> <li>Garlon (Dir)</li> <li>Garlon (Dir)</li> </ol>	1,0 1,5 2,0 2,5	5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5	5 20 8 15	0 5 5 5	- - - 5	- - 5	- 5 -	- 5 -	10 - 30 60	10  20 30	

#### Ratings

1. Stunting scale : 1 - 5, where 1 = Severly stunted 5 = Not stunted

2. Scorching scale: 0 -100, where 0 = Not scorched 100 = Dead

## Comments on Table II

- 1. After 29 days, treatments 2,3 and 4 provided a 100% kill of *Physalis viscosa*.
- 2. The lower rate  $(1,0 \ \ell \ ha^{-1})$  of Garlon, although applied on the cane row, did not appear sufficient at any time, to kill sticky gooseberry.

Comments on Table III

Cane phytotoxicity

- 1. Garlon, above 1,5  $\ell$  ha<sup>-1</sup> appears to scorch the cane. Phytotoxicity did not worsen when the rate was increased to 2,5  $\ell$  ha<sup>-1</sup>.
- 2. Slight stunting may have been caused at Garlon rates of 1,5  $\ell$  ha<sup>-1</sup> and higher.

#### Grass control

1. Very poor control was obtained although the presence of grasses was very limited.

#### Cyperus esculentus

The higher rates of Garlon appeared to have scorched C. esculentus severly. It was not possible to see the prolonged effect of this chemical on C. esculentus as the trial had to be discontinued.

## 4. Conclusions

- 1. Garlon, at 1,5  $\ell$  ha<sup>-1</sup>, is adequate in controlling sticky gooseberry. Although the trial was discontinued after 29 days, it is reasonable to believe no regrowth would take place as this was shown in a previous trial in pots (HW 288)
- 2. The extent of cane damage will be evaluated in field trials currently underway. They will be taken to harvest.

LHGW/IS 11 March 1987