# SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

Cat No: 1594 Project No: 3384 Code No: HW312/86/P

Title: Pre emergence phytotoxicity of NCo376 plant cane.

**Objectives** To assess new pre emergent and early post emergent herbicide treatments on NCo376 plant cane in the northern area.

Particulars of pr	oject	Soil analy	ysis	Da	te:	
This crop : Site : Region : Soil system	Plant Pongola Field Stn Northern area Komatingort	pH (water) 6,67	C >	lay (12) ▶ 30	(	H . 5)
Soil form/series: Variety : Age (mths) :	Hutton/Shorrocks NCo376 10.1 mth	<b>P</b> 19	<b>K</b> 159	<b>Ca</b> 883	<b>H</b> g > 2	20
Dates :	15/10/86-19/8/87		Ferti	lizer		
Rainfall (#mm) : Irrigation (mmn) : Total (mmm) :	521 mm 610 mm 1131 mm	Topdressed Topdressed	(kg/ha) (kg/ha)	N 105 115 220	P - -	<b>K</b> 125

#### Design

Design : Randomised blocks Replication : 6 Whole plot size: 8 m x 6 rows x 1,4 m =  $67,2m^2$ Net plot size : 6 m x 4 rows x 1,4 m =  $33,6m^2$ Row spacing : 1,4 m

C

# Treatments

	Treatments		Rates (1 or kg) product/ha	Time of application	Method
T1	Control (unsprayed)	:	-	-	
T2	Control (unsprayed)	:	-	-	
Т3	Butisan (40) + diuron (80)	:	2 + 3	Pre	0/R*
T4	Butisan + diuron +				
	Gramoxone (20)	:	2 + 3 + 1,5	1 - 2 leaf	0/R
T5	Lasso (38) + diuron	:	6 + 3	Pre	0/R
T6	Lasso + diuron +				
	Gramoxone	:	6 + 2.5 + 1.25	1 - 2 leaf	0/R
T7	Harness (96) + diuron	:	3 + 3	Pre	0/R
T8	Harness + diuron +				
	Gramoxone	:	3 + 2,5 + 1,5	1 - 7 leaf	0/R

\* Over the row

# Chemical formulations used

Product		Formulation	Active ingredient		
P1	Butisan (40)	: 400 g/1 sc	metazachlor		
₽2	Diuron (80)	: 800 g/1 sc	diuron		
P3	Gramoxone (20)	: 200 g/1 soln	paraguat		
P4-	Lasso (38)	: 384 g/1 ec	alachlor		
P5	Harness (96)	: 960 g/1 ec	acetochlor		

# Application details

Treatment dates	:	22/10/1986	11/11/1986
Time of application	:	06h15 - 07h00	06h00 - 07h15
Applicator	:	CP3	CP 3
Nozzle	:	APM Green	APM Green
Height of cane	:	pre emergence	Spike to 3 leaf
Method	:	Over the row	Over the row
Output	:	32 m]/s_	32 m1/s_
Output	:	′ 23 m1/m <sup>2</sup>	23 ml/m <sup>2</sup>
		1	

# Weather conditions at time of spraying

Treatment dates	:	22/10/1986	11/11/1986
General	:	Cloudy + cool	Cloudy + cool
Dew	:	Slight	Nil
Soil surface	:	Moist	Moist
Wind	:	N11	Nil
Sunshine hours	:	9,2	8,4
Temperature (°C) 08h00	:	22,8	19,7
14h00	:	29,2	25,4
Relative humidity (%) 08h00	:	75	70
14h00	:	39	48
Rainfall: On day of spray (mm)	:	0	0
No days to 1st rain	:	6	4
At 1st rain (mm)	:	16,6	2,8
In 1st 14 days (mm)	:	21	6

### Results

Troatmont	Rate % leaf		scorch	Stunting*	
, ireaulent	product/ha	10/11/86	24/11/86	10/11/86	24/11/86
Control	_	2	3	5	5
Control	-	2	3	5	5
Butisan + diuron	2 + 3	2	3	5	5
Butisan + diuron + Gramoxone	2 + 3 + 1,5	2	10	5	5
Lasso + diuron	6+3	2	3	5	5
Lasso + diuron + Gramoxone	6 + 2.5 + 1.25	2	11	5	5
Harness + diuron	3+3	2	3	5	5
Harness + diuron + Gramoxone	3 + 2,5 + 1,5	2	12	5	5

## Table 1: Leaf scorching and stunting following pre and early goot emergent herbicide applications.

\* Note: Rating for stunting

5 = No visual stunting 1 = Severe stunting

I - Severe stunting

## Table 2: Yield measurements at harvest

Treatment	Rate (1 or kg) product/ha	Cane (t/ha)	Sucrose (t/ha)	Popu- lation (1000/ha)	Length (cm)*
Control	$\begin{array}{r} - \\ - \\ 2 + 3 \\ 2 + 3 + 1,5 \\ 6 + 3 \\ 6 + 2,5 + 1,25 \\ 3 + 3 \\ 3 + 2,5 + 1,5 \end{array}$	131	13,7	139	268
Control		132	13,4	134	267
Butisan + diuron		134	13,2	137	276
Butisan + diuron + Gramoxone		132	13,9	138	266
Lasso + diuron		136	14,0	134	266
Lasso + diuron + Gramoxone		130	13,9	138	280
Harness + diuron		133	13,5	134	273
Harness + diuron + Gramoxone		132	12,9	138	271
CV %		5,1	6,9	14,3	4,1
SE of treatment means		3	0,4	8	4,6
LSD (0,05)		8	1,1	23	13
(0,01)		11	1,5	31	18

\* Lodging occurred throughout the trial.

#### Discussion and conclusion

There was marked leaf scorching in the treatments containing Gramoxone compared with the treatment without Gramoxone after the early post-emergence spray. However, there appeared to be no effect on plant height in the early stages of cane growth.

Significant differences were observable between some treatments for the parameter plant height at harvest. However such differences could be accounted for because of the severity of lodging that was observed at harvest. There were no significant treatment difference for the other measured parameter t cane ha<sup>-1</sup>, t sucrose ha<sup>-1</sup> and plant population.

The Butisan and Harness treatments were therefore considered as good as the Lasso treatments and pre- and early post emergent spraying treatments did not appear to be significantly different from each other.

MW/MG 16 September, 1987