SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

EXPERIMENT RESULT

<u>Code</u>: RIP X DRY OFF 1/88/Sw SIS 'R' Cat. No.: 1681

TITLE: PRE HARVESTING DRYING OFF AND CHEMICAL RIPENING OF FARLY SEASON NC0376 IN SWAZILAND.

PARTICULARS OF PROJECT

1

÷ 1

This crop	:	3rd I	Ratoc	on		Soil	An:	alysis	5:		
Site	:	IYSI	s Fie	ald S	R9B	рĤ	i	OMX	Cla	Y X	PU1
Region	:	Nort	hern	area				-		-	-
		(Swa	zilar	nd)					DDIN		
Soil Set/Series: 'R'/Rathbone			P	K	Ca	Mg	S	Zn			
Design	;	Rand with	omise spli	ed Ble it ple	ocks ots	-	-	-	-	-	-
Variety	:	NCo3	76			Age		:	11,	1	
Fertilizer	:	N	P	K	S	Date	25	:	9/8,	/87 ·	- 21/7/88
(kg/ha)		150	25	125	-	Ratr	nfal	1 :			
						Irri	igat	ton :			
						Tota	۹Ì	•			

OBJECTIVES

- 2.1 To determine the effects of 4 levels of pro-harvest drying off on the responses to the three standard ripener treatments viz, Ethrel, Fusilade and the combination treatment.
- 2.2 To assess the effects of chemical ripeners on soil moisture depletion during drying off.
- 2.3 To assess the effects of severe pre-harvest drying off on the growth of the subsequent ration.

3, TREATMENTS

- 3.1 Drving Off (Last (rrightion applied :
 - A. 4 wks before harvest predicted evap. prior to harvest = 120 mm is (1 x TAM)
 - 8 wks before harvest predicted evap, prior to harvest = 240 mm Β. ie (2 x TAM)
 - 12 wks before harvest predicted eyap, prior to harvest = 360 С. mm ie (3 x TAM)
 - 16 wks before harvest predicted evep, prior to harvest = 480 D. mm te (4 x TAM)

3.2 Ripeners:

- 1. Control - no ripener
- 2.
- Ethrel @ 1,35 i/ha applied 25/3/88 + 18 wks before harvest Fusilade @ 0,4 l/ha applied 17/5/88 + 10 wks before harvest 3.
- Ethrel + Fusilade see rates and timing above 4.

3.3 Notes on Treatments

The actual cumulative pan evaporation deficits during the drying off periods are shown below.

TREATMENT	PREDICTED PAN	DATE LAST	ACTUAL PAN	RAINFALL AFTER
	DEFICIT (mm)	IRRIGATION	DEFICIT (mm)	START OF DRY OFF
A	120	27 June	100	41 mm
B	240	2 June	185	41 mm
C	360	29 April	302	49 mm
D	480	29 March	440	84 mm

Rainfall was below average for the drying off period with 84 mm (+ 80% LTM) falling between the end of March and harvest on 21/7/88.

4. <u>RESULTS</u>

4.1 Harvest Data

THEATMENTS	TONS CANE. Ind	ers X C	TONS ERSTIA
Main Dists A. 120 and Deficit B. 240 mm C. 360 mm B. 480 mm	113,0	11,05	15,86
	100,9	14,34	14,47
	108,1	14,18	15,22
	111,1	14,04	15,55
Significance	tiS	NS	NS
SE Mean <u>+</u> ±	$3, \overline{7}$	0,19	0,12
CV %	8, 3	3,4	6,8
<u>Sub-plots</u> 1. Control 2. Ethrel 3. Fusilade 1. Combination	110.0 106.8 111,4 105,0	13,66 14,31 13,76 14,88	14,98 15,24 15,29 15,58
LSD (0,05)*	4, 1	0,39	NS
(0,01)**	5, 9	0,52	NS
SE Mean <u>+</u> <u>+</u>	1,6	0,14	0,25
CV %		4,8	8,0
Interaction	NS	NS	NS
Trial mean	108,3	11,15	15,28

4.2 Eldana Counts at Harvest

TREATMENT	% INTERNODES DAMAGED
<u>Main Plots</u> A. 120 mm Deficit B. 240 mm " C. 360 mm " D. 480 mm "	2,4 1,2 2,1 2,3
Sub-plots 1. Control 2. Ethrel 3. Fusilade 4. Combination	1,7 2,1 2,0 2,1

4.3 Flowering and Pith massurements

TREATMENT	% FLOWERED	AV. FLOWER SIZE	% < 300mm	% PITH
Contral	87,3	426 mm	8,7	30,0
Ethrel	81,5	320 mm	29,4	1,0

* May (17/5/88) just before flower emergence

* July (19/7/88) at harvest

TREATMENT	% FLOWERED	% PITH
Control	83,3	36,6
Ethrel	80,8	10,1
Fusilade	79,2	32,6
Combination	79,2	1,0

4.4 <u>Responses to Chemical ripening treatments</u>

	19/5	5/1988.	21/7/1988		
TREATMENT	ERS % C	RESPONSE	ERS % C	RESPONSE	
Control Ethrel Fusilade Combination	9,59 11,03 9,74 11,11	- + 1,44 + 0,15 + 1,52	13,66 14,31 13,78 14,88	- + 0,65 + 0,10 + 1,22	

Note : 19/5/88 - 8 wks after Ethrel application 21/7/88 - 18 wks after Ethrel application and 10

21/7/88 - 18 wks after Ethrel application and 10 wks after Fusilade application,

5. COMMENTS

5.1 <u>General</u>

- * Irrigation management at this trial was unsatisfactory and leaking spile caps and lateral movement of water over low ridges caused problems with the imposition of dry-off treatments. The effects of ripeners on soil moisture depletion and the effects of dry-off on the subsequent ration regrowth were therefore difficult to interpret and have not been reported.
- * Flowering was very heavy in the trial, averaging + 80 90% and undoubtedly affected responses to ripeners. Ethrel application took place + 3 weeks after the initiation period and Fusilade application took place just before flower emergence.

5.2 Dry-off treatments

There were no significant effects of the drying-off treatments on either cane yield or on cane quality. There were significant falls of rain in mid-April and towards the end of June and this together with the problems in irrigation management may have obscured the main treatments effects.

5.3 Chemical closure treatments

5.3.1 TONE CANE/ha

There was evidence of a reduction in yield in case that had been breaked with third but this was only significant where subsequent applications of Fusilade took place (is combination treatment). Sample data did not confirm these results however, and indicated that differences in topping height may have accounted for the apparent differences in case yield.

5.3.2 Ers % cane

Cane quality was significantly increased by Ethrel and also by the combination treatment which was approximately twice as effective as Ethrel alone. Cane quality was not increased by application of Fusilade. Fibre content was significantly reduced where Ethrel had been applied and pith development was considerably less advanced in these treatments.

5.3.3 Ers/ha

The combination treatment produced marginally more recoverable sucrose than the other treatments but the difference was not significant. It was apparent that the henefits in terms of cane quality were nullified by the reductions in cane yield. Once again, these trends were not apparent in the sample data where an analysis of ERS g/stalk showed that the combination treatment was significantly better than the other treatments.

5.3.4 Flowering

Ethrel was applied <u>after</u> the initiation period and therefore did not inhibit flower initiation. Measurements in May however, indicated that flower development (and associated pith development) had been considerably delayed although most of the flowers had emerged + 10 woeks later at harvest.

Fusilade applications (which took place just before flower emergence) inhibited further development of the flower. This effect was more noticeable in the combination treatments where floral development had already been delayed.

6. SUMMARY

While the dry-off treatments were not successful in this trial, useful information had been obtained on literactions between chemical ripening and flowering.

- * Applications of Fusilade by itself do not appear to be warranted after early May in heavy flowering years.
- * Ethrel delays floral development and produces a ripening response even when applied after initiation. The increased efficiency of the combination treatments presumably results from this delay in floral development.

It remains to be seen if the inhibition of flowering by applications of Ethrel prior to the initiation period would improve the efficiency of the combination treatment.

AGK AUK/C9 June 1989