

**SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY
AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION**

Cat No : 1708
Project No :
Code No : HW 387/89/R9

Title : Cane eradication methods

- Objectives:**
- ° To compare the efficiency of cane eradication between Roundup and rotavating.
 - ° To establish whether the efficacy of Roundup can be improved by mechanical slashing compared to hand cutting.

1. Particulars of project

<p>This crop : 9th ratoon</p> <p>Site : Experiment Station Field 16</p> <p>Region : North Coast Coastal</p> <p>Soil system : Umzinto Coast Lowlands</p> <p>Soil form/series: Arcadia/Mayo</p> <p>Variety : NCo376</p> <p>Dates : 24/7/89 - 19/3/90</p> <p>Rainfall (mm) :</p> <p>Total : 917,4 mm</p>	<p>Soil analysis</p> <hr/> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;">pH (water)</td> <td style="width: 33%;">Clay (%)</td> <td style="width: 33%;">OM (%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">5,35</td> <td style="text-align: center;">18</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2,10</td> </tr> </table> <p style="text-align: center;">ppm</p> <hr/> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;">P</td> <td style="width: 25%;">K</td> <td style="width: 25%;">Ca</td> <td style="width: 25%;">Mg</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">29</td> <td style="text-align: center;">73</td> <td style="text-align: center;">467</td> <td style="text-align: center;">126</td> </tr> </table> <p style="text-align: center;">Fertilizer</p> <hr/> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;">N</td> <td style="width: 33%;">P</td> <td style="width: 33%;">K</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">-</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Nil</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-</td> </tr> </table>	pH (water)	Clay (%)	OM (%)	5,35	18	2,10	P	K	Ca	Mg	29	73	467	126	N	P	K	-	Nil	-
pH (water)	Clay (%)	OM (%)																			
5,35	18	2,10																			
P	K	Ca	Mg																		
29	73	467	126																		
N	P	K																			
-	Nil	-																			

2. Design

Design : Randomised blocks
 Replication : 5
 Whole plot size : 4 rows x 11 m x 1,4 m = 61,6 m²
 Net plot size : 2 rows x 9 m x 11,4 m = 25,2 m²
 Row spacing : 1,4 m

3. Experimental :

The trial was harvested by hand. Rotavating was carried out at 17 weeks after harvesting and the mechanical and hand slashing operations were done in November 17,5 weeks after harvesting. A rotary mower was used in the mechanical slashed treatments.

Cane that was not slashed back or rotavated was sprayed with Roundup in early December, 19 weeks after harvesting (T2). The slashed back treatments (T3 and T4) were sprayed with Roundup in early January, 5,5 weeks after slashing and 23 weeks after harvesting.

4. Treatments

	Rate ℓ ha ⁻¹	Weeks after harvest
T1 Control - (Rotavate only)		17 (Nov)
T2 Roundup	8	19 (Nov)
T3 Hand slash/Roundup	8	17,5/23 (Nov/Jan)
T4 Mechanical slash/Roundup	8	17,5/23 (Nov/Jan)

5. Chemical formulation used

Product	Formulation	Active ingredient
Roundup	359 gm/ ℓ (sol)	Glyphosate

6. Application details

Treatment dates:	Rotavate	-	20/11/1989
	Roundup (T2)	-	6/12/1989
	Roundup (T3 and T4)	-	3/1/1990
Time (T2)	: 7.40 am		
Time (T3 and T4)	: 7.55 am		
Applicator	: CP3		
Nozzle	: APM Green		
Pressure	: 150 kpa		
Output (T2)	: 36,9 m ℓ /sec		
(T3 and T4)	: 39,5 m ℓ /sec		
Output (T2)	: 26,4 m ℓ /m ²		
(T3 and T4)	: 28,2 m ℓ /m ²		
Method	: Over the row		

7. Weather conditions at spraying

	T2	T3 and T4
Date	6/12/89	3/1/90
General	Cloudy	Clear - very hot
Dew	Nil	Nil
Soil surface	Damp	Dry
Wind	Slight (south)	Nil
Sunshine hours	1,2	11,3
Temperature (°C)	08h00 18,5	25,7
	14h00 22,2	27,4
Relative humidity (%)	08h00 76	73
	14h00 67	71
Rainfall (mm)		
On day of spray	Nil	Nil
Number days to first rain	1	3
Amount of first rain	7,0	9,5
Total in first 14 days	28,3	18,6
Total for duration of trial	917,4	917,4

8. Results:

Table I : Stalk populations (x 1000/ha) and complete stool (x 1000/ha) counts carried out approximately 8 months after harvest

Treatment	Stalk populations	Stool counts
T 1 Control	360,0	35,4
T 2 Roundup after harvesting	214,6	15,8
T 3 Hand slash/Roundup	23,2	2,6
T 4 Mechanical slash/Roundup	12,8	0,8
CV%	22,9	48,5
SE Treatment means	± 15,6	± 3,0
LSD (0,05)	48	9
(0,01)	68	13

9. Comments

The two methods of slashing back resulted in different growth habits in the following ratoon. Cane slashed mechanically was shattered but regrowth was still dominated by primary tillers. Tillers in the hand slashed cane grew evenly as apical dominance was suppressed.

Tiller population for the site at 4 months of age was approximately 240 000/ha. At 8 months after harvest, stalk populations had increased by 50% in the rotavated plots but had decreased by 11%, 90% and 95% for T2, T3 and T4 respectively. This represents a highly significant difference in regrowth between cane conventionally sprayed with Roundup after harvest and that which was slashed back prior to spraying (Table I).

Tiller mortality appeared to be greater where cane was mechanically slashed compared to hand slashing but differences were non-significant (Table I).

Complete stool regrowth followed a similar trend to tiller counts (Table I).

10. Conclusion

Slashing back prior to Roundup application increased the efficacy of the chemical and only increased the harvest/spray delay by 4 weeks. However, climatical conditions were different on the days of spraying which may have influenced the efficacy of Roundup.

Mechanically slashed cane appears to be slightly more susceptible to Roundup compared to hand slashed cane. This may be attributed to the different regrowth pattern following the two operations.

This trial confirms that rotavating is an unsuitable cane eradication method on the heavier soils.