SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

Cat. No. : 1723 Project No. : 3676

Code No. : HW360/88/R2

Title: Phytotoxicity of Bimate applied early post-emergence on ratoon cane.

Objectives: To assess the phytotoxicity of Bimate on N19.

1. PARTICULARS OF PROJECT :

This crop : 2nd Ratoon

Site : Pongola Sub-Station

Region : Northern Area

Soil System: Komatipoort

Soil form/: Hutton/Shorrocks

Series

Variety : N14, N17, N19

Age : 8,9 months

Dates : (20.09.88-16.6.89)

Rainfall : 692,3 mm

Irrigation : 488 mm

Total (mm): 1180,3 mm

Soil analysis Date: (not taken)

pH Clay OM (%)

ppm

P K Ca Mg

Fertilizer (Kg ha $^{-1}$)

N P K

123 24 123

2. DESIGN:

Design : Randomised blocks

Replication: 4

Plot size : $6 \text{ m x 4 rows x 1,4 m} = 33,6 \text{ m}^2$

3. EXPERIMENTAL

Cane was well grown. Height of cane 25-30 cm at the leaf bend, and about 5 leaves per shoot.

Rainfall, irrigation and LTM (mm) at Pongola

Months	Sep	0ct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Total±
1988-89	1	135	50	123	19	202	82	21	10	50	692.3
Irrigation	-	-	61	61	122	61	61	61	61	-	488,0
LTM	13	66	97	77	117	86	74	42	20	5	596,9

4. TREATMENTS

Rate (kg or ℓ product ha⁻¹)

T1	Bimate WP + Surfactant	(0,2%)	5
T2	Bimate WP + Surfactant	(0,2%)	10
Т3	Sencor + Diuron	-	6 + 5
T4	Control (Unsprayed)		Nil

5. CHEMICAL FORMULATIONS USED:

Product	Formulation	Active Ingredient
Sencor Diuron Bimate WP Agrowett	480 g/ £ (SC) 800 g/ £ (SC) 250 g/500 g/kg	Metribuzin Diuron Tebuthiuron + Diuron Surfactant

6. APPLICATION DETAILS

: 25.10.88 Treatment date Time : 05.45 - 06.45 Applicator | : CP3 Nozzle : APM Green Pressure : 130 Kpa : 33,08 ml/sec : 236,3 L ha-1 Output Output Method : Over the row Timing : Early post-emergence

7. WEATHER CONDITIONS AT SPRAYING

General : Clear Dew : Slight Soil surface : Moist Wind : Calm Sunshine hours : 0.0 Temperature (°C) : 18,0 08.00 : 32,8 14.00 Relative humidity % 08.00 : 80 14.00 : 27 Rainfall (mm) on day of spray: Nil No of days to first rain : 2,5 Amount of first rain Total in first 14 days : 7,1 Total duration of trial : 692,3

8. RESULTS

Table 1 Visual rating of percent scorch and stunting 26 days after treatment

Kg or L		N14		N17		N19	
Treatments	product ha-1	% Scorch	Stunt	% Scorch	Stunt	% Scorch	Stunt
T1 Bimate WP + S T2 Bimate WP + S T3 Sencor + Diuron T4 Control	5 10 6 + 5 Nil	4,5 7,8 11,5 2,5	3,5 3,3 3,1 4,9	4,0 3,5 9,5 0	4,0 3,6 3,4 4,5	1,5 4,3 7,8 0	4,6 4,1 3,6 5,0

Stunting 1 = Severe 5 = No stunting

Table 2 Yield and other crop characteristics at harvest

Variety	Treatments	Kg or ℓ product ha ⁻¹	Cane t ha-1		Sucrose t ha ⁻¹	Stalk counts x 10 ³ ha ⁻¹	Stalk length (CM)
N14	T1 Bimate WP + S T2 Bimate WP + S T3 Sencor + Diuron S T4 Control	5 10 6 + 5 Nil	146 138 136 154	10,52 9,92 10,24 10,72	13,7 13,9	136 135 128 142	297 312 309 302
N17	T1 Bimate WP + S T2 Bimate WP + S T3 Sencor + Diuron S T4 Control	5 10 6 + 5 Nil	127 124 124 133	12,48 12,20 12,63 12,40	15,1 15,6	135 133 145 140	314 314 313 317
N19	T1 Bimate WP + S T2 Bimate WP + S T3 Sencor + Diuron S T4 Control	5 10 6 + 5 Nil	121 126 120 131	12,07 11,79 12,26 11,53	14,7	138 146 130 132	315 317 302 316
	C.V. % S.E. of treatment mean L.S.D. (0,05) (0,01)	±	6,3 4,12 11,82 15,86	1,13	9,9 0,75 2,15 2,89	17,76	

Table 3: Herbicide effects on yield

Treatments	kg or ℓ Prod ha $^{-1}$	Cane t ha ⁻¹	Sucrose % cane	Sucrose t ha-1	Stalk counts x 10 ³ ha ⁻¹	Stalk length (CM)
T1 Bimate WP + S T2 Bimate WP + S T3 Sencor + Diuron T4 Control	5 10 6 + 5 Nil	131 129 127 139	11,69 11,30 11,71 11,55	15,3 14,6 14,7 16,0	136 138 134 138	308 314 308 312
S.E. herbicide 12 plots S.E. Diff L.S.D. (0,05) (0,01)	mean ± ‡	2,38 3,37 6,83 9,16	0,23 0,32 0,65 0,87	0,43 0,61 1,24 1,67	3,58 5,06 10,25 13,75	

2. Comments

The standard treatment was applied at approximately twice the recommended rate while Bimate was sprayed at the standard and double the standard rate.

Sencor + Diuron

The standard treatment at double the recommended rate produced leaf scorch and stunting which was slightly more severe for N14. N14 stalk populations were also reduced to a greater extent. Cane and sucrose yields were reduced for all three varieties but only reached significance for N14. Cane quality was not influenced by this treatment (Table 2).

Bimate + S

Leaf scorch and stunting showed N19 to be slightly more tolerant to Bimate compared to N14 and N17 (Table 1). Both rates caused cane and sucrose yield reductions for all three varieties with significant losses recorded at double the standard rate on N14 only. The overall effect of Bimate on all varieties was a significant (P = 0.05) reduction in cane yield at the standard and double the standard rate, while sucrose yields were significantly (P = 0.05) reduced at double the standard rate only (Table 3).

NBL/pw July 11, 1990