SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

Cat.No. : 1778

Project No.:

Code No. : HW 402/90/R1

Title: Post - emergence phytotoxicity on ratoon cane.

Objectives: Standard phytotoxicity programme.

1. Particulars of project:

4

This crop	:	1st ratoon	Soil a	nalysis	Date :	12/7/90)
Site	:	Shakaskraal Field 37A	pH 3.35	OM%	Clay% 15	PDI	
Region	:	North coast - coastal		r	pm		
Soil System	:	Umzinto coast			·	7	
Soil form / seri	es:	Lowlands Longlands/ Westleigh		K Ca 62 420		Zn 1.5	A1 -
Design	:	Randomised block	Age	:	12.1 mo	nths	
Variety	:	114	Dates	:	12/7/90	- 16/7/	/91
Fertilizer (kg/h	a):	N P K 164 - 164	Rainfall	:	1042 mm		
		101	Irrigati	on :	Nil		
			Total	:	1042 mm		

2. Objectives

Standard phytotoxicity programme.

3. Treatments

		Rates (1 product/ha)
T1	Control	Handweeded
T2	Sencor + diuron	3 .+ 2
T3	Hammer + Harness + atrazine	0.75 + 2 + 2
T4	Hammer + Harness + atrazine	1.5 + 4 + 4

4. Design

Design : Randomised block

No replications : 4

Whole plot size : 6 rows \times 8m \times 1.4m = 67.2 m Net plot size : 4 rows \times 6m \times 1.4m = 33.6 m

Row spacing : 1.4m

5. Chemical formulations used

Product	Formulation	Active ingredient
Sencor	480 g/1 (sc)	metribuzin
diuron	800 g/l (sc)	diuron
Harness	900 g/1 (ec)	acetochlor
atrazine	500 g/1 (sc)	atrazine
Hammer	100 g/l	imazathapyr

6. Application details

Treatment date 1/11/1990 7.30 am Time Applicator CP3 Nozz1e APM (green) Pressure 150 kPa Output 35,05 m1/sec 25,04 m1/m2 Output Method Over the row

7. Weather conditions

Treatment date 1/11/1990 General Sunny Dew Ni1 Soil surface Dry Wind Slight Sunshine hours 7,4 Temperature (C) 08h00 20 14h00 22,5 Rainfall (mm) On day of spray Nil No. days to first rain 2 At first rain 13,6 In first 14 days 40,7 Total for duration of trial: 1042

8. Results

Table 1: Visual ratings of percentage leaf scorch and stunting (where 1 = very poor and 5 = no stunting) recorded at 40 and 92 days after spraying

Treatment	Rate (1 product/ha)	% leaf so	corch	Stunting	
i reachenc	r produce/na/	40	92	40	92
T1 Control T2 Sencor + diuron T3 Hammer + Harness + atrazine T4 Hammer + Harness + atrazine	- 3 + 2 0,75 + 2 + 2 1,5 + 4 + 4	0 4,5 19,5 13,3	0 0,5 0,8 1,8	5,0 4,2 2,6 2,1	5,0 4,5 4,1 3,9

Table 2: The effects of herbicide treatments on stalk heights and populations at 27 and 140 days after spraying

Treatment	Rate (1 product/ha)	Stalk heights (cm to TVD)		Populations (* 1000/ha)	
		27	140	27	140
T1 Control T2 Sencor + diuron T3 Hammer + Harness + atrazine T4 Hammer + Harness + atrazine	3 + 2 0,75 +2 + 2 1,5 + 4 + 4	39 28 24 25	159 153 137 138	167 145 114 132	133 154 146 183

Table 3: Treatment effects on cane yield (tons/ha) sucrose % cane and sucrose yield (tons/ha)

Treatment	Rate (1 product/ha)	Cane yield (tons/ha)		Sucrose (tons/ha)
T1 Control		82,2	12,7	10,4
T2 Sencor + diuron		95,2	12,0	11,4
T3 Hammer + Harness + atrazine		68,5	11,3	7,7
T4 Hammer + Harness + atrazine		77,5	12,5	9,7
CV % Standard error - Treatment means +/- LSD (0,05) LSD (0,01)		14,5	1,5	13,3
		5,8	0,9	0,6
		20	0,3	2,2
		31	0,5	3,4

9. Comments

The new mixture was applied at the recommended and twice the recommended rate for these soil conditions. A section of the trial was burnt some time before harvest and may have had some influence on yield results.

Sencor + diuron

Although the standard treatment appeared to have suppressed stalk heights, there was no significant effect on yields.

Hammer + Harness + atrazine

Foliar scorch was extreme for both rates of the treatment for up to nine weeks after application, but thereafter symptoms disappeared. The stunting effect on stalk growth persisted for the duration of the trial and was still very obvious at harvest.

Although yield differences between these treatments and the control did not reach statistical significance, the very real growth suppression effects up to harvesting should be taken into consideration when assessing the mixture. It should be noted that significant yield differences were reached between the lower rate of this mixture and the Sencor + diuron standard.

General

There were no apparent differences in eldana damage in spite of the treatment induced stress in the Hammer mixtures.