SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

Cat.No. : 1787

Project No. :

Code No. : HW 398/90/R3

Title: Phytotoxicity of new products on ratoon cane, applied

post-emergence.

1. Particulars of project:

This crop	:	3rd rat	coon		Soil	l anal	ye.	is Da	ate :	19/10/9	0
Site	:	Pongola Block		6	рн 6.66	OM -	8		lay% >30	PDI -	
,		Northe						ppm			
Soil System	:	Komatig	poor	t	P	K		Ca	Mg	Zn	Al
Soil form / series	:	•		ocks	32	223		745	307	-	-
Design	:	Randomi block			Age		:	11,	7 mont	he	
Variety	:	NCo376	-		Dates		:	25/9	9/90 -	17/9/9	1
Fertilizer (kg/ha)	:	N 140	P 28	K 140	Rainfa	11	:	79	94 mm		
		140	20		Irriga	ation	:	8	54 mm		
				ļ	Total	Ĺ	:	1 64	18 mm		

2. Objectives

To assess the phytotoxicity of unregistered products on ration sugarcane.

Treatments

Rates (1 product/ha)

T1 T2 T3	Control Actril DS + diuron Spotaxe	Handweeded 1.25 +2.5 2
T4	Spotaxe	. 4
T 5	Duplosan DP	3
T 6	Duplosan DP	6
T7	Impi	3.33
T8	Impi	6.67

4. Design

Design : Randomised block

: kandomised block

No. replications : 6

Whole plot size : 6 rows * 8 m * 1.4 m = 67,2 m

Net plot size : 4 rows * 8 m * 1.4 m = 67,2 m Net plot size : 4 rows * 6 m * 1.4 m = 33,6 m

: 1.4 m Row spacing

5. Chemical formulations used

Product	Formulation	Active ingredient
Actril DS	600 + 100 g/l (EC)	2,4-D + ioxynil
Diuron	800 g/l (SC)	diuron
Spotaxe	80 + 240 g/l	dicamba + 2,4-D
Duplosan DP	600 g/l	dichlorprop - P
Impi	150 + 300 g/l	sulcotrione + diuron

6. Application details

Treatment date : 22/10/90

Time : 1.30 - 3.45pm

Applicator : CP3

: APM (green)
: 150 kpa Nozzle Pressure Output : 40.1 ml/sec Output : 28,64 m1/m Method : Over the row

7. Weather conditions

22/10/90 Treatment date

General Clear and hot

Dew Nil

Soil surface Very dry :

Wind Nil Sunshine hours 11.5 :

Temperature (°C)

08h00 17.6

14h00 : (no record)

Relative humidity (%)

08h00 78

14h00 (no record)

Rainfall (mm)

On day of spray Nil No. days to first rain 2 At first rain 1.7 In first 14 days 2.5 Total for duration of trial 794

8. Results

?>

Table 1: Visual ratings of percentage leaf scorch and stunting where 1 = very poor and 5 = no stunting) recorded at 29 days after spraying

Treatment	Rate (1 product/ha)	% leaf scorch	Stunting	
T1 Control	-	1.5	4.6	
T2 Actril DS + diuron	1.25 + 2.5	3.5	4.1	
T3 Spotaxe	2	2.2	4.7	
T4 Spotaxe	4	2.5	4.5	
T5 Duplosan DP	3	10.5	2.5	
T6 Duplosan DP	6	14.2	2.2	
T7 Impi	3.33	5.0	4.2	
T8 Impi	6.67	5.0	3.9	

Table 2: Treatment effects on stalk heights (cm to TVD) and populations at 50, 120 and 150 days after spraying

Treatment	Rate (1 product/ha)	Stalk heights (cm to TVD)			Populations (* 1000/ha)		
		50	120	150	50	120	150
T1 Control	_	108	193	258	526	162	160
T2 Actril DS + diuron	1.25 + 2.5	102	193	253	495	162	158
T3 Spotaxe	2	106	188	259	481	149	146
T4 Spotaxe	4	106	183	257	515	167	164
T5 Duplosan DP	3	92	173	234	502	162	160
T6 Duplosan DP	6	89	173	225	543	139	136
T7 Impi	3.33	106	190	251	412	158	156
T8 Impi	6.67	108	190	246	535	154	150

Table 3: Treatment effects on cane yield (tons/ha) sucrose % cane and sucrose yield (tons/ha)

Treatment	Rate (1 product/ha)	Cane yield (tons/ha)	Sucrose% cane	Sucrose (tons/ha)
T1 Control	_	144	12.7	18.3
T2 Actril DS + diuron	1.25 + 2.5	149	13.0	19.4
T3 Spotaxe	2	147	13.4	19.6
T4 Spotaxe	4	150	12.9	19.2
T5 Duplosan DP	3	145	12.8	18.6
T6 Duplosan DP	6	138	12.6	17.3
T7 Impi	3.33	142	13.2	18.7
T8 Impi	6.67	144	12.8	18.4
CV %	5.1	5.4	7.1	
Standard error - Treatme	3	0.3	0.5	
LSD (0,05)	·	9	0.8	1.6
LSD (0,01)	-	12	1.0	2.1

9. Comments

All new products were tested at the recommended and twice the recommended rate.

Actril DS + diuron

The standard treatment caused slight leaf scorch in the early stages but had no further effect on the crop.

Spotaxe

Both rates of this product proved to be safe on cane when applied on it's own.

Duplosan DP

Scorch and stunting was noted soon after spraying both rates of this product (Table 1). This resulted in reduced stalk heights for both rates and a suppression in populations at the higher rate. The cane treated with the lower rate recovered sufficiently and yields at harvest were similar to that of the unsprayed control and the standard treatment. The higher rate had a greater phytotoxic effect on cane but reductions in yield were not statistically significant.

Impi

Although stalk heights and populations appeared to be slightly reduced at the higher rate of this product, yields at harvest were not adversely effected (Table 3).

NBL/dlz 1/11/1991