### SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR INDUSTRY AGRONOMISTS' ASSOCIATION

Cat.No.

: 1791

Project No. :

Code No. : HW 392/90/P

Title: Cane eradication with Roundup or Fusilade Super for early spring cut cane.

# 1. Particulars of project:

This crop	: Half plant and half 1st ratoon	Soil ar	nalysis	Date:	No sample taken
Site	: Mount Edgecombe Field 6	рН -	OM%	Clay	% PDI
Region	: North coast - coastal	-		ppm	
Soil System	: Umzinto coast Lowlands	P -	K -	Ca · M	Mg Zn A1
Soil form / ser		Agg		: 14.3 m	nonthe
Design	: Randomised	Age			
Variety	block : NCo376	Dates			90 - 28/11/91
Fertiliser (kg/	ha): N P K	Rainfa	111	: 1119 a	nm
(planti: {ton = :	ng) 13 20 26 dress)100 - 100	Irriga	ition	: Nil	
	113 20 126	Total		: 1119 n	TKT1

### 2. Objectives

- \* To determine to what extent slashing back improves the efficacy of Roundup or Fusilade Super compared to spraying normally ratooned cane.
- \* To establish whether a new crop can be successfully planted in the interrows of an existing crop soon after harvesting by utilising herbicide spray shields.
- \* To determine whether eradication treatments influence the growth and yield of the plant came.

#### 3. Treatments

		Rates (1 product/ha)
<b>T</b> 1	Roundup	8
T2	Hand slash/Roundup	8
Т3	Mechanical slash/Roundup	8
<b>T4</b>	Fusilade Super	6
<b>T5</b>	Hand slash/Fusilade Super	6
<b>T6</b>	Mechanical slash/Fusilade Super	6

4. Design

Design : Randomised block

No replications: 6

Row spacing : 1.4m

Whole plot size :  $5 \text{ rows } \times 10 \text{m} \times 1.4 \text{m} = 70 \text{m} 2$ Net plot size :  $3 \text{ rows } \times 8 \text{m} \times 1.4 \text{m} = 33,6 \text{m} 2$ 

Breaks : 1m between ends of plots

Guard rows : One either side

End effects : 1m each end

#### 5. Chemical formulations used

Product	Formulation	Active ingredient
Roundup	360g/1 (SL)	glyphosate
Fusilade Super	125g/1 (SC)	fluazifop-P

6. Application details

Treatment dates : Harvest - 7/9/90

Interrow planting - 20/9/90 Cut - back (ratoon)- 5/11/90 Sprayed (ratoon) - 30/11/90

Spray time : 9.00am - 11.00am

Applicator : CP3

Nozzle : 2 x APM (green) mounted in fully

enclosed drag shield

Pressure : 150 kpa

Method : Over the row

7. Weather conditions at spraying

Treatment date : 30/11/1990

General : Sunny and hot

Dew : Nil
Soil surface : Dry

Wind : Gusty (NE)

Sunshine hours : 7.9

Temperature (°C)

08h00 : 24.5 14h00 : 26.4

Relative humidity (%)

08h00 : 82 14h00 : 69 Rainfall (mm)

On day of spray : Nil No. days to first rain : One At first rain : 24 In first 14 days : 99 Total for duration of trial : 1119

## 8. Results

Table 1: Shoot heights (cm to TVD) and populations (\* 1000/ha) for the ration crop just prior to spraying.

	Treatment	Rate (1/ha)	Heights (cm to TVD)	Populations (*1000/ha)
T1	Roundup	8	22.	226
T2	Hand slash/Roundup	8	8	198
T3	Mechanical slash/Roundup	8	13	221
T3 T4	Fusilade Super	6	21	215
T5	Hand slash/Fusilade Super	6	9	204
Т6	Mechanical slash/Fusilade Super	6	14	204

Table 2: Assessment of stool and tiller regrowth (\* 1000/ha) of the ratoon crop approximately 4 months after spraying.

	Treatment	Rate (1/ha)	Tillers	Stools
T1 T2 T3	Roundup	8	45.0	8.5
T2	Hand slash/Roundup	8	13.3	8.5 4.7
T3	Mechanical slash/Roundup	8	29.0	5.8
T4	Fusilade Super	6	25.0	4.3
T5	Hand slash/Fusilade Super	6	20.1	3.0
T6	Mechanical slash/Fusilade Super	6	22.8	3.7
i		E .	1	

Table 3: Treatment effects on stalk heights (cm to TVD) and populations (\* 1000/ha) of the plant cane at 4 and 12 months after spraying.

Treatment		Rate (1/ha)	Heights		Populations	
		( 1 / 11 <b>a</b> /	4	12	4	12
T1 T2	Roundup Hand slash/Roundup	8	111 121	167 172	97 95	80 87
T2 T3	Mechanical slash/Roundup	8	117	169	92	84
T4 T5	Fusilade Super Hand slash/Fusilade Super	6 6	101 108	149 156	80 91	69 78
Т6	Mechanical slash/Fusilade Super	6	106	160	87	78

Table 4: Treatment effects on plant cane yield (tons/ha) sucrose % cane, sucrose yield (tons/ha).

Treatment	Rate (1/ha)	Cane yield (tons/ha)	Sucrose% cane	Sucrose (tons/ha)
T1 Roundup T2 Hand slash/Roundup T3 Mechanical slash/Roundup T4 Fusilade Super T5 Hand slash/Fusilade Super T6 Mechanical slash/Fusilade Super	8 8 8 6 6	51 58 56 39 46 48	11.5 11.9 12.2 11.8 11.1 11.5	5.9 7.0 6.8 4.6 5.1 5.5
CV % Standard error - Treatment means +/- LSD (0,05) LSD (0,01)	-	13.7 2.8 8 11	5.2 0.2 0.7 1.0	15.5 0.4 1.1 1.4
<b>Slashing</b> No slash Hand slash Mechanical slash		45 52 52	11.6 11.5 11.8	5.2 6.0 6.1
Standard error - slashing means +/- LSD (0,05) LSD (0,01)		2 6 8	0.2 0.5 0.7	0.3 0.8 1.0
<b>Herbicides</b> Roundup Fusilade Super		55 44	11.9 11.4	6.5 5.0
Standard error - herbicide means +/- LSD (0,05) LSD (0,01)	-	1.6 5 6	0.1 0.4 0.6	0.2 0.3 0.8

## 9. Comments

### 9.1 Ratoon crop

- \* Cane that was previously slashed back was far shorter at spraying than that which was not slashed (Table 1 ). This was due to the regrowth being very even and a lack of primary tiller development after slashing.
- \* From the results in Table 2, it can be seen that tiller and stool recovery after spraying was greater in the non-slashed plots. In addition, hand slashed cane appeared to result in slightly better cane mortality than the mechanically slashed plots. Counts done on stool regrowth showed 61/ha of Fusilade Super to be slightly more effective than 81/ha of Roundup.

#### 9.2 Plant crop

- \* The average height of the plant crop at spraying was approximately 25cm. Despite all spraying being conducted with the use of a completely enclosed shield, adjacent crop damage from Fusilade Super was extreme as indicated from stalk heights and population counts in Table 3. Fusilade volatility damage was also present in larger cane growing in a field alongside the trial site. No such damage to plant cane was noticeable in or alongside the Roundup treated plots.
- \* Damage caused by Fusilade volatility when eradicating the ratoon crop resulted in significant plant cane yield reductions at harvest (Table 4). Both chemicals reduced yield more in the non-slashed plots due possibly to the longer primary tillers and greater leaf contact and chemical translocation to the plant crop. There were no indications of the different ratoon crop slashing operations having any effect on the plant crop.

#### 10. Conclusion

- \* 61/ha of Fusilade Super produced good cane eradication results that appeared to be slightly better than that achieved with 81/ha per and Roundup by approximately 22% and 38% respectively (stools).
- \* The cane killing potential of both chemicals appeared to be slightly better following hand slashing compared to mechanical slashing.
- \* The concept of planting immediately after cutting the crop to be eradicated is feasible, but should only be considered with Roundup. From the results, spraying would best be carried out onto previously cut back cane using a drag type fully enclosed shield to prevent excess leaf contact and chemical drift. The possible danger of RSD spread from the ratoon to the plant crop would also have to be considered.